

Bioinformatics I

Sequence Analysis and Phylogenetics

Winter Semester 2008/2009

by Sepp Hochreiter

© 2008 Sepp Hochreiter

This material, no matter whether in printed or electronic form, may be used for personal and educational use only. Any reproduction of this manuscript, no matter whether as a whole or in parts, no matter whether in printed or in electronic form, requires explicit prior acceptance of the author.

Legend

- (\rightarrow) : explained later in the text, forward reference
- *italic*: important term (in most cases explained)

Literature

- D. W. Mount, Bioinformatics: Sequences and Genome analysis, CSHL Press, 2001.
- D. Gusfield, Algorithms on strings, trees and sequences: computer science and cmomputational biology, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- R. Durbin, S. Eddy, A. Krogh, G. Mitchison, Biological sequence analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
- M. Waterman, Introduction to Computational Biology, Chapmann & Hall, 1995.
- Setubal and Meidanis, Introduction to Computational Molecular Biology, PWS Publishing, 1997.
- Pevzner, Computational Molecular Biology, MIT Press, 2000.
- J. Felsenstein: Inferring phylogenies, Sinauer, 2004.
- W. Ewens, G. Grant, Statistical Methods in Bioinformatics, Springer, 2001.
- M. Nei, S. Kumar, Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics, Oxford 2000.
- Blast: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/tutuotial/Altschul-1.html

Contents

1	Biolo	agical Basics							
	1.1	The Cell							
	1.2	Central Dogma of Molecular Biology							
	1.3	DNA							
	1.4	RNA							
	1.5	Transcription							
		1.5.1 Initiation							
		1.5.2 Elongation							
		1.5.3 Termination							
	1.6	Introns, Exons, and Splicing 17							
	1.7	Amino Acids							
	1.8	Genetic Code							
	1.9	Translation							
		1.9.1 Initiation							
		1.9.2 Elongation							
		1.9.3 Termination							
	1.10	Folding 31							
2	Bioi	nformatics Resources 37							
	2.1	Data Bases							
	2.2	Software							
	2.3	Articles							
3	Pairwise Alignment 45								
	3.1	Motivation							
	3.2	Sequence Similarities and Scoring 47							
		3.2.1 Identity Matrix							
		3.2.2 PAM Matrices							
		3.2.3 BLOSUM Matrices							
		3.2.4 Gap Penalties							
	3.3	Alignment Algorithms							
		3.3.1 Global Alignment — Needleman-Wunsch							
		3.3.1 Global Alignment — Needleman-Wunsch 61 3.3.1.1 Linear Gap Penalty 61							
		3.3.1 Global Alignment — Needleman-Wunsch 61 3.3.1.1 Linear Gap Penalty 61 3.3.1.2 Affine Gap Penalty 66							
		3.3.1 Global Alignment — Needleman-Wunsch 61 3.3.1.1 Linear Gap Penalty 61 3.3.1.2 Affine Gap Penalty 61 3.3.1.3 KBand Global Alignment 67							

		3.3.3	Fast Approximations: FASTA, BLAST and BLAT	72
			3.3.3.1 FASTA	76
			3.3.3.2 BLAST	76
			3.3.3.3 BLAT	79
	3.4	Alignn	nent Significance	80
		3.4.1	Significance of HSPs	80
		3.4.2	Significance of Perfect Matches	82
4	Mul	tiple Al	lignment	85
	4.1	Motiva	ation	85
	4.2	Multip	ble Sequence Similarities and Scoring	87
		4.2.1	Consensus and Entropy Score	87
		4.2.2	Tree and Star Score	87
		4.2.3	Weighted Sum of Pairs Score	88
	4.3	Multip	ble Alignment Algorithms	90
		4.3.1	Exact Methods	92
		4.3.2	Progressive Algorithms	94
			4.3.2.1 ClustalW	96
			4.3.2.2 TCoffee	97
		4.3.3	Other Multiple Alignment Algorithms	97
			4.3.3.1 Center Star Alignment	97
			4.3.3.2 Motif- and Profile-based Methods	99
			4.3.3.3 Probabilistic and Model-based Methods	99
			4.3.3.4 Divide-and-conquer Algorithms	99
	4.4	Profile	es and Position Specific Scoring Matrices	102
5	Phyl	logonoti		105
5	5 1	Motive	ation	105
	5.1	5 1 1	Tree of Life	105
		512	Molecular Phylogenies	105
		513	Molecular i hylogemes	107
	52	J.1.J Mavim	num Parsimony Methods	108
	5.2	5 2 1	Tree Length	100
		527		112
		5.2.2	5.2.2.1 Branch and Bound	112
			5.2.2. Houristics for Trac Sourch	112
			5.2.2.1 Stepwise Addition Algorithm	113
			5.2.2.2.1 Superse Addition Algorithm	113
			5.2.2.2 Branch and Round Like	114
		523	Weighted Parsimony and Bootstranning	114
		52.5	Inconsistency of Maximum Parsimony	114
	53	5.2.4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	114
		Dicton	ce-based Methods	116
	5.5	D_1 stan	Lice-based Methods	116 117
	5.5	Distant 5.3.1	UPGMA	116 117 117
	5.5	Distant 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3	uce-based Methods	116 117 117 118
	5.5	Distant 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4	Ice-based Methods Ice-based Methods UPGMA Iceast Squares Least Squares Iceast Squares Minimum Evolution Iceast Squares Neighbor Joining	116 117 117 118 118
	5.5	Distant 5.3.1 5.3.2 5.3.3 5.3.4 5.3.5	uce-based Methods	116 117 117 118 118 123

			5.3.5.1 Jukes Cantor	123
			5.3.5.2 Kimura	125
			5.3.5.3 Felsenstein / Tajima-Nei	126
			5.3.5.4 Tamura	126
			5.3.5.5 Hasegawa (HKY)	126
			5.3.5.6 Tamura-Nei	126
	5.4	Maxim	um Likelihood Methods	127
	5.5	Examp	les	129
A	Ami	no Acid	Characteristics	137
•				
В	List	of Bioin	iformatics Resources and Databases	139
	B.1	Bioinfo	ormatics Databases and Analysis Services	139
		B.I.I	General	139
		B.1.2	Genome, Proteome, Gene, Protein Domains and Patterns Searches	140
		B.1.3	Microarray Gene Expression Data	141
		B.1.4	Multiple Sequence Alignment	141
		B.1.5	Proteomics	142
		B.1.6	Secondary structure prediction	142
		B.1.7	Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms and other variations	143
		B.1.8	Tertiary and Quaternary Structure Databases, Prediction Comparison and	
			Dynamics	143
		B.1.9	Other Specialized Biomolecular Databases	144
		B.1.10	Metabolism databases	145
		B .1.11	Cell and Microorganism Culture	145
		B.1.12	Biodiversity Databases	145
		B.1.13	Other Services	145
	B.2	Species	s Specific databases	146
		B.2.1	Human	146
			B.2.1.1 Chromosomes	147
			B.2.1.2 Human mutation resources/databases	150
		B.2.2	Vertebrates	154
		B.2.3	Invertebrates	156
		B.2.4	Insects	157
		B.2.5	Plants	158
		B.2.6	Fungi	161
		B.2.7	Bacteria	162
		B.2.8	Viruses and Phages	170
		B.2.9	Archaea	171
		B.2.10	Mitochondria and Chloroplast	172

List of Figures

1.1	Prokaryotic cells of bacterium and cynaophyte (photosynthetic bacteria) 3
1.2	Eukaryotic cell of a plant
1.3	Cartoon of the "human genome project" 4
1.4	Central dogma is depicted
1.5	The deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) is depicted
1.6	The 5 nucleotides. 8
1.7	The Hydrogen bond between base pairs
1.8	The base pairs in the double helix
1.9	The DNA is depicted in detail
1.10	The storage of the DNA in the nucleus
1.11	The storage of the DNA in the nucleus as cartoon
1.12	The DNA is right-handed. 11
1.13	The difference between RNA and DNA is depicted
1.14	Detailed image of a tRNA
1.15	The transcription from DNA to RNA is depicted
1.16	The interaction of RNA polymerase and promoter for transcription is shown 16
1.17	Mechanism to regulate the initiation of transcription
1.18	Automatic termination of transcription
1.19	Example for splicing: hemoglobin
1.20	Splicing event. Nucleotide pattern stabilize a 3D RNA complex which results in
	splicing out the intron
1.21	Example of alternative splicing. Different proteins are build from one gene through
	splicing
1.22	A generic cartoon for an amino acid
1.23	All amino acids with their name, three and one letter code. The amino acids are
	arranged according to their chemical properties
1.24	Classification of amino acids
1.25	Peptide bond between glycine and alanine
1.26	Large ribosomal subunit 50S from x-ray diffraction at 2.40 Å
1.27	Possible initiation of translation (prokaryotes)
1.28	The translation elongation is depicted
1.29	Translation elongation. 33
1.30	Termination of the translation
1.31	Translation with multiple ribosomes is depicted
3.1	The main energetic pathways in the cell are depicted

3.2	Dot plot of the human triosephosphate isomerase with the same protein in yeast,	
	E. coli, and archaeon.	49
3.3	The idea of the banded global alignment algorithm is depicted	67
3.4	A fragment in a DNA sequence.	71
3.5	Fragments are aligned off the main diagonal	71
3.6	The FASTA algorithm. The four steps of the FASTA algorithm	77
3.7	Difference between BLAST and FASTA. BLAST extends local regions only on	
	the diagonal whereas FASTA uses banded alignments.	78
3.8	The idea of the keyword tree of the BLAST algorithm.	78
3.9	The density functions of the normal distribution (left) and the extreme value dis-	
	tribution (right).	80
4.1	Pairwise comparison of letters according to a tree	88
4.2	Pairwise comparison of letters according to a star.	89
4.3	Pairwise comparison of letters.	89
4.4	Matrix used for pairwise alignment.	92
4.5	Cube for aligning three sequences.	93
4.6	The figure depicts how motifs can be aligned in order to obtain landmarks for	
	multiple alignments.	99
4.7	The idea of the divide and conquer algorithm is depicted	100
4.8	A cut position i, j is depicted.	101
- 1		105
5.1	Tree of life for some animals. Birds are separated	105
5.2	Tree of life focused on the relation between human and apes	106
5.3	The root of the tree of life.	106
5.4	The gene tree for the gene α -hemoglobin compared to the species tree	107
5.5	The gene tree for the gene Glycosyl Hydrolase compared to the species tree	107
5.6	A tree topology to which a root node is added	110
5.7	The tree after the root node is added	110
5.8	Root set m_{12} is constructed from left set m_1 and right set m_2 .	110
5.9	The tree after the bottom up pass. Each node has its set of symbols constructed	
	from the subtrees of the node	111
5.10	The tree after the top down pass. Mutations are now marked by a crossed branch.	
	This tree contains three mutations at the column under consideration. Branches	
	which are not marked have mutations at other columns.	111
5.11	Example for constructing trees with the brunch-and-bound method. Trees with	
	STOP mark do not possess successors because their tree length exceeds the upper	
	bound	113
5.12	An example where maximum parsimony is inconsistent.	115
5.13	Three sequences where the triangle inequality does not hold for the <i>e</i> -value $(d(1,3) \leq d(1,3))$	2
	d(1,2) + d(2,3))	116
5.14	Construction of an additive tree from an additive metric. Node v is inserted	119
5.15	a) An initial star tree; b) the tree resulting from the star tree if neighbors A and B	
	are joined; c) the tree resulting from the tree in b) by joining AB and D	121
5.16	An initial star tree with center X and the tree resulting from the star tree if neigh-	
	bors 1 and 2 are joined and hidden node Y is introduced	121
5.17	Leaves i and j are joined to new leave u	122

5.18	The Fitch-Margoliash method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of	
	experiment 1	129
5.19	The Fitch-Margoliash method under the assumption of molecular clock ("kitsch")	
	for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experiment 1	130
5.20	The neighbor joining method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of	
	experiment 1	130
5.21	The UPGMA method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experi-	
	ment 1	131
5.22	The Fitch-Margoliash method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of	
	experiment 2	131
5.23	The Fitch-Margoliash method under the assumption of molecular clock ("kitsch")	
	for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experiment 2	131
5.24	The neighbor joining method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of	
	experiment 2	132
5.25	The UPGMA method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experi-	
	ment 2	132
5.26	Relation humans, chimpanzee, gorillas, oran utans and gibbons (part 1)	133
5.27	Relation humans, chimpanzee, gorillas and oran utans (part 2)	134
5.28	Tree of life from a special perspective	135

List of Tables

1.1	Main properties of amino acids. Cysteine and methionine are able to form disulfide	~ ~
1.0	bonds through their sulfur atoms.	25
1.2	Hydrophobicity scales.	26
1.3	The genetic code	27
2.1	Selected data bases	38
2.2	Selection of software.	40
2.3	Overview over some genomes.	42
3.1	Amino acid frequencies according to Dayhoff et. al (1978)	51
3.2	Cumulative Data for computing PAM with 1572 changes	52
3.3	1 PAM evolutionary distance (times 10000)	53
3.4	250 PAM evolutionary distance (times 100)	53
3.5	Log-odds matrix for PAM250.	54
3.6	BLOSUM62 scoring matrix.	58
3.7	Example for backtracking in the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm with linear penal-	
	ties $d = 1$ and BLOSUM62 (part 1)	64
3.8	Example for backtracking in the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm with linear penal-	
	ties $d = 1$ and BLOSUM62 (part 2)	65
3.9	Example for backtracking in the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm with affine penal-	
	ties $d = 20, e = 1$ and BLOSUM62 (part 1)	69
3.10	Example for backtracking in the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm with affine penal-	
	ties $d = 20, e = 1$ and BLOSUM62 (part 2)	70
3.11	Example for backtracking in the Smith-Waterman algorithm with affine penalties	
	d = 20, e = 4 and BLOSUM62 (part 1)	74
3.12	Example for backtracking in the Smith-Waterman algorithm with affine penalties	
	d = 20, e = 4 and BLOSUM62 (part 2)	75
4.1	Overview over multiple alignment programs.	91
5.1	Different models of nucleotide substitution.	124
A.1	Solvent accessibility of amino acids in known protein structures	137
A.2	Chemical properties of amino acids.	138

List of Algorithms

3.1	Needleman-Wunsch with linear gap	63
3.2	Needleman-Wunsch with affine gap	68
3.3	Smith-Waterman with linear gap	73
4.1	A^* -algorithm	95

Chapter 1

Biological Basics

This chapter gives an overview over the biological basics needed in bioinformatics. Students with a background in biology or life sciences may skip this chapter if they are familiar with cell biology or molecular biology.

