TROPIC – A Framework for Model Transformations on Petri Nets in Color*

Manuel Wimmer, Gerti Kappel, Johannes Schoenboeck

Vienna University of Technology, Austria lastname@big.tuwien.ac.at

Angelika Kusel, Werner Retschitzegger, Wieland Schwinger

Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria firstname.lastname@jku.at

Abstract

Model transformation languages, the cornerstone of Model-Driven Engineering, often lack mechanisms for abstraction, reuse and debugging. We propose a model transformation framework providing different abstraction levels together with an extensible library of predefined transformations and a dedicated runtime model in terms of Coloured Petri Nets for transformation execution and debugging.

Categories and Subject Descriptors D.2.6 [Software Engineering]: Programming Environments—Graphical env.

General Terms Design, Languages

Keywords Model Transformation, Colored Petri Nets

1. Introduction

Model-Driven Engineering (MDE) places models as first-class artifacts throughout the software lifecycle, leading to a change from the "everything is an object" paradigm to the "everything is a model" paradigm [Bézivin 2005]. The availability of proper model transformation languages is thereby *the* crucial factor, since transformation languages are for MDE as important as compilers are for high-level programming languages. Several kinds of dedicated model transformation languages have emerged (see e.g., [Czarnecki and Helsen 2006] for a comparison), which allow, in a first phase, the *specification of transformations*, from elements of a source metamodel to elements of a target metamodel, and, in a second phase, the automatic *execution* thereof on the underlying models. None of these languages, however,

not even the QVT standard proposed by the OMG, became generally accepted as state-of-the-art approach in practice, which seems to be, among others, due to the following reasons. Concerning the specification phase, firstly, existing model transformation languages do not provide appropriate abstraction mechanisms to deal with the complexity of overcoming structural heterogeneities between different metamodels, a form of heterogeneity well known in the area of database systems (cf., e.g., [Kashyap and Sheth 1996]), when specifying mappings between different schemata. Secondly, current approaches lack suitable reuse mechanisms in order to reduce the high and error-prone effort of specifying recurring transformations. Concerning the execution phase, firstly, transformation engines used for executing model transformations operate on a considerably lower level of abstraction than the specified mapping leading to an impedance mismatch between specification and execution. Secondly, current transformation languages provide a limited view on the execution of model transformations, since metamodels, models, transformation specification, and trace information are scattered across different artifacts, all of them hampering understandability and debuggabilty of model transformations.

2. Goals

Our overall goal is to provide a framework for developing model transformations, in order to resolve structural heterogeneities between metamodels which tackles the aforementioned limitations of existing approaches. This overall goal can be further divided into three subgoals. Firstly, the specification phase should be supported by appropriate abstraction mechanisms and reuse facilities to increase productivity of transformation development and to ensure the quality of the resulting transformations. Secondly, the execution phase should be facilitated by a suitable representation of the runtime characteristics of a transformation together with debugging services to enhance understandability of transformations and to improve their correctness. Thirdly, the concepts developed for both phases should be applicable to certain selected existing model transformation languages such as the QVT standard.

^{*} This work has been partly funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under grant P21374-N13.

3. Approach

To realize our goals, a dedicated framework called TROPIC (Transformations on Petri Nets in Color) is provided allowing the specification and usage of mapping operators for certain model transformation scenarios as well as the actual execution and debugging of transformations, either in a standalone manner or as a front-end for other model transformation languages. In particular, TROPIC provides two views on a transformation problem, namely an abstract mapping view which declaratively describes the semantic correspondences on a high level of abstraction and a transformation view which reveals all the details of the transformation logic being immediately executable.

Mapping View. The mapping view comprises mapping operators which connect source metamodel elements to target metamodel elements [Kappel et al. 2008]. These mapping operators encapsulate recurring transformation logic and are offered to a transformation designer by means of an extensible library. For defining the reusable mapping operators, we use a subset of the UML 2 component diagram concepts, since they are declarative in nature, provide a blackbox mechanism to hide transformation logic details and support an interface concept allowing for the composition of mapping operators.