The chapter starts with the structure of the eukaryotic cell, then states the "central dogma of molecular biology", explains the DNA, explains the RNA, discusses transcription, explains splicing, introduces amino acids, describes the genetic code, explains translation, and finally summarizes the protein folding process.

1.1 The Cell

Each human consists of 10 to 100 trillions $(10^{13} \text{ to } 10^{14})$ cells which have quite different functions. Muscle cells are needed to transform chemical energy into mechanical energy, nerve cells transport information via electrical potential, liver cells produce enzymes, sensory cells must respond to external conditions, blood cells must transport oxygen, sperm and egg cell are needed to reproduce, connective tissue cells are needed for bone, fat, fibers, etc.

We focus on the *eukaryotic* cells, i.e. complex cells with a nucleus as in mammals, in contrast to *prokaryotic* cells (no nucleus) found in bacteria and archaea (organisms similar to bacteria which live in extreme conditions). Each cell is a very complex organization like a whole country with power plants, export and import products, library, production machines, highly developed organization to keep the property, delivery systems, defense mechanism, information network, control mechanism, repair mechanism, regulation mechanism, etc.

A cell's diameter is between 10 and 30 μ m and consists mostly of water inside a membrane "bag". The membrane is a phospholipid bilayer with pores which allow things to go out of and into the cell.

The fluid within a cell is called "the cytoplasm" consisting besides the water of free amino acids (\rightarrow) , proteins (\rightarrow) , nucleic acid (\rightarrow) , RNA (\rightarrow) , DNA (\rightarrow) , glucose (energy supply medium), and more. The molecules of the cytoplasm are 50% proteins, 15% nucleic acids, 15% carbohydrates (storage devices or building block for structures), 10% lipids (structures with water hating tails; needed to build membranes), and 10% other. Inside the cytoplasm there are various structures called *organelles* (with membranes) whereas the remaining fluid is called "cytosol" (mostly water).

Organelles:

- *Nucleus*: location of the DNA, transcription and many "housekeeping" proteins (→); center is nucleolus where ribosomal RNA is produced.
- *Endoplasmic Reticulum* (ER): protein construction and transport machinery; smooth ER also participates at the synthesis of various lipids, fatty acids and steroids (e.g., hormones), carbohydrate metabolism.
- Ribosomes (→): either located on the ER or free in the cytosol; machinery for translation (→), i.e. mRNA (→) is transformed into amino acid sequences which fold (→) and become the proteins.
- Golgi Apparatus: glycosylation, secretion processes proteins which are transported in vesicles (chemical changes or adding of molecules).
- Lysosomes: digestion; contain digestive enzymes (acid hydrolases) to digest macromolecules. Contains lipase, which digests lipids; carbohydrases, which digest carbohydrates (e.g., sugars); proteases, which digest proteins; nucleases, which digest nucleic acids.
- Centrosome: important for cell cycle
- Peroxisomes: catabolic reactions through oxygen; they rid the cell of toxic substances.
- Microtubules: build from tubulin, cell structure elements (size of the cell) and transport ways for transport proteins
- Cytoskeleton: Microtubules, actin and intermediate filaments. These are structure building components.
- Mitochondria: energy (ATP (→)) production from food, has its on genetic material and ribosomes (37 genes (→) in humans variants are called "haplotypes" (→)), only maternal inheritance

The only difference between cells is the different proteins they produce. Protein production not only determines the cell type but also body functions, thinking, immune response, healing, hormone production and more. The cells are build of proteins and everything which occurs in the human body is realized by proteins. Proteins are the substances of life. In detail they are

- enzymes catalyzing chemical reactions,
- sensor (pH value, chemical concentration),
- storage containers (fat),
- transporters of molecules (hemoglobin transports O₂),
- structural components of the tissue (tubulin, actin collagen),
- mechanical devices (muscle contraction, transport),
- communication machines in the cell (decoding information, transcription, translation),



Figure 1.1: Prokaryotic cells of bacterium and cynaophyte (photosynthetic bacteria). Figure from http://ccgb.umn.edu/~mwd/cell.html.



Figure 1.2: Eukaryotic cell of a plant.



THE G-NOME PROJECT

Figure 1.3: Cartoon of the "human genome project".

- markers
- gene regulation parts (binding to nucleic acids),
- hormones and their receptors (regulation of target cells),
- components of the defense and immune system (antibodies),
- neurotransmitter and their receptors,
- nano-machines for building, reconfiguring, and reassembling proteins, and more.

All information about the proteins and, therefore, about the organism is coded in the DNA (\rightarrow) . The DNA decoding is famous under the term "human genome project" – as all information about an organism is called genome (see Fig. 1.3) for a cartoon of this project).

1.2 Central Dogma of Molecular Biology

The central dogma of molecular biology says "DNA makes RNA makes protein". Therefore, all knowledge about life and its building blocks, the proteins, is coded in the DNA. RNA is the blueprint from parts of the DNA which is read out to be supplied to the protein construction site. The making of RNA from DNA is called "*transcription*" and the making of protein from RNA is called "*translation*". In eukaryotic cells the DNA is located in the nucleus but also chloroplasts (in plants) and mitochondria contain DNA.

The part of the DNA which codes a single protein is called "gene". However scientist were forced to modify the statement "one gene makes one protein" in two ways. First, some proteins



Figure 1.4: Central dogma is depicted.

consist of substructures each of which is coded by a separate gene. Secondly, through alternative splicing (\rightarrow) one gene can code different proteins.

1.3 DNA

The *deoxyribonucleic acid* (*DNA*) codes all information of life (with some viral exceptions where information is coded in RNA) and represents the human genome. It is a double helix where one helix is a sequence of nucleotides with a deoxyribose (see Fig. 1.5). The single strand DNA ends are called 5' and 3' ("five prime" and "three prime"), where this refers to the sides of the sugar molecule with 5' at the phosphates side and 3' at the hydroxyl group. The DNA is written from 5' to 3' and *upstream* means towards the 5' end and *downstream* towards the 3' end.

There exists 5 *nucleotides* (see Fig. 1.6): *adenine* (A), *thymine* (T), *cytosine* (C), *guanine* (G), and *uracil* (U). The first 4 are found in the DNA whereas uracil is used in RNA instead of thymine. They form two classes: the *purines* (A, G) and the *pyrimidines* (C, U, T). The nucleotides are often called *nucleobases*.

In the double helix there exist hydrogen bonds between a purine and a pyrimidine where the pairing is A–T and C–G (see Fig. 1.7 and Fig. 1.8). These pairings are called *base pairs*. Therefore each of the two helices of the DNA is complementary to the other (i.e. the code is redundant). The DNA uses a 4-digit alphabet similar to computer science where a binary alphabet is used.

The DNA is condensed in the nucleus through various processes and many proteins resulting in *chromosomes* (humans have 23). The DNA wraps around histones (special proteins) resulting in a structure called chromatin. Two strands of chromatin linked together at the centromere give a chromosome. See Fig. 1.10 and Fig. 1.11.

However, the DNA of humans differs from person to person as single nucleotides differ which makes us individual. Our characteristics as eye or hair color, tall or not, ear or nose form, skills, etc is determined by small differences in our DNA. The DNA and also its small differences to other persons is inherited from both parents by 23 chromosomes. An exception is the mitochondrial DNA, which is inherited only from the mother.

If a variation in the DNA at the same position occurs in at least 1% of the population then it is called a *single nucleotide polymorphism* (SNP – pronounced snip). SNPs occur all 100 to 300 base pairs. Currently many research groups try to relate preferences for special diseases to SNPs (schizophrenia or alcohol dependence).

Note, the DNA double helix is righthanded, i.e. twists as a "right-hand screw" (see Fig. 1.12 for an error).



Figure 1.5: The deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) is depicted.



Figure 1.6: The 5 nucleotides.



Figure 1.7: The Hydrogen bond between base pairs.



Figure 1.8: The base pairs in the double helix.



Figure 1.9: The DNA is depicted in detail.



Figure 1.10: The storage of the DNA in the nucleus. (1) DNA, (2) chromatin (DNA with histones), (3) chromatin strand, (4) chromatin (2 copies of the DNA linked at the centromere), (5) chromosome.



Figure 1.11: The storage of the DNA in the nucleus as cartoon.



Figure 1.12: The DNA is right-handed.

1.4 RNA

Like the DNA the *ribonucleic acid* (*RNA*) is a sequence of nucleotides. However in contrast to DNA, RNA nucleotides contain ribose rings instead of deoxyribose and uracil instead of thymine (see Fig. 1.13). RNA is transcribed from DNA through RNA polymerases (enzymes) and further processed by other proteins.

Very different kinds of RNA exists:

- Messenger RNA (mRNA): first it is translated from the DNA (eukaryotic pre-mRNA), after maturation (eukaryote) it is transported to the protein production site, then it is transcribed to a protein by the ribosome; It is a "blueprint" or template in order to translate genes into proteins which occurs at a huge nano-machine called ribosome.
- Transfer RNA (tRNA): non-coding small RNA (74-93 nucleotides) needed by the ribosome to translate the mRNA into a protein (see Fig. 1.14); each tRNA has at the one end complementary bases of a codon (three nucleotides which code a certain amino acid) and on the other end an amino acid is attached; it is the basic tool to translate nucleotide triplets (the codons) into amino acids.
- Double-stranded RNA (dsRNA): two complementary strands, similar to the DNA (sometimes found viruses)
- Micro-RNA (miRNA): two approximately complementary single-stranded RNAs of 20-25 nucleotides transcribed from the DNA; they are not translated but build a dsRNA shaped as hairpin loop which is called *primary miRNA* (*pri-miRNA*); miRNA regulates the expression of other genes as it is complementary to parts of mRNAs;
- RNA interference (RNAi): fragments of dsRNA interferes with the expression of genes which are at some locations similar to the dsRNA
- Small/short interfering RNA (siRNA): 20-25 nucleotide-long RNA which regulates expression of genes; produced in RNAi pathway by the enzyme Dicer (cuts dsRNA into siRNAs).
- Non-coding RNA (ncRNA), small RNA (sRNA), non-messenger RNA (nmRNA), functional RNA (fRNA): RNA which is not translated
- *Ribosomal RNA (rRNA)*: non-coding RNA from which build the ribosome together with various proteins
- Small nuclear RNA (snRNA): non-coding, with the nucleus (eukaryotic cells); used for RNA splicing
- *Small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA)*: non-coding, small RNA molecules for modifications of rRNAs
- Guide RNA (gRNA): non-coding, only in few organism for RNA editing
- Efference RNA (eRNA): non-coding, intron sequences or from non-coding DNA; function is assumed to be regulation of translation



Figure 1.13: The difference between RNA and DNA is depicted.



Figure 1.14: Detailed image of a tRNA.

- Signal recognition particle (SRP): non-coding, RNA-protein complex; attaches to the mRNA of proteins which leave the cell
- *pRNA*: non-coding, observed in phages as mechanical machines
- *tmRNA*: found in bacteria with tRNA- and mRNA-like regions

1.5 Transcription

Transcription enzymatically copies parts of the DNA sequence by RNA polymerase to a complementary RNA. There are 3 types of RNA polymerase denoted by I, II, and III responsible for rRNA, mRNA, and tRNA, respectively. Transcription reads the DNA from the the 3' to 5' direction, therefore the complementary RNA is produced in the 5' to 3' direction (see Fig. 1.15).



Figure 1.15: The transcription from DNA to RNA is depicted.

Transcription consists of 3 phases: *initiation*, *elongation* and *termination*. We will focus on the eukaryotic transcription (the prokaryotic transcription is different but easier)

1.5.1 Initiation

The start is marked by a so-called *promoter* region, where specific proteins can bind to. *Core promoter* of genes contains binding sites for the basal transcription complex and RNA polymerase II and is within 50 bases upstream of the transcription initiation site. It is normally marked through a TATA pattern to which a TATA binding protein (TBP) binds. Subsequently different other proteins (transcription factors) attach to this protein which is then recognized by the polymerase. The polymerase starts then the transcription. The transcription factors together with polymerase II are the basal transcriptional complex (BTC).

Some promoters are not associated with the TATA pattern. Some genes share promoter regions and are transcribed simultaneously. The TATA pattern is more conservative as TATAAA or TATATA or mostly observed.

For polymerase II the order of the TBP associated factors is as follows:

- TFIID (Transcription Factor for polymerase II D) binds at the TATA box
- TFIIA holds TFIID and DNA together and enforces the interactions between them
- TFIIB binds downstream of TFIID
- TFIIF and polymerase II come into the game; the σ -subunit of polymerase is important to find promoter as the DNA is scanned but will be removed later (see Fig. 1.16)
- TFIIE enters and makes polymerase II mobile
- TFIIH binds and identifies the correct template strand, initiates the separation of the two DNA strands through helicase which obtains energy via ATP, phosphorylates one end the polymerase II which acts as a starting signal, and even repairs damaged DNA



Figure 1.16: The interaction of RNA polymerase and promoter for transcription is shown. (1) The polymerase binds at the DNA and scans it until (2) the promoter is found. (3) polymerase/promoter complex is build. (4) Initiation of the transcription. (5) and (6) elongation with release of the polymerase σ -subunit.

TFIIH and TFIIE strongly interact with one another as TFIIH requires TFIIE to unwind the promoter.

Also the initiation is regulated by interfering proteins and inhibition of the chromatin structure. Proteins act as signal and interact with the promoter or the transcription complex and prevent transcription or delay it (see Fig. 1.17). The chromatin structure is able to stop the initiation of the transcription by hiding the promoter and can be altered by changing the histones.

1.5.2 Elongation

After initiation the RNA is actually written. After generation of about 8 nucleotides the σ -subunit is dissociated from polymerase.

For elongation there exist promoters like sequence-dependent arrest affected factors, chromatin structure oriented factors influencing the histone (phosphorylation, acetylation, methylation and ubiquination), RNA polymerase II catalysis improving factors.

The transcription can be stimulated e.g. through a CAAT pattern to which other transcription factors bind. Further transcription is regulation upstream control elements (UCEs, 200 bases upstream of initiation). But also far away enhancer elements exist which can be thousands of bases upstream or downstream of the transcription initiation site. Combinations of all these control elements regulate transcription.

1.5.3 Termination

Termination disassembles the polymerase complex and ends the RNA strand. It is a comparable simple process which can be done automatically (see Fig. 1.18). The automatic termination occurs because the RNA forms a 3D structure which is very stable (the stem-loop structure) through the G–C pairs (3 hydrogen bonds) and the weakly bounded A–U regions dissociate.

1.6 Introns, Exons, and Splicing

Splicing modifies pre-mRNA released after transcription. Non-coding sequences called *introns* (intragenic regions) are removed and coding sequences called *exons* are glued together. The exon sequence codes a certain protein (see Fig. 1.19).

A snRNA complex, the *spliceosome*, performs the splicing but some RNA sequences can perform autonomous splicing. Fig. 1.20 shows the process of splicing, where nucleotide pattern result in stabilizing a 3D conformation needed for splicing.

However pre-mRNA corresponding to a gene can be spliced in different ways (called *alternative splicing*), therefore a gene can code different proteins. This is a dense coding because proteins which share the same genetic subsequence (and, therefore, the same 3D substructure) can be coded by a single gene (see Fig. 1.21). Alternative splicing is controlled by various signaling molecules. Interestingly introns can convey old genetic code corresponding to proteins which are no longer needed.



Figure 1.17: Mechanism to regulate the initiation of transcription. Top (a): Repressor mRNA binds to operator immediately downstream the promoter and stops transcription. Bottom (b): Repressor mRNA is inactivate through a inducer and transcription can start.


Figure 1.18: Automatic termination of transcription. (a) Region with Us is actual transcribed. (b) The G–C base pairs form a RNA structure which is very stable through the G–C region (the stem-loop structure). (c) the stable structure breaks up the the unstable A–U region which is dissociated. Transcription stops.



Figure 1.19: Example for splicing: hemoglobin.



Figure 1.20: Splicing event. Nucleotide pattern stabilize a 3D RNA complex which results in splicing out the intron.



Figure 1.21: Example of alternative splicing. Different proteins are build from one gene through splicing.



Figure 1.22: A generic cartoon for an amino acid. "R" denotes the side chain which is different for different amino acids – all other atoms are identical for all amino acids except for proline.

1.7 Amino Acids

An amino acid is a molecule with amino and carboxylic acid groups (see Fig. 1.22).

There exist 20 standard amino acids (see Fig. 1.23).

In the following properties of amino acids are given like water hating (hydrophobic) or water loving (hydrophilic) (see Tab. 1.2 and Tab. 1.1), electrically charged (acidic = negative, basic = positive) (see Tab. 1.1). The main properties are depicted in Fig. 1.24. Hydrophobic are in the inside of the protein because it is energetically favorable. Only charged or polar amino acids can build hydrogen bonds with water molecules (which are polar). If all molecules which cannot form these hydrogen bonds with water are put together then more molecules can form hydrogen bonds leading to an energy minimum. Think of fat on a water surface (soup) which also forms clusters. During folding of the protein the main force is the hydrophobic effect which also stabilizes the protein in its 3D structure. Other protein 3D-structure stabilizing forces are salt-bridges which can exist between a positive and negative charged amino acid. Further disulfide bridges (Cys and Met) are important both for folding and 3D-structure stability. The remaining 3D-structure forming forces are mainly hydrogen bonds between two backbones or two side-chains as well as between backbone and side-chain.

A sequence of amino acids, i.e. residues, fold to a 3D-structure and are called protein. The



Figure 1.23: All amino acids with their name, three and one letter code. The amino acids are arranged according to their chemical properties.

non-polar (hyd	rophob	ic)										
glycine	Gly	G										
alanine	Ala	А										
valine	Val	V										
leucine	Leu	L										
isoleucine	Ile	Ι										
methionine	Met	М										
phenylalanine	Phe	F										
tryptophan	Trp	W										
proline	Pro	Р										
polar (hydrophilic)												
serine	Ser	S										
threonine	Thr	Т										
cysteine	Cys	С										
tyrosine	Tyr	Y										
asparagine	Asn	Ν										
glutamine	Gln	Q										
acidic (-,hydr	ophilic)										
aspartic acid	Asp	D										
glutamic acid	Glu	Е										
basic (+,hydr	ophilic)										
lysine	Lys	Κ										
arginine	Arg	R										
histidine	His	Η										

Table 1.1: Main properties of amino acids. Cysteine and methionine are able to form disulfide bonds through their sulfur atoms.



Figure 1.24: Classification of amino acids.

	SA	Hyd Res	Hyd side
Glyă	47	1.18	0.0
Ala	86	2.15	1.0
Val	135	3.38	2.2
Ile	155	3.88	2.7
Leu	164	4.10	2.9
Pro	124	3.10	1.9
Cys	48	1.20	0.0
Met	137	3.43	2.3
Phe	39+155	3.46	2.3
Trp	37+199	4.11	2.9
Tyr	38+116	2.81	1.6
His	43+86	2.45	1.3
Thr	90	2.25	1.1
Ser	56	1.40	0.2
Gln	66	1.65	0.5
Asn	42	1.05	-0.1
Glu	69	1.73	0.5
Asp	45	1.13	-0.1
Lys	122	3.05	1.9
Arg	89	2.23	1.1

Table 1.2: Hydrophobicity scales (P.A.Karplus, Protein Science 6(1997)1302-1307)). "SA": Residue non-polar surface area [A2] (All surfaces associated with main- and side-chain carbon atoms were included except for amide, carboxylate and guanidino carbons. For aromatic side chains, the aliphatic and aromatic surface areas are reported separately.); "Hyd Res": Estimated hydrophobic effectăfor residue burial [kcal/mol]; "Hyd side": Estimated hydrophobic effectăfor side chain burial [kcal/mol] (The values are obtained from the previous column by subtracting the value for Gly (1.18 kcal/mol) from each residue).

First		Second Position													
(5° end)					(3° end)										
	U	С	А	G											
	UUU Phe	UCU Ser	UAU Tyr	UGU Cys	U										
U	UUC Phe	UCC Ser	UAC Tyr	UGC Cys	С										
	UUA Leu	UCA Ser	UAA Stop	UGA Stop	А										
	UUG Leu	UCG Ser	UAG Stop	UGG Trp	G										
	CUU Leu	CCU Pro	CAU His	CGU Arg	U										
С	CUC Leu	CCC Pro	CAC His	CGC Arg	С										
	CUA Leu	CCA Pro	CAA Gln	CGA Arg	А										
	CUG Leu	CCG Pro	CAG Gln	CGG Arg	G										
	AUU Ile	ACU Thr	AAU Asn	AGU Ser	U										
А	AUC Ile	ACC Thr	AAC Asn	AGC Ser	С										
	AUA Ile	ACA Thr	AAA Lys	AGA Arg	А										
	AUG Met	ACG Thr	AAG Lys	AGG Arg	G										
	GUU Val	GCU Ala	GAU Asp	GGU Gly	U										
G	GUC Val	GCC Ala	GAC Asp	GGC Gly	С										
	GUA Val	GCA Ala	GAA Glu	GGA Gly	А										
	GUG Val	GCG Ala	GAG Glu	GGG Gly	G										

Table 1.3: The genetic code. AUG not only codes for methionine but serves also as a start codon.

property of amino acids to form chains is essential for building proteins. The chains are formed through the peptide bonds. An *amino acid residue* results from peptide bonds of more amino acids where a water molecule is set free (see Fig. 1.25). The peptide bonds are formed during translation (\rightarrow) .

All proteins consists of these 20 amino acids. The specific 3D structure of the proteins and the position and interaction of the amino acids results in various chemical and mechanical properties of the proteins. All nano-machines are build from the amino acids and these nano-machines configure them-self if the correct sequence of amino acids is provided.

1.8 Genetic Code

The genetic code are instructions for producing proteins out of the DNA information. A protein is coded in the DNA through a gene which is a DNA subsequence with start and end makers. A gene is first transcribed into mRNA which is subsequently translated into an amino acid sequence which folds to the protein. The genetic code gives the rules for translating a nucleotide sequence into a amino acid sequence. These rules are quite simple because 3 nucleotides correspond to one amino acid, where the nucleotide triplet is called *codon*. The genetic code is given in Tab. 1.3. AUG and CUG serve as a start codon, however for prokaryotes the start codons are AUU and GUG.



Figure 1.25: Peptide bond between glycine and alanine. The COO side of glycine (the carboxyl group) and the NH³ side (the amino group) of alanine form a C-NO bond which is called a peptide bond. A water molecule is set free during forming the peptide bond.



Figure 1.26: Large ribosomal subunit 50S from x-ray diffraction at 2.40 Å. Helices indicate positions of proteins and strands are the RNA.

1.9 Translation

After transcription the pre-mRNA is spliced and edited and the mature mRNA is transported out of the nucleus into the cytosol (eukaryotes). The protein production machinery, the ribosome, is located in the cytosol. The *ribosome* assembles the amino acid sequences out of the code written on the mRNA. See Fig. 1.26 for a detailed image of the ribosome. It consists of two subunits 60S and 40S in eukaryotes and 50S and 30S in bacteria.

As transcription also translation consists of 3 phases: *initiation, elongation* and *termination*. The main difference between prokaryotic translation and eukaryotic translation is the initiation (prokaryotic initiation has 3 factor whereas eukaryotic has 11 factors). In prokaryotes the translation initiation complex is build directly at the initiation site whereas in eukaryotes the initiation site is searched for by a complex. We will focus on the prokaryotic transcription.

1.9.1 Initiation

The ribosomes have dissociated subunits if they are not active. On the mRNA the ribosome binding site is marked by the pattern AGGAGGU which is called Shine-Dalgarno sequence. At this site the initiation factors IF1, IF2 and IF3 as well as the 30S ribosomal subunit bind. The initiator tRNA binds to the start codon. Then the 50S subunit binds to the complex and translation can start. See Fig. 1.27 for a possible initiation process.



Figure 1.27: Possible initiation of translation (prokaryotes). "E","P","A" denote exit, pepidyl, aminoacyl binding sites, respectively. (1) initiation factors IF1 and IF3 bind to the 30S ribosome subunit, (2) initiation factor IF2, mRNA, and the 30S subunit form a complex at the Shine-Dalgarno sequence before the start codon (mostly AUG). The initiator tRNA containing N-formyl methionine (fMet) binds to the start codon, (3) the 50S subunit binds to the complex and IF1, IF2, and IF3 are released.