Transformation View. An executable transformation view is generated on basis of the mapping view. For this, each mapping operator of the mapping view must be provided with a well defined operational semantics in the form of some executable piece of transformation logic. For realizing the transformation view, we are using a modified form of Colored Petri Nets [Jensen 1992], denoted as Transformation Nets [Reiter et al. 2007, Wimmer et al. 2009a,b,c] due to the following reasons. Firstly, Transformation Nets enable a process-oriented execution of transformations, each mapping operator being realized by an independent set of transitions and places without the need for specifying an explicit control flow, thus preventing any impedance mismatch between mapping view and transformation view. Secondly, Transformation Nets allow for a homogenous representation of all artifacts involved in a model transformation, thus being especially suited for gaining an understanding of the intricacies of a specific model transformation. Finally, Transformation Nets inherently form an explicit runtime model being immediately executable, thus facilitating the debugging of model transformations.

4. Implementation

A first prototype to build up the mapping view and the transformation view as well as to execute a certain transformation is already operational which will be applied in several case studies to verify our approach. This prototype is built on the Eclipse Modeling Framework (EMF) in combination with the Graphical Modeling Framework (GMF) and is realized as Eclipse plugin.

5. Evaluation

In the course of evaluating our approach it should be tested, whether productivity, quality, understanding and debuggability of model transformations are increased through the application of metrics as e.g. proposed in [van Amstel et al. 2009]. For this purpose, we intend to conduct *case studies* with a representative selection of metamodels defining structural and behavioral languages as well as *empirical studies* with 200 master students of our MDE courses. Furthermore, we intend to arrange *collaborative studies* with three international project partners, being the inventors of other model transformation languages (Prof. Dr. Jean Bzivin, Prof. Dr. Andy Schürr) as well as of Colored Petri Nets (Prof. Dr. Kurt Jensen) in the form of dedicated workshops.

References

- J. Bézivin. On the Unification Power of Models. *Journal on Software and Systems Modeling*, 4(2):171–188, 2005.
- K. Czarnecki and S. Helsen. Feature-based survey of model transformation approaches. *IBM Systems Journal*, 45(3):621–645, 2006
- K. Jensen. Coloured Petri nets: basic concepts, analysis methods, and practical use. Springer, 1992.
- Gerti Kappel, Horst Kargl, Thomas Reiter, Werner Retschitzegger, Wieland Schwinger, Michael Strommer, and Manuel Wimmer. A Framework for Building Mapping Operators Resolving Structural Heterogeneities. In *Proc. of Information Systems and e-Business Technologies (UNISCON'08)*, Klagenfurt, 2008.
- Vipul Kashyap and Amit Sheth. Semantic and Schematic Similarities between Database Objects: A Context-based approach. *The VLDB Journal*, 5(4):276–304, 1996.
- T. Reiter, M. Wimmer, and H. Kargl. Towards a runtime model based on Colored Petri Nets for the execution of model transformations. In *Proc. of 3rd Workshop on Models and Aspects* @ *ECOOP'07*, Berlin, 2007.
- Marcel van Amstel, Christian Lange, and Mark van den Brand. Using Metrics for Assessing the Quality of ASF+SDF Model Transformations. In *Proc. of ICMT2009 Int. Conf. on Model Transformation Theory and Practice of Model Transformations*, Zurich, 2009. Springer.
- Manuel Wimmer, Angelika Kusel, Thomas Reiter, Werner Retschitzegger, and Wieland Schwinger. Lost in Translation? Transformation Nets to the Rescue! In *Proc. of 8th Int. Conf. on Information Systems Technology and its Applications (UNIS-CON'09)*, pages 315–327, Sydney, 2009a. Springer.
- Manuel Wimmer, Angelika Kusel, Johannes Schoenboeck, Gerti Kappel, Werner Retschitzegger, and Wieland Schwinger. Reviving QVT Relations: Model-based Debugging using Colored Petri Nets. In *MoDELS '09: Proc. of the 12th Int. Conf. on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems*, Denver, USA, 2009b. Springer. Short Paper.
- Manuel Wimmer, Angelika Kusel, Johannes Schoenboeck, Thomas Reiter, Werner Retschitzegger, and Wieland Schwinger. Let's Play the Token Game Model Transformations Powered By Transformation Nets. In *Proc. of Int. Workshop on Petri Nets and Software Engineering*, pages 35–50, Paris, 2009c.