1.9.2 Elongation

Translation proceeds from the 5' end to the 3' end. Each tRNA which enters the ribosomalmRNA complex binds at the A-site at its specific codon. Then a peptide bond of the new amino acid attached to the tRNA with the last amino acid of the existing polypeptide chain is build. The tRNA is moved forward to the P-side waiting for the next tRNA to come in. If the tRNA's amino acid forms a peptide bond with the next amino acid then it moves to the E-site where it is released. Figures 1.28 and 1.29 depict how the amino acid sequence is extended.

1.9.3 Termination

Termination is indicated by a stop codon (UAA, UAG, UGA) which enters the A-site. tRNAs cannot bind to this codon however release factors bind at or near the A-site. Either the release factors or the stop codon itself leads to the termination of translation. The amino acid chain is released and the 70S ribosome becomes unstable and dissociates into its parts. See Fig. 1.30 for the translation termination process. The 30S subunit may still be attached to the mRNA and searching for the next Shine-Dalgarno pattern.

Translation occurs at the rate of transcription. *E. coli* ribosomes can synthesize a 300-residue polypeptide in 20 seconds. A speed up of the translation occurs through multiple ribosomes attached to the same mRNA (see Fig. 1.31 for an example).

1.10 Folding

The last stage of protein production is the folding of the polypeptide chain into the protein. Only the correct folded protein can do its job and function correctly. Wrongly folded proteins lead to Creutzfeld-Jacob disease, Alzheimer disease, Bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE or "mad cow disease") and even the Parkinson disease may be caused by accumulations of misfolded proteins which degradation is not possible.

Even large proteins always fold in their specific 3D structure, therefore folding is not a random process but a complicated procedure with lot of interactions between the amino acids and water. The folding pathways are sometimes not unique and possess intermediate states of folding.

The folding is sometimes assisted by special molecules called *chaperones*. There are different types of chaperones some hide the hydrophobic regions of the protein to ensure correct folding and avoid interference with other regions or proteins. Other chaperones act as containers where proteins are correctly folded.

The folding of a protein takes from milliseconds up to minutes or hours.

One of the major tasks in bioinformatics is the prediction of the 3D structure from the amino acid sequence. From the 3D structure the function of a protein can be guessed. More interesting is the construction of new proteins and nano-machines based on the predicted 3D structure.

The main forces for stabilizing proteins and for correct folding were given previously at the amino acid characteristics (hydrophobic effects, salt bridges, disulfide bridges, hydrogen bonds).



Figure 1.28: The translation elongation is depicted. (1) Val-tRNA binds to the ribosome-mRNA complex at the Val-coding region GUU, (2) the initial fMet forms a peptide bound with Val, (3) the next codon codes Gly and Gly-tRNA enters the complex, (4) the stop codon UGA lead to a release of the polypeptide.



Figure 1.29: Translation elongation. (1) A specific tRNA with amino acid (aa₆) binds at the A-site, (2) amino acids aa_5 and aa_6 form a peptide bond, (3) the aa_5 tRNA moves to the E-site and the aa_6 tRNA to the P-site, (4) the tRNA from the E-site is released and another cycle begins.



Figure 1.30: Termination of the translation. First a stop codon appears in the A-site, then release factors bind at the A-site, the polypeptide chain is released and the ribosome dissociates.



Figure 1.31: Translation with multiple ribosomes is depicted.

Chapter 2

Bioinformatics Resources

This chapter describes resources on the WWW and data bases needed for bioinformatics research.

The European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL - http://www.embl-heidelberg. de) maintains a nucleotide data base which is daily updated but supplies a many other sources for bioinformatics. A spin-off is the European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI-http://www.ebi.ac. uk/ebi_home.html) which maintains the SwissProt protein sequence data base and the Sequence Retrieval System (SRS - http://srs.ebi.ac.uk/) The ExPASy site (http://www.expasy. org/) integrates SwissProt & TrEMBL, PROSITE and some other resources (software, education etc.).

At the University College London the Biomolecular Structure and Modeling (BSM) maintains the PRINTS (protein fingerprints, i.e. multiple motifs) data base and the CATH protein structure database.

The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI – http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/) hosts the GenBank, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) DNA sequence data base and is famous through its BLAST software including the databases like the NR (non-redundant sequences) data base. NCBI also maintains the ENTREZ (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Entrez/) system which gives access to molecular biological data and articles. ENTREZ gives access to nucleotide sequences from GenBank, EMBL, DDBJ (DNA data base of Japan) as well as to protein sequences from SWISS-PROT, PIR, PRF, SEQDB, PDB.

Other important sites are the European EMBnet (http://www.embnet.org) the Sanger Centre founded by the Wellcome Trust (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Info/) The Martinsried Institute for Protein Sequences (MIPS - http://www.mips.biochem.mpg.de/)

2.1 Data Bases

Some of the important data bases are listed in Tab. 2.1. The most important DNA sequence data bases are GenBank (USA - http://www.psc.edu/general/software/packages/genbank/genbank.html), EMBL (Europe - http://www.embl-heidelberg.de/), and DDBJ (Japan - http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/).

PIR supplies protein sequences which are classified according to the knowledge about the certain sequence and whether sequences are really translated. An other protein sequence data base is SWISS-PROT with much information about the sequences. TrEMBL gives sequences of all coding

Name	Т	U	URL
EMDI	NT	D	
EMBL	IN	D	http://www.embl-heidelberg.de/
PDB	Р	D	http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/Welcome.do
SCOP	Р	?	http://scop.berkeley.edu/
CATH	Р	?	http://cathwww.biochem.ucl.ac.uk/latest/
PIR	Р	W	http://pir.georgetown.edu/
SWISS-PROT	Р	W	http://www.expasy.org/sprot/
TrEMBL	Р	W	http://www.expasy.org/sprot/
Homstrad	Р	W	http://www-cryst.bioc.cam.ac.uk/~homstrad/
InterPro	Р	?	http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/
NR	Р	W	ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/blast/db
Pfam	Р	?	http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/Pfam/
UniProt	Р	?	http://www.expasy.uniprot.org/
PROSITE	Р	W	http://www.expasy.org/prosite/
PRINTS	Р	?	http://umber.sbs.man.ac.uk/dbbrowser/PRINTS/
BLOCKS	Р	?	http://blocks.fhcrc.org/
CAMPASS	Р	?	http://www-cryst.bioc.cam.ac.uk/~campass/

Table 2.1: Selected data bases. The column "T" stands for type and gives whether is nucleotide ("N") or protein ("P") related. "U" gives the update ("D" = daily, "W"=weekly, "?" = unknown). The last column gives the URL.

sequences in EMBL and is an add on to SWISS-PROT, where many sequences will eventually go into SWISS-PROT.

NR is a data base mainly used with BLAST search and comprises all non-redundant (non-identical) sequences. It contains more than 3 mio. sequences and for a BLAST or PSI-BLAST run a new sequence is compared with all sequences in the NR data base giving the best hits with their statistics. Often used if instead of a sequence an average sequences should be processed (average of all sequences which are very similar to the sequences at hand). Processing the average of a sequences has given large improvements in protein secondary structure prediction and for protein classification.

PROSITE is a protein classification data base where proteins are classified according to motifs (special amino acid patterns for the classes). Some classes in PROSITE do not possess a pattern and a profile (a weighted pattern) is supplied. Many protein classes possess patterns like the 2FE-2SE class were a cystine pattern is necessary to keep a ferro-sulfur structure (for electron transfer) through disulfide bonds in place.

PRINTS is also a motif data base (fingerprints) where more than one motif is combined to identify a protein class. The motifs are mostly found by multiple alignment.

BLOCKS is a data base of highly conserved regions and is related to PROSITE and to PRINTS.

PFAM is a data base where alignments are coded in hidden Markov models (HMMs).

SCOP is a 3D protein structure data base where domains (separate substructures) are manually classified into structural classes. SCOP is an important data base (besides CATH) for protein 3D structure prediction. The hierarchy of the classification is "class", "fold", "superfamily", "fam-

ily". "Class" only separates helical, beta-sheet, or mixed structures but contains special protein like membrane proteins, short proteins, or artificially constructed protein. "Fold" classes contain domains with similar 3D structure (same secondary structure in the same arrangement). "Superfamily" contains proteins where a common evolutionary origin is probable based on sequence similarities (remote homologous). "Families" contain proteins which are sufficient similar (in sequence or structure) to one another, in order to be sure that they are evolutionary related and have in most cases the same function. The sequence data for scop can be obtained from the ASTRAL data base.

CATH is like SCOP 3D protein structure data base of domains. Main difference to SCOP is that the classification is made automatically (even if manual inspections are done). Another difference is the classification scheme, where the hierarchy is "class", "architecture", "topology", "homology", "sequence". "Class" is as in SCOP. "Architecture" classes contain proteins which have similar 3D shape even if the secondary structure connection is different. "Topology" also considers in contrast to "architecture" the connectivity of secondary elements and is similar to the "fold" class of SCOP. "Homology" is similar to "family" of scop because an evolutionary connection is highly probable. "Sequence" contains evolutionary closely related proteins with the same function.

HOMSTRAD (Homologous STRucture Alignment Database) is a database of structure-based alignments for homologous protein families. Structures are classified into homologous families and the sequences of each family are aligned on the basis of their 3D structures.

CAMPASS (CAMbridge database of Protein Alignments organized as Structural Superfamilies) structurally superimposes members (25% sequence identity and good resolution) of SCOP superfamilies. Then an sequence alignment is manually made.

InterPro is a database of protein families, domains and functional sites. It integrates information from PROSITE, PRINTS, SMART, Pfam, ProDom, etc.

UniProt (Universal Protein Resource) joins the information contained in Swiss-Prot, TrEMBL, and PIR.

2.2 Software

Tab. 2.2 lists some software which is useful in bioinformatics research. These software is basic bioinformatics software. Important machine learning software can be found at http://www. kernel-machines.org/ under "software" where the libSVM and torch package is recommended. For feature selection the "spider" software can be used. For feature selection and classification a special software, the PSVM software can be found under http://www.bioinf.jku.at/ software/psvm/.

EMBOSS is a toolbox with many useful bioinformatics programs (e.g. standard alignment programs) in source code.

Domainatrix is a toolbox based on EMBOSS for protein domain processing (SCOP) with many useful programs.

BLAST is the standard local alignment program. Probably the most used bioinformatics program. For averaging sequences PSI-BLAST is comfortable as it makes multiple runs through a data base (e.g. NR) and provides a multiple alignment of the best hits.

Software	application	URL
EMBOSS	toolbox	http://emboss.sourceforge.net
Domainatrix	tools domains	http://emboss.sourceforge.net/embassy/domainatrix/
BLAST	homology search	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/
PHRAP	shotgun DNA	http://www.phrap.org/
Babel	converts formats	http://openbabel.sourceforge.net/wiki/Main_Page
BioPerl	toolbox perl	http://www.bioperl.org/
clustalw	multiple alig.	ftp://ftp-igbmc.u-strasbg.fr/pub/ClustalW/
modeller	building model	http://salilab.org/modeller/download_installation.html
phylip	phylogenetics	http://evolution.gs.washington.edu/phylip.html
pymol	good viewer	http://pymol.sourceforge.net/
rasmol	fast viewer	http://www.umass.edu/microbio/rasmol/
molscript	nice images	http://www.avatar.se/molscript/obtain_info.html
strap	java toolbox	http://www.charite.de/bioinf/strap/
tinker	mol. dyn., fortran	http://www.es.embnet.org/Services/MolBio/tinker/
biodesigner	mol. dynamics	http://www.pirx.com/biodesigner/download.html
threader	threading	http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/threader/threader.html
loopp	treading	http://folding.chmcc.org/loopp/loopp.html
prospect	threading	http://compbio.ornl.gov/structure/prospect/
sspro4	sec. struc.	http://contact.ics.uci.edu/download.html
psipred	sec. struc	ftp://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/pub/psipred/
prof	sec. struc.	http://www.aber.ac.uk/compsci/Research/bio/dss/prof/
jnet	sec. struc.	http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/~www-jpred/jnet/download.html
PHD	sec. struc.	http://www.embl-heidelberg.de/predictprotein/predictprotein.html
DSSP	sec. struc. f. 3D	http://swift.cmbi.ru.nl/gv/dssp/
whatif	mol. modelling	http://swift.cmbi.kun.nl/whatif/
hmmer	alignment HMM	http://hmmer.wustl.edu/
ProsaII	struc. verf.	http://www.came.sbg.ac.at/Services/prosa.html
CE	struc. alig.	ftp://ftp.sdsc.edu/pub/sdsc/biology/CE/src/
DALI	struc. alig.	http://www.ebi.ac.uk/dali/

Table 2.2: Selection of software.

PHRAP is a program for assembling shotgun DNA sequence data.

Babel is a cross-platform program and library which interconverts between many file formats used in molecular modeling and computational chemistry.

BioPerl provides parsers, wrappers for other programs, GUI packages for other programs, a microarray package, etc. written in Perl.

Clustalw is the standard multiple alignment tool (also used by PSI-BLAST).

modeler produces a 3D model of a sequence given template structures and a multiple alignment of the sequence with the sequences of the template structures. To obtain the 3D model modeler optimizes the structure and satisfies spatial restraints. It is often used after threading spatial restraints or protein classification to build the final model of the structure, where templates are identified by threading or by protein classification.

Phylip is an (old) package to perform phylogenetic research.

Pymol is a very nice molecular viewer which allows to produce images, and movies. It can display the sequence which elements can be clicked on where the according side chains appear in the 3D model.

Rasmol is a molecular viewer which is simpler than pymol but is faster and does not access the graphic card directly. secondary structure

Molscript is used to produce nice molecular images for printed papers.

Strap is a java written GUI interface to many programs like different viewers, alignment programs, structural alignment programs.

Tinker is a molecular dynamics software written in fortran where the source code is available. Many optimization tools secondary structure are implemented to compute optimize the energy and to compute forces.

Biodesigner is a molecular modeling and visualization program. It is capable of creating homologous models of proteins, evaluate, and refine the models.

Threader (GenThreader) is a threading program which performed well in many tests.

LOOPP is a threading program where the source code is provided.

Prospect is a well known threading program.

SSpro4 is a secondary structure prediction secondary structure program based on recursive neural networks from Pierre Baldi. Source code is available.

PsiPred is a secondary structure prediction program where the source code is available. It is wildly used and performed good in different competitions.

Prof is a secondary structure prediction program where the source code is available.

Jnet is a secondary structure prediction program where the source code is available.

PHD is a secondary structure prediction program.

DSSP is a program to compute secondary structure out of a 3D structure by determining the hydrogen bonds.

Whatif is molecular modeling package for proteins in water, ligands, nucleic acids, etc.

organism	size [mio bases]	number genes	av. gene dens. [bases]	chromo- somes
Homo sapiens		0		
(human)	2900	30,000	1 / 100,000	46
Rattus norvegicus				
(rat)	2,750	30,000	1 / 100,000	42
Mus musculus				
(mouse)	2500	30,000	1 / 100,000	40
Drosophila melanogaster				
(fruit fly)	180	13,600	1 / 9,000	8
Arabidopsis thaliana				
(plant)	125	25,500	1 / 4000	10
Caenorhabditis elegans				
(roundworm)	97	19,100	1 / 5000	12
Saccharomyces cerevisiae				
(yeast)	12	6300	1 / 2000	32
Escherichia coli				
(bacteria)	4.7	3200	1 / 1400	1
H. influenzae				
(bacteria)	1.8	1700	1 / 1000	1

Table 2.3: Overview over some genomes.

Hmmer is a hidden Markov model software package which transforms an alignment into a HMM model. Advantage is that alignments can be coded in a probabilistic framework where the likelihood of a new sequence to belong to the aligned sequences can be computed. The transformation of alignments into HMMs is done via the HMMER software.

Prosall allows to verify 3D structures of proteins and can pick out parts of the structure which seem to be unlikely to be observed in nature.

CE is widely used structural alignment program. Given 2 3D protein structures, it superimposes them.

DALI is also a structural alignment program with an database of alignments.

2.3 Articles

To find articles "PubMed" http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=PubMed is recommended, for machine learning and computer science articles http://www.researchindex. org/ and for other articles http://scholar.google.com/.

Tab. 2.3 lists important steps in genome sequencing, where the size of the genome (number of genes), the average number of genes per 100,000 bases and the number of chromosomes is given. In the following the corresponding genome publication articles are listed.

Human

International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature . 409 : 860-921. (15 February 2001)

Rat

Rat Genome Sequencing Project Consortium. Genome Sequence of the Brown Norway Rat Yields Insights into Mammalian Evolution. *Nature* . **428** : 493-521. (1 April 2004)

Mouse

Mouse Genome Sequencing Consortium. Initial sequencing and comparative analysis of the mouse genome. *Nature* . **420** : 520 - 562. (5 December 2002)

Fruit Fly

M. D. Adams, et al. The genome sequence of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Science*. (24 March 2000) **287**: 2185-95.

Arabidopsis - First Plant Sequenced

The Arabidopsis Genome Initiative. Analysis of the genome sequence of the flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* **408** : 796-815. (14 December 2000)

Roundworm - First Multicellular Eukaryote Sequenced

The *C. elegans* Sequencing Consortium.Genome sequence of the nematode *C. elegans* : A platform for investigating biology.

Science . (11 December 1998) 282 : 2012-8.

Yeast

A. Goffeau, et al. Life with 6000 genes. Science . (25 October 1996) 274 : 546, 563-7.

Bacteria - E. coli

F. R. Blattner, et al. The complete genome sequence of Escherichia coli K-12. *Science* . **277** : 1453-1474. (5 September 1997)

Bacteria - H. influenzae - First Free-living Organism to be Sequenced

R. D. Fleischmann, et al. Whole-genome random sequencing and assembly of *Haemophilus in-fluenzae* Rd.

Science . (28 July 1995) 269 : 496-512.

In Appendix B an extended list and overview over data bases and resources in bioinformatics is given.

Chapter 3

Pairwise Alignment

This chapter introduces and discusses pairwise alignment methods. We consider sequences of amino acids but everything can be transferred to sequences of nucleotides.

3.1 Motivation

The cells of most organism function in a similar way. The proteins produced in cells of different species are very similar to one another because they must perform the same tasks like keeping up the energy supply by transforming and transporting energy (glucose cycles, anaerobic respiration, tricarboxylic acid cycle – the TCA, oxidative phosphorylation – see Fig. 3.1 for an overview of pathways).

Other pathways in living organisms include fatty acid oxidation, thin acid oxidation, gluconeogenesis, HMG-CoA reductase, pentose phosphate, porphyrin synthesis, or urea cycle. Many proteins have the same task in different organism like detecting of damage of and repairing the DNA (housekeeping proteins), carrying substances, membrane proteins, chromosomal proteins, collagens (tissue making), GTP binding proteins, gatekeeper proteins (ER entrance and exit control), molecular chaperones, ribosomal proteins, nucleoproteins, RNA binding proteins, receptor proteins, regulatory proteins, zinc finger proteins (an ions is kept), etc.

If a new sequence is obtained from genome sequencing then the first step is to look for are similarities to known sequences found in other organism. If the function/structure of similar sequences / proteins is known then it is highly likely that the new sequence correspond to protein with the same function/structure. It was found that only about 1% of the human genes do not have a counterpart in the mouse genome and that the average similarity between mouse and human genes is 85%. Such similarities exist because all cells possess a common ancestor cell (a mother cell). Therefore, in different organisms there may be mutations of amino acids in certain proteins because not all amino acids are important for the functions and can be replaced by amino acids which have similar chemical characteristics without changing the function. Sometimes the mutations are so numerous that it is difficult to find similarities. In some cases the relationship is only at the structural basis but mutations changed the function of the protein (e.g. TIM barrel proteins). However, even the structure is essential to infer the function.

The method to figure out functions of genes by similarities is called *comparative genomics* or *homology search*. A homologous sequence is similar to another sequence where the similarity stems from common ancestry.



Figure 3.1: The main energetic pathways in the cell are depicted.

The next sections will introduce similarity scoring schemes and alignment algorithms. In general scoring schemes (error functions, cost functions, energy functions, penalty functions) should be separated from optimization algorithms. Many optimization algorithms can be applied to different scoring schemes but there exist also optimization algorithms which are designed for a special scoring scheme. On the other hand scoring schemes can be optimized in different ways. Some general optimization methods for discrete (non-differentiable) problems are random guessing (select a candidate solution, evaluate it, store it if it is the best up to now), exhaustive search (test all candidates), genetic algorithms (better solutions survive and are mutated) or simulated annealing (by introducing a temperature discrete problems are made continuous).

3.2 Sequence Similarities and Scoring

Given two sequences: how similar are they? This questions cannot be answered because it depends on the context. Perhaps the sequences must have the same trend (stock market), contain the same pattern (text), or have the same frequencies (speech) etc. to be similar to one another.

3.2.1 Identity Matrix

For biological sequences it is known how one sequence can mutate into another one. First there are *point mutations* i.e. one nucleotide or amino acid is changed into another one. Secondly, there are *deletions*, i.e. one element (nucleotide or amino acid) or a whole subsequence of element is deleted from the sequence. Thirdly, there are *insertions*, i.e. one element or a subsequence is inserted into the sequence. For out first approach the similarity of two biological sequences can be expressed through the minimal number of mutations to transform one sequence into another one. Are all mutations equally likely? No. Point mutations are more likely because an amino acid can be replaced by an amino acid with similar chemical properties without changing the function. Deletions and insertions must be taken into account. For simplicity we can count the length of insertions and deletions. Finally, we are left with simply counting the number of amino acids which match in the two sequences (it is the length of both sequences added together and insertions, deletions and two times the mismatches subtracted, finally divided by two).

Here an example:

BIOINFOR	RMAT	ICS	BIOI-N-FORMATICS	
			\longrightarrow	
BOILING	FOR	MANICS		B-OILINGFORMANICS

The hit count gives 12 identical letters out of the 14 letters of BIOINFORMATICS. The mutations would be:

(1) delete I	BOINFORMATICS
(2) insert LI	BOILINFORMATICS
(3) insert G	BOILINGFORMATICS
(4) change T into N	BOILINGFORMANICS

These two texts seem to be very similar. Note that insertions or deletions cannot be distinguished if two sequences are presented (is the I deleted form the first string or inserted in the second?). Therefore both are denoted by a "-" (note, two "-" are not matched to one another).

The task for bioinformatics algorithms is to find from the two strings (left hand side in above example) the optimal *alignment* (right hand side in above example). The optimal alignment is the arrangement of the two strings in a way that the number of mutations is minimal. The optimality criterion scores matches (the same amino acid) with 1 and mismatches (different amino acids) with 0. If these scores for pairs of amino acids are written in matrix form, then the **identity matrix** is obtained. The number of mutation is one criterion for optimality but there exists more (as seen later). In general, an alignment algorithm searches for the arrangement of two sequences such that a criterion is optimized. The sequences can be arranged by inserting "-" into the strings and moving them horizontally against each other. For long sequences the search for an optimal alignment can be very difficult.

One tool for representing alignments is the dot matrix, where one sequence is written horizontally on the top and the other one vertically on the left. This gives a matrix where each letter of the first sequence is paired with each letter of the second sequence. For each matching of letters a dot is written in the according position in the matrix. Which pairs appear in the optimal alignment? We will see later, that each path through the dot matrix corresponds to an alignment.



A simple game:

Rules: you can move horizontally " \rightarrow ", vertically " \downarrow ", and you can only move diagonal " \searrow " if you at the position of a dot.

Task: make as many diagonal movements as possible if you run from the upper left corner to the lower right corner.



Figure 3.2: Dot plot of the human triosephosphate isomerase with the same protein in yeast, E. coli, and archaeon. Yeast gives the best match as the diagonal is almost complete. E. coli has some breaks in the diagonal. The archaeon shows the weakest similarity but the 3D structure and function is the same in all proteins.



The number of diagonal movements \searrow corresponds to matches and count for the the scoring, the " \rightarrow " correspond to a "-" in the vertical sequence, the " \downarrow " to a "-" in the horizontal sequence and a " $\rightarrow \downarrow$ " or a " $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\rightarrow}$ " combination correspond to a mismatch. Therefore, each way through the matrix corresponds to an alignment and each alignment can be expressed as a way through the matrix.

In above examples one can see that dots on diagonals correspond to matching regions. In Fig. 3.2 we show the dot matrices for comparing the human protein triosephosphate isomerase (TIM) to the same protein in yeast, E. coli (bacteria), and archaeon. For yeast the diagonal is complete and for E. coli small gaps are visible but the archaeon does not show an extended diagonal. Therefore, the human TIM matches best with the yeast TIM, followed by the E. coli TIM and has lower similarity to the archaeon TIM.

Scoring by counting the matches is the simplest way to score but there exist more advanced

methods. They address the fact that for some amino acids it is more likely that they mutate into each other because they share the same chemical properties (other mutation occur but do not survive). These methods also take into account that the occurrence of a deletion / insertion must be higher weighted then its length.

Here we only consider scoring through evaluation of pairs of amino acids (aligned amino acids, one from the first and one from the second sequence). It may be possible to discover other scoring schemes (taking the context into account; aligning pairs to pairs, etc.) but the optimization methods would be complex, as we will see later.

Now we derive methods for evaluating the match of two amino acids, i.e. how much does one match score. The intuitions says that the value should correspond to the probability of the mutation of one amino acid into another one. Here and in the following we focus on amino acid sequences but everything holds analogous for nucleotide sequences.

3.2.2 PAM Matrices

Dayhoff et. al (1978) introduced Percent or Point Accepted Mutation (PAM) matrices. PAM corresponds to an unit of evolution, e.g. 1 PAM = 1 point mutation/100 amino acids and 250 PAM = 250 point mutations/100 amino acids. The unit of evolution is therefore the time that on average n % mutations occur at a certain position and survive. For PAM 250 1/5 of the amino acids remain unchanged (homework: proof with 1 PAM). n PAM is obtained from 1 PAM through n-times matrix multiplication. PAM matrices are Markov matrices and have the form

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1,1} & p_{1,2} & \dots & p_{1,20} \\ p_{2,1} & p_{2,2} & \dots & p_{2,20} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{20,1} & p_{20,2} & \dots & p_{20,20} \end{pmatrix} ,$$
(3.1)

where $p_{i,j} = p_{j,i}, p_{i,j} \ge 0$ and $\sum_{j} p_{i,j} = 1$.

The PAM was obtained through the comparison of 71 blocks of subsequences which had >85% mutual identity yielding to 1,572 changes. Phylogenetic trees (\rightarrow) were constructed for each of the 71 blocks. The average transition of amino acid *i* to amino acid *j* $C_{i,j}$ per tree is counted (see Tab. 3.2) and symmetrized ($C_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2}(C_{i,j} + C_{j,i})$) because the trees are not directed (note, that for two sequences the direction of point mutations is ambiguous).

From the constraint of summing to 1 we obtain

$$\forall_i : p_{i,i} = 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}.$$
(3.2)

 f_i is the frequency of the presents of an amino acid in a protein (see Tab. 3.1). Further the assumption of an stationary state was made for the PAM matrix computation

$$f_i \, p_{i,j} = f_j \, p_{j,i} \,, \tag{3.3}$$

i.e. the amino acid distribution remains constant (this assumption is incorrect as found out recently).

Gly	0.089	Val	0.065	Arg	0.041	His	0.034
Ala	0.087	Thr	0.058	Asn	0.040	Cys	0.033
Leu	0.085	Pro	0.051	Phe	0.040	Tyr	0.030
Lys	0.081	Glu	0.050	Gln	0.038	Met	0.015
Ser	0.070	Asp	0.047	Ile	0.037	Trp	0.010

Table 3.1: A	Amino a	acid free	juencies	according to	Dayhoff et.	al	(1978)
							(/

Under the assumption that an mutation takes place, the probability that amino acid i mutates into amino acid j is

$$c_{i,j} = \frac{C_{i,j}}{\sum_{l,l \neq i} C_{i,l}},$$
(3.4)

the frequency $C_{i,j}$ of changing *i* to *j* divided by the number of changes of amino acid *i*. Note, that the time scale of one mutation is not taken into account.

The mutation probability $p_{i,j}$ should be proportional to $c_{i,j}$ up to a factor m_i "the relative mutability" of amino acid *i*. m_i accounts for the fact that different amino acids have different mutation rates. Using above constraints we will determine the value of m_i .

We set

$$p_{i,j} = m_i c_{i,j} = m_i \frac{C_{i,j}}{\sum_{l,l \neq i} C_{i,l}}$$
(3.5)

and insert this in the steady state assumption

$$f_i p_{i,j} = f_j p_{j,i}$$
 (3.6)

leading to (note $C_{i,j} = C_{j,i}$)

$$f_i m_i \frac{C_{i,j}}{\sum_{l,l \neq i} C_{i,l}} = f_j m_j \frac{C_{i,j}}{\sum_{l,l \neq j} C_{j,l}}.$$
(3.7)

We obtain

$$m_i \frac{f_i}{\sum_{l,l \neq i} C_{i,l}} = m_j \frac{f_j}{\sum_{l,l \neq j} C_{j,l}} := c.$$
(3.8)

Using the value c in the right hand side of the last equation and solving for m_i gives

$$m_i = c \, \frac{\sum_{l,l \neq i} C_{i,l}}{f_i} \,.$$
 (3.9)

We now insert m_i into the equation for $p_{i,j}$:

$$p_{i,j} = c \, \frac{\sum_{l,l \neq i} C_{i,l}}{f_i} \frac{C_{i,j}}{\sum_{l,l \neq i} C_{i,l}} = c \, \frac{C_{i,j}}{f_i} \,. \tag{3.10}$$

```
R N D C Q E G H I L K M F P
                                                  S
                                                    TWYV
  А
А
R 30
N 109 17
D 154 0 532
C 33 10
         0
            0
Q 93 120 50 76
               0
E 266 0
        94 831 0 422
G 579 10 156 162 10 30 112
H 21 103 226 43 10 243 23 10
     30 36 13
              17
                      35
                         0
                            3
I 66
                   8
L 95 17 37
            0
               0
                  75 15 17 40 253
K 57 477 322 85
                  147 104
                            23 43 39
               0
                         60
                  20
M 29
     17
         0
            0
                0
                      7
                         7
                            0
                               57
                                 207 90
  20
      7
         7
            0
               0
                   0
                      0
                         17
                            20 90
F
                                  167
                                     0 17
P 345 67
        27
            10 10 93
                     40 49 50 7
                                  43
                                     43 4
                                            7
S 772 137 432 98 117 47
                      86 450 26 20
                                 32 168 20 40 269
T 590 20 169 57
               10
                  37
                      31 50 14 129 52 200 28
                                           10
                                              73 696
W 0
     27
         3
            0
               0
                   0
                      0
                         0
                           3
                               0 13
                                     0 0 10
                                               0
                                                  17
                                                     0
Y 20
     3
        36
           0
               30
                      10 0 40 13 23 10 0 260 0
                                                  22 23 6
                  0
V 365 20 13 17 33 27 37 97 30 661 303 17 77 10 50 43 186 0 17
```

Table 3.2: Cumulative Data for computing PAM with 1572 changes.

The free parameter c must be chosen to obtain 1 mutation per 100 amino acids, i.e.

$$\sum_{i} f_{i} (1 - p_{i,i}) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} f_{i} p_{i,j} =$$

$$c \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} f_{i} \frac{C_{i,j}}{f_{i}} = c \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} C_{i,j} = 1/100,$$
(3.11)

therefore

$$c = 1 / \left(100 \sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} C_{i,j} \right) .$$
 (3.12)

Finally we obtain an expression for $p_{i,j}$:

$$p_{i,j} = \frac{C_{i,j}}{100 f_i \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} C_{i,j}} .$$
(3.13)

The result of this computation is presented as the PAM 1 matrix in Tab. 3.3 and Tab. 3.4 shows the according PAM 250 matrix.

Now we want to compute the scoring matrix. Towards this end we want to compare a pairing resulting from mutation occurring in nature with the probability that a random pairing. The probability of a mutation in nature is $f_i p_{i,j}$, i.e. the probability that amino acid *i* is present multiplied

	А	R	Ν	D	С	Q	Е	G	Н	Ι	L	Κ	М	F	Р	S	Т	W	Y	V
А	9867	2	9	10	3	8	17	21	2	6	4	2	6	2	22	35	32	0	2	18
R	1	9913	1	0	1	10	0	0	10	3	1	19	4	1	4	6	1	8	0	1
Ν	4	1	9822	36	0	4	6	6	21	3	1	13	0	1	2	20	9	1	4	1
D	6	0	42	9859	0	6	53	6	4	1	0	3	0	0	1	5	3	0	0	1
С	1	1	0	0	9973	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	0	3	2
Q	3	9	4	5	0	9876	27	1	23	1	3	6	4	0	6	2	2	0	0	1
Е	10	0	7	56	0	35	9865	4	2	3	1	4	1	0	3	4	2	0	1	2
G	21	1	12	11	1	3	7	9935	1	0	1	2	1	1	3	21	3	0	0	5
Н	1	8	18	3	1	20	1	0	9912	0	1	1	0	2	3	1	1	1	4	1
Ι	2	2	3	1	2	1	2	0	0	9872	9	2	12	7	0	1	7	0	1	33
L	3	1	3	0	0	6	1	1	4	22	9947	2	45	13	3	1	3	4	2	15
Κ	2	37	25	6	0	12	7	2	2	4	1	9926	20	0	3	8	11	0	1	1
Μ	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	8	4	9874	1	0	1	2	0	0	4
F	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	8	6	0	4	9946	0	2	1	3	28	0
Р	13	5	2	1	1	8	3	2	5	1	2	2	1	1	9926	12	4	0	0	2
S	28	11	34	7	11	4	6	16	2	2	1	7	4	3	17	9840	38	5	2	2
Т	22	2	13	4	1	3	2	2	1	11	2	8	6	1	5	32	9871	0	2	9
W	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	9976	1	0
Y	1	0	3	0	3	0	1	0	4	1	1	0	0	21	0	1	1	2	9945	1
V	13	2	1	1	3	2	2	3	3	57	11	1	17	1	3	2	10	0	2	9901

Table 3.3: 1 PAM evolutionary distance (times 10000).

	А	R	Ν	D	С	Q	Е	G	Η	Ι	L	Κ	М	F	Р	S	Т	W	Y	V
А	13	6	9	9	5	8	9	12	6	8	6	7	7	4	11	11	11	2	4	9
R	3	17	4	3	2	5	3	2	6	3	2	9	4	1	4	4	3	7	2	2
Ν	4	4	6	7	2	5	6	4	6	3	2	5	3	2	4	5	4	2	3	3
D	5	4	8	11	1	7	10	5	6	3	2	5	3	1	4	5	5	1	2	3
С	2	1	1	1	52	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	1	4	2
Q	3	5	5	6	1	10	7	3	7	2	3	5	3	1	4	3	3	1	2	3
Е	5	4	7	11	1	9	12	5	6	3	2	5	3	1	4	5	5	1	2	3
G	12	5	10	10	4	7	9	27	5	5	4	6	5	3	8	11	9	2	3	7
Η	2	5	5	4	2	7	4	2	15	2	2	3	2	2	3	3	2	2	3	2
Ι	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	10	6	2	6	5	2	3	4	1	3	9
L	6	4	4	3	2	6	4	3	5	15	34	4	20	13	5	4	6	6	7	13
Κ	6	18	10	8	2	10	8	5	8	5	4	24	9	2	6	8	8	4	3	5
М	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	6	2	1	1	1	1	1	2
F	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	5	6	1	4	32	1	2	2	4	20	3
Р	7	5	5	4	3	5	4	5	5	3	3	4	3	2	20	6	5	1	2	4
S	9	6	8	7	7	6	7	9	6	5	4	7	5	3	9	10	9	4	4	6
Т	8	5	6	6	4	5	5	6	4	6	4	6	5	3	6	8	11	2	3	6
W	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	55	1	0
Y	1	1	2	1	3	1	1	1	3	2	2	1	2	15	1	2	2	3	31	2
V	7	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	15	10	4	10	5	5	5	72	4	17

Table 3.4: 250 PAM evolutionary distance (times 100).

```
A R N D C Q E G H I L K M F P S T W Y V
A 2
R -2 6
N 0 0 2
D 0 -1 2 4
C -2 -4 -4 -5 12
Q
  0 1 1 2 -5
               4
E 0 -1 1 3 -5 2 4
G 1 -3 0 1 -3 -1 0
                    5
H -1 2 2 1 -3 3 1 -2 6
I -1 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -3 -2 5
L -2 -3 -3 -4 -6 -2 -3 -4 -2 2 6
K -1 3 1 0 -5 1 0 -2 0 -2 -3 5
M -1 0 -2 -3 -5 -1 -2 -3 -2 2 4 0
                                 6
 -4 -4 -4 -6 -4 -5 -5 -5 -2 1
                            2 -5
                                    9
F
                                 0
  1 0 -1 -1 -3 0 -1 -1 0 -2 -3 -1 -2 -5 6
Ρ
S
  1 0 1 0 0 -1 0 1 -1 -1 -3 0 -2 -3 1 3
T 1 -1 0 0 -2 -1 0 0 -1 0 -2 0 -1 -2 0 1
                                           3
W -6 2 -4 -7 -8 -5 -7 -7 -3 -5 -2 -3 -4 0 -6 -2 -5 17
Y -3 -4 -2 -4 0 -4 -4 -5 0 -1 -1 -4 -2 7 -5 -3 -3 0 10
V 0 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -1 -2 4 2 -2 2 -1 -1 -1 0 -6 -2 4
```

Table 3.5: Log-odds matrix for PAM250.

with the probability that it is mutated into amino acid j. The probability of randomly selecting a pair (with independent selections) is $f_i f_j$. The log-likelihood ratio is

$$\frac{f_i p_{i,j}}{f_i f_j} = \frac{p_{i,j}}{f_j} = \frac{p_{j,i}}{f_i} .$$
(3.14)

If each position is independent of the other positions then the likelihood ratio for the whole sequence is the product

$$\prod_{k} \frac{f_{i_k} p_{i_k, j_k}}{f_{i_k} f_{j_k}} = \prod_{k} \frac{p_{i_k, j_k}}{f_{j_k}}.$$
(3.15)

To handle this product and avoid numerical problems the logarithm is taken and we get a scoring function

$$\sum_{k} \log\left(\frac{p_{i_k,j_k}}{f_{j_k}}\right) \,. \tag{3.16}$$

The values $\log\left(\frac{p_{i_k,j_k}}{f_{j_k}}\right)$ are called "log-odd-scores" after they are multiplied by a constant and rounded. The "log-odd-scores" for the PAM250 are summarized in Tab. 3.5. Positive values of the "log-odd-scores" means that the corresponding pair of amino acids appears more often in aligned homologous sequences than by chance (vice versa for negative values).
3.2.3 BLOSUM Matrices

The PAM matrices are derived from very similar sequences and generalized to sequences which are less similar to each other by matrix multiplication. However, this generalization is not verified.

Henikoff and Henikoff (1992) derived scoring matrices, called "BLOSUM p" (BLOck SUbstitution Matrix). BLOSUM scoring matrices are directly derived from Blocks with specified similarity, i.e. different sequence similarities are not computed based on model assumptions which may be incorrect. The data is based on the Blocks database (see Chapter 2) where similar subsequences are grouped into blocks. Here p refers to the % identity of the blocks, e.g. BLOSUM 62 is derived from blocks with 62 % identity (ungapped (\rightarrow)). The default and most popular scoring matrix for pairwise alignment is the BLOSUM 62 matrix.

Calculation the BLOSUM matrices:

- 1. Sequences with at least p% identity to each other are clustered. Each cluster generates a frequency sequence (relative amino acid frequencies at every position). The frequency sequence represents all sequences of one cluster and similar sequences are down-weighted. In the following we consider only clusters with one sequence, i.e. there are no frequencies. Frequencies will be treated later.
- 2. The (frequency) sequences are now compared to one another. Pairs of amino acid j and j are counted by $c_{i,j}$ where amino acids are counted according with their frequency. If in column k are n_i^k amino acids i and n_j^k amino acids j the the count for column k gives

$$c_{i,j}^{k} = \begin{cases} \binom{n_{i}^{k}}{2} & \text{for } i = j \\ n_{i}^{k} n_{j}^{k} & \text{for } i > j \end{cases}$$

$$(3.17)$$

Note, that $\binom{n_i^k}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(n_i^k n_i^k - n_i^k \right)$, where the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ accounts for symmetry and $-n_i^k$ subtracts the counts of mutations of the sequence into itself.

3. Compute $c_{i,j} = \sum_k c_{i,j}^k$ and $Z = \sum_{i,j < i} c_{i,j} = \frac{L N (N-1)}{2}$, where L is the sequence length (column number) and N the number of sequences. Now the $c_{i,j}$ are normalized to obtain the probability

$$q_{i,j} = \frac{c_{i,j}}{Z} \,. \tag{3.18}$$

Finally we set $q_{j,i} = q_{i,j}$ for i > j.

4. The probability of the occurrence of amino acid i is

$$q_i = q_{i,i} + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{q_{i,j}}{2} , \qquad (3.19)$$

the probability of *i* not being mutated plus the sum of the mutation probabilities. Note, that $q_{i,j}$ is divided by 2 because mutations from *i* to *j* and *j* to *i* are counted in step 2.

5. The likelihood ratios $\frac{q_{i,i}}{q_i^2}$ and $\frac{q_{i,j}/2}{q_i q_j}$ as well as the log-odd ratios

$$BLOSUM_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 2 \log_2 \frac{q_{i,i}}{q_i^2} & \text{for } i = j \\ \\ 2 \log_2 \frac{q_{i,j}}{2 q_i q_j} & \text{for } i \neq j \end{cases}$$
(3.20)

are computed. Note, that the BLOSUM values are actually rounded to integers.

Here an example for computing the BLOSUM matrix, where the first column gives the sequence number and the second the sequence:

1	NFHV
2	DFNV
3	DFKV
4	NFHV
5	KFHR

In this example we compute BLOSUM100 and kept even the identical subsequences 1 and 4 (which would form one sequence after clustering). Therefore we do not have clusters and each amino acid obtains an unit weight. For example if we cluster the second and third sequence then the frequency sequence is [D] [F] [0.5 N, 0.5 K] [V]. For simplicity we do not cluster in the following.

The values of $c_{i,j}$ are:

	R	Ν	D	Η	Κ	F	V
R	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ν	0	1	-	-	-	-	-
D	0	4	1	-	-	-	-
Η	0	3	0	3	-	-	-
Κ	0	3	2	3	0	-	-
F	0	0	0	0	0	10	-
V	4	0	0	0	0	0	6

$$Z = 4 \cdot \frac{5 \cdot 4}{2} = 40 = \sum_{i \ge j} c_{i,j}$$
(3.21)

The values of $q_{i,j}$ are:

	R	Ν	D	Η	Κ	F	V
R	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1
Ν	0	0.025	0.1	0.075	0.075	0	0
D	0	0.1	0.025	0	0.05	0	0
Η	0	0.075	0	0.075	0.075	0	0
Κ	0	0.075	0.05	0.075	0	0	0
F	0	0	0	0	0	0.25	0
V	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0.15

To compute the single amino acid probabilities q_i , we compute it for \mathbb{N} : $0.025 + \frac{1}{2}(0.1 + 0.075 + 0.075) = 0.15$. The values of q_i are:

R	0.05
Ν	0.15
D	0.1
Η	0.15
Κ	0.1
F	0.25
V	0.2

The likelihood ratio values are:

	R	Ν	D	Н	Κ	F	V
R	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
Ν	-	1.1	3.3	1.7	2.5	-	-
D	-	3.3	2.5	-	2.5	-	-
Η	-	1.7	-	3.3	2.5	-	-
Κ	-	2.5	2.5	2.5	-	-	-
F	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
V	5	-	-	-	-	-	3.8

The log-odd ratios are:

	R	Ν	D	Η	Κ	F	V
R	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.6
N	-	0.3	3.5	1.5	2.6	-	-
D	-	3.5	3.4	-	2.6	-	-
Η	-	1.5	-	3.4	2.6	-	-
Κ	-	2.6	2.6	2.6	-	-	-
F	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
V	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	3.8

For the case with frequencies stemming from clustering we define $f_{i,l}^k$ as the frequency of amino acid *i* in the *k*-th column for the *l*-th cluster.

$$c_{i,j}^{k} = \sum_{l,m:l \neq m} f_{i,l}^{k} f_{j,m}^{k} =$$

$$\sum_{l} f_{i,l}^{k} \sum_{\substack{m:m \neq l}} f_{j,m}^{k} =$$

$$n_{i}^{k} n_{j}^{k} - \sum_{l} f_{i,l}^{k} f_{j,l}^{k},$$
(3.22)

where

$$n_i^k = \sum_l f_{i,l}^k \tag{3.23}$$

```
ARNDCQEGHILKMFP
                                            STWYV
A 4 -1
        -2 -2 0 -1 -1 0 -2 -1 -1 -1 -1 -2 -1
                                             1
                                               0
                                                  -3
                                                    -2 0
R
  -1 5
       0 -2 -3 1
                   0
                     -2 0
                           -3 -2 2 -1 -3 -2
                                                  -3
                                                    -2 -3
                                            -1 -1
Ν
  -2 0
          1 -3 0
                   0 0
                         1 -3 -3 0 -2 -3 -2
                                            1
                                               0
                                                  -4 -2 -3
        6
                   2 -1 -1 -3 -4 -1 -3 -3 -1 0 -1
D
  -2 -2 1
           6 -3 0
                                                  -4 -3 -3
С
  0 -3
        -3
          -3 9 -3 -4 -3 -3
                           -1 -1
                                 -3
                                    -1 -2 -3
                                            -1
                                               -1
                                                  -2 -2
                                                        -1
Q
  -1
     1
        0
           0
             -3
                5
                   2 -2 0
                           -3
                              -2
                                 1
                                    0
                                       -3
                                         -1
                                            0
                                               -1
                                                  -2
                                                     -1
                                                        -2
Е
     0
           2
             -4
                2
                   5
                     -2
                         0
                           -3
                              -3
                                 1
                                    -2 -3
                                         -1
                                               -1
                                                  -3
                                                    -2 -2
  -1
        0
                                            0
             -3 -2 -2 6 -2 -4 -4 -2 -3 -3 -2 0 -2
     -2 0 -1
                                                 -2 -3 -3
G
  0
  -2 0 1 -1 -3 0 0 -2 8 -3 -3 -1 -2 -1 -2 -1 -2
                                                 -2 2 -3
Η
  -1 -3 -3 -3 -1 -3 -3 -4 -3 4 2 -3 1
                                       0 -3 -2 -1
                                                  -3 -1
                                                       3
T
L
  -1 -2 -3 -4 -1 -2 -3 -4 -3 2
                             4 -2 2
                                       0 -3 -2 -1
                                                 -2 -1
                                                        1
  -1
     2
        0 -1 -3 1
                   1 -2 -1 -3 -2
                                 5
                                            0
Κ
                                    -1
                                       -3 -1
                                               -1 -3 -2
                                                        -2
                0 -2 -3 -2
                              2 -1
                                    5
Μ
  -1 -1 -2 -3 -1
                           1
                                       0
                                         -2
                                            -1
                                               -1
                                                  -1
                                                     -1
                                                        1
  -2 -3 -3 -3 -2 -3 -3 -3 -1
                           0
                              0 -3
F
                                    0
                                       6
                                         -4
                                            -2
                                               -2
                                                  1
                                                     3
                                                        -1
Ρ
  -1 -2 -2 -1 -3 -1 -1 -2 -2 -3 -3 -1 -2 -4 7
                                            -1
                                                        -2
                                               -1
                                                  -4
                                                     -3
S
  1 -1 1 0 -1 0 0 0 -1 -2 -2 0 -1 -2 -1
                                            4
                                               1
                                                  -3 -2 -2
Т
  0 -1 0 -1 -1 -1 -1 -2 -2 -1 -1 -1 -1 -2 -1
                                            1
                                               5
                                                  -2 -2 0
W -3 -3 -4 -4 -2 -2 -3 -2 -2 -3 -2 -3 -1 1 -4 -3 -2 11 2 -3
Y -2 -2 -2 -3 -2 -1 -2 -3 2 -1 -1 -2 -1 3 -3 -2 -2 2 7 -1
V 0 -3 -3 -3 -1 -2 -2 -3 -3 3 1 -2 1 -1 -2 -2 0 -3 -1 4
```

Table 3.6: BLOSUM62 scoring matrix.

and

$$c_{i,i}^{k} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(n_{i}^{k} \right)^{2} - \sum_{l} \left(f_{i,l}^{k} \right)^{2} \right)$$
(3.24)

With these new formulas for $c_{i,j}^k$ all other computations remain as mentioned.

Tab. 3.6 shows the BLOSUM62 scoring matrix computed as shown above but on the BLOCKs data base with $\geq 62\%$ sequence identity.

Comparing the BLOSUM matrices with the PAM matrices then PAM100 \approx BLOSUM90, PAM120 \approx BLOSUM80, PAM160 \approx BLOSUM60, PAM200 \approx BLOSUM52, and PAM250 \approx BLOSUM45.

PAM assumptions are violated because positions are context dependent, i.e. one substitution makes other substitutions more or less likely (dependency between mutations). Further, mutations with low probability are not as well observed. Only the subsequences which are different in very similar sequences are used to compute mutation probabilities. That may introduce a bias to mutations, i.e. only mutation-rich regions are used.

BLOSUM is not model based in contrast to PAM as it is empirically computed. For example, it does not take into account the evolutionary relationships.

Literature:

 Altschul, S.F. (1991), Amino acid substitutions matrices from an information theoretic perspective, J. Mol. Biol. 219, 555-665 (1991).

- Altschul, S. F., M. S. Boguski, W. Gish and J. C. Wootton (1994), Issues in searching molecular sequence databases, Nature Genetics 6:119-129.
- PAM: Dayhoff, M.O., Schwartz, R.M., Orcutt, B.C. (1978), A model of evolutionary change in proteins, In "Atlas of Protein Sequence and Structure" 5(3) M.O. Dayhoff (ed.), 345 - 352.
- GONNET: Gonnet G.H., Cohen M.A., Benner S.A. (1992), Exhaustive matching of the entire protein sequence database, Science 1992 Jun 5;256(5062):1443-5.
- BLOSUM: Henikoff, S. and Henikoff, J. (1992), Amino acid substitution matrices from protein blocks, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 89(biochemistry): 10915 - 10919. 1992.

These measurements of sequence similarities assume that the measurement is context independent, i.e. point-wise. Therefore, the scoring can be expressed by a 20×20 matrix of pairwise scores. However, more complex scores may be possible. Advantage of the simple scores is that they can be used in algorithms which decompose the alignment in aligned amino acid pairs and, therefore, are efficient.

3.2.4 Gap Penalties

In our example

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \texttt{BIOINFORMATICS} & \texttt{BIOI-N-FORMATICS} \\ & & & \\ \texttt{BOILING FOR MANICS} & \texttt{B-OILINGFORMANICS} \end{array}$

we inserted "-" into the strings to account for deletions and insertions. A maximal substring consisting of "-" is called "gap".

Obviously gaps are not desired as many gaps indicate a more remote relationship, i.e. more deletions and insertions. Therefore gaps should contribute negatively to the score. But how should gaps be penalizes?

The first approach would be to equally penalize each "-". A gap with length l and gap penalty of d would give a linear score of

-ld. (3.25)

However, from a biological point of view neighboring insertions and deletions are not statistically independent from each other. Reason is that a single mutation event can delete whole substrings or insert whole substrings. Those events are almost as likely as single insertions / deletions. Another reason is that a sequence with introns and exons is matched against a measured sequence. Here the first sequence may be obtained from genome sequencing and the second sequence may be obtained by measuring proteins by X-ray or NMR. Missing introns should not be penalized by their length.

On the other hand, a linear affine gap penalty function is computationally more efficient (as we will see later the alignment computational cost is the product of the length of the strings for linear affine gap penalties). Therefore the cost for a gap is computed as

$$-d - (l - 1) e,$$
 (3.26)

where d is the gap open penalty and e is the gap extension penalty. The penalty for gaps in the whole alignment is -d (numbergaps) - (number" -" - numbergaps) e.

The optimal alignment with BLOSUM62 as scoring matrix and with affine gap penalty d = 20 and e = 1 is the following:

RKFFVGGNWKMNGDKKSLNGAKLSADTEVVCGAPSIYLDF|.||||||:|.|.|...:...RTFFVGGNFK-----LNTASIPENVEVVICPPATYLDY

Here "|" indicates a match, ":" similar amino acids, "." less similar amino acids, and a blank a gap. However the optimal alignment with affine gap penalty d = 1 and e = 1, i.e. a linear gap penalty, is

RKFFVGGNWKMNGDKKSL--NGAKLSADTEVV-CGAPSIYLDF|.||||||:|:|:|..|:|.||||..|:RTFFVGGNFKLN--TASIPEN---V---EVVIC-PPATYLDY

And the optimal alignment with affine gap penalty d = 4 and e = 4, i.e. a linear gap penalty with higher penalties, is

RKFFVGGNWKMNGDKKSLNGAKLSADTEVVCGAPSIYLDF |.|||||:|:| ..|: .: :... |:| .|:.|||: RTFFVGGNFKLN--TASI--PE-NVEV-VIC-PPATYLDY

This shows that the number of gaps is minimized with the affine gap penalty with e < d. In the last example the gaps are penalized more, therefore, fewer gaps appear in the optimal alignment. Note that the gap penalty must be related with the BLOSUM62 scoring matrix.

The probability of amino acids aligned to a gap is assumed to be random, i.e. no amino acid has a preference for a gap (is that true?). That means only the gap itself and its length may have a certain probability. Assume that the likelihood of generating a gap is 2^{-d} and the likelihood of extending a gap is 2^{-e} then the \log_2 -likelihood of a gap is exactly the affine gap penalty. Therefore the gap penalty also fits into the log-odds ratio framework. The expected scoring contribution of gaps in random sequences is a additive constant for a certain sequence length. Therefore it can be neglected in the log-odd ratios.

Until now only the evaluation of alignments, i.e. computing the similarity of sequences was treated. To optimize the alignment is independent of the similarity measure, if it is a point-wise measure. In the following algorithms for finding the optimal alignment are presented.

3.3 Alignment Algorithms

Alignment algorithms optimize the scoring of the sequences by pairing their amino acids and inserting gaps. The sequential order of the original amino acids must be kept. For pairing only shifting the whole sequences and inserting gaps is allowed. The alignment algorithms can be classified as being global or local. Global alignment algorithms optimize the alignment of two sequences whereas local alignment algorithms search for high scoring local alignments. Local alignments may detect similarities even if the sequences have different length as for (alternative) splicing or mutations which glue domains together. Also for remote homologous sequences with conservative regions (important for function or structure) may be detected by local alignment.

3.3.1 Global Alignment — Needleman-Wunsch

If your remember our simple game then you know that this was an alignment task. How did you solve it? One possibility would be to try out all possible alignments, compute their scores and choose the best scoring alignment. However that would be too expensive. Assume both sequences have the same length and let us assume that we only match subsequences to one another. $\binom{n}{i}$ subsequences of length *i* exists for each sequence. The unmatched elements can be computed at once. We have $\binom{n}{i}^2$ sequence pairs which must be evaluated in *i* steps. The complexity is

$$\sum_{i} {\binom{n}{i}}^{2} i \geq \sum_{i} {\binom{n}{i}}^{2} = {\binom{2n}{n}} \approx$$

$$\sqrt{4\pi n} \left(\frac{2n/e}{2^{n}}/\left(\sqrt{2\pi n} (n/e)^{n}\right)^{2} = \frac{2^{2n}}{\sqrt{\pi n}}.$$
(3.27)

The approximation stems from Stirling's formula.

The naive approach does not work in practice because the number of operations increases exponentially with the sequence length.

1970 Needleman and Wunsch used the idea of Dynamic Programming (cf. Bellman) to introduce alignment algorithms which are practical.

3.3.1.1 Linear Gap Penalty

The idea is that the alignment of two sequences of length n and m can be reduced to the alignment of two sequences of length (n - 1) and (m - 1) (match) or of two sequences of length (n - 1) and m (gap in the second sequence) or of two sequences of length n and (m - 1) (gap in the first sequence).

Consider two sequences ending with x and y, then the following cases are possible for the optimal alignment:

match	gap	gap
x	?x	x -
У	у-	?y

Either the ends match or the end of one sequence is more to the right then the end of the other sequence. In the later case either the end of the first sequence is matched with a space or the end of the second sequence.

We obtain immediately a recursion for the optimal score S(n, m) of two sequences x and y with elements x_i , $1 \le i \le n$, and y_i , $1 \le i \le m$, respectively. d denotes the gap penalty and s the

scoring function for two amino acids (s maps amino acid pairs to the corresponding entry in the scoring matrix). The recursion is

$$S(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} S(i-1,j-1) + s(x_i,y_j) \\ S(i-1,j) - d \\ S(i,j-1) - d \end{cases}$$
(3.28)

with start

$$S(0,0) = 0$$
 and $S(-1,j) = S(i,-1) = -\infty$. (3.29)

This leads to S(0, j) = -j d and S(i, 0) = -i d. The optimal score can be written in an $n \times m$ matrix:

	0	x_1	• • •	x_{i-1}	x_i		x_n
0	S(0,0)	S(1,0)					S(n,0)
y_1	S(0,1)	S(1,1)					S(n,1)
y_2							
y_3							
:	÷	÷	·	÷	:	·	:
y_{j-1}				S(i-1,j-1)	S(i, j-1)		
					\searrow \downarrow		
y_j				S(i-1,j)	\rightarrow $S(i,j)$		
÷	:	÷	·	:	:	·	:
y_m	S(0,m)	S(1,m)					S(n,m)

The table can be filled from the left upper corner to the right lower corner through the recursion.

During filling the matrix also the S(i - 1, j - 1), S(i - 1, j), S(i, j - 1) which was chosen by the maximum and from which S(i, j) is computed should be memorized, e.g. in a variable B(i, j) = (i - 1, j - 1) or (i - 1, j) or (i, j - 1). This variable allows to generate the alignment by determining how S(n, m) is computed. The alignment is generated by backtracking with B(i, j)starting from (n, m). During backtracking the alignment can be generated:

$$\mathbf{if} \ B(i,j) = \begin{cases} (i-1,j-1) & \mathbf{then \ print} \quad \frac{x_i}{y_j} \\ (i-1,j) & \mathbf{then \ print} \quad \frac{x_i}{-} \\ (i,j-1) & \mathbf{then \ print} \quad \frac{-}{y_j} \end{cases}$$
(3.30)

Alg. 3.1 shows a pseudo code of the Needleman-Wunsch global alignment algorithm with linear gap penalty. It can be seen that the algorithm has time and memory complexity of O(n m). An example (from above) for the backtrack algorithms is shown in tables 3.7 and 3.8.

Algorithm 3.1 Needleman-Wunsch with linear gap

Input: two sequences x and y with length n and m, respectively; scoring matrix s, gap penalty d**Output:** optimal global alignment and its score

BEGIN INITIALIZATION $S(0,0) = 0, S(0,j) = -j d, 1 \le j \le m$, and $S(i,0) = -i d, 1 \le i \le n$ **END INITIALIZATION**

BEGIN PROCEDURE

for $1 \le i \le n$ do for $1 \le j \le m$ do $a(i-1,j-1) = S(i-1,j-1) + s(x_i,y_j), a(i-1,j) = S(i-1,j) - d,$ a(i,j-1) = S(i,j-1) - d $S(i,j) = \max\{a(i-1,j-1), a(i-1,j), a(i,j-1)\}$ $B(i,j) = \arg\max\{a(i-1,j-1), a(i-1,j), a(i,j-1)\}$ end for end for print "Score: "S(n,m) (i,j) = (n,m)while $(i,j) \ne (0,0)$ do if $B(i,j) = \begin{cases} (i-1,j-1) \text{ then print } \frac{x_i}{y_j} \\ (i-1,j) \text{ then print } \frac{x_i}{-1} \\ (i,j-1) \text{ then print } \frac{x_i}{y_j} \end{cases}$ (i,j) = B(i,j)end while END PROCEDURE

ג ד.																																			
Ţ	Y	D	L	Y	Т	А	P	P	C	Ι	<	V	П	<	z	н	P	I	S	A	T	z	L	K	Ŧ	z	G	G	<	Т	Ч	T	R		
mnle	45	47	47	48	49	50	49	50	51	52	53	5 4	55	55	↑ 57	52	51	52	49	47	46	46	39	34	28	26	19	12	S	0	-7	-12	-14	-20	G
for	48	49	50	51	52	53	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	↑ 56	51	51	51	49	50	46	45	38	33	27	25	18	11	4	<u>'-</u>	~	-13	-15	-21	Α
4264	48	49	50	51	52	52	52	53	54	55	56	57	85	56	↑ 55	52	50	50	50	49	45	4	37	32	26	24	17	10	ω	-2	-9	-14	-16	-22	K
Joert	53	54	55	52	53	54	55	56	57	85	85	59	57	∕56	54 54	51	51	52	49	48	44	43	36	31	25	23	16	9	2	ப்	-10	-15	-17	-23	L
	54	55	5 4	54	55	56	55	56	57	57	57	85	56	ר ל ייי	53	51	51	51	52	47	44	42	35	30	24	22	15	8	-	4	-11	-16	-18	-24	S
n the	54	55	56	57	58	59	55	56	57	57	58	57	55	ېر ∫	52	50	50	51	51	48	43	41	34	29	23	21	14	7	0	ς	-12	-17	-19	-25	А
Nee	61	62	56	57	85	58	55	56	56	55	57	56	56	+ ↑ 5	51	52	50	50	50	47	42	40	33	28	22	20	13	6	Ļ	-6	-13	-18	-20	-26	D
hllen	60	61	61	62	63	57	55	55	55	56	56	56	55	3 ↑ 5	52	51	49	49	49	46	45	39	32	27	21	19	12	S	-2	-7	-14	-15	-21	-27	Ţ
I not	62	63	60	61	62	56	54	54	54	55	55	56	× ح	2 52	53	54	48	48	48	45	44	38	31	26	20	18	11	4	έ	<mark>%</mark>	15	-16	-22	-28	П
Viine	62	62	62	61	61	55	56	57	58	59	60	ິ	7 56	57	51	53	50	51	47	44	43	37	30	25	19	17	10	ω	4	-9	-16	<u>-</u>	-23	-29	V
ر بار ا	61	61	62	60	60	60	61	62	63	, €	້	1 60	55	56	50	52	49	50	46	43	42	36	29	24	18	16	9	2	γ	-1(<u>-</u>	-18	3 -24	-3(V
	65	66	61	89	59	70	71	72	2	4 64	57 64	55	54	55	49	51	48	49	4	42	4	33	28	23	5	5	8	<u> </u>	-6	<u>-</u>	-18	-19	+ -2:	ს	C
hm .	66	67	89	66	70	71	70	71	ະ ∂	63	63	58	53	54	51	50	4	48	4	4	40	34	27	22	16	14	7	0	-'-	÷	-19	-20	-20	- -	G
with	66	7	7	72	73	72	70	7	12 71	62	65	S	S	5	5	49	4	4	4	4	39	33	26	2	15		6	<u> </u>	<u>م</u>	2 -1	-2	-2	5 -2	2 -3	A
ines) T) 73	7	75	3 70	- 7	/	11 78	7	6	6	50	5	5	52	S	ž	40	4	4	38	3	25	2(1-	5	S	L.	,'o	3 -1	0 -2	1 -2	7 -2	ىن ىپ	P
r nen	2 7	3 7	7	7	7	7	78 7	۶ ۲	6	6	6	S,	5	is S	ν,	رم م	t S	4	30 4	30 4	ω ų	3	2	1	+ -	1	4	ية الم	Ļ	4 -	1 -2	2 -2	8 -2	4 -3	Ś
altia	4 7	7	5 7	7 7	2	79 7	7 7	7 7	9	6 0	5	ы S	2 5	ы v	4 5	4 5	3 5	с v	7 4	24	7 3	3	4	9	3 1	-	ເມ	2	0 -1	5	2 -2	3 -2	9 -3	5 S	_
י ק ו	7 8	8	% %	7	78 7	8 7	5 7	5 7	8	4	95	S S	5	7 5	3 5	3 5	25	1 5	6 4	14	5 3	0 2	3 2	8	2	б С	N	+ 	1 -1	6 -1	3 -2	4-2	0 -3	6 -3	~
- 2	8	8	4 /	85 85	Т Т	T T	5 7	5 7	7 6	3 6	8 5	S S	с S	6 5	25	25	1 5	0	5 4	03	3	9 2	2 2	7 1	3	~		51 1	2 -1	7 -1	1 5	5 5	́ь сь	-3	- L
ייש	7 9	8 /	89 88	4 8	67	67	4 7	4 7	66	2 6	75	6 5	4 5	ა ა	1 5	1 5	0 4	9 4	4	93	43	8 2	1 2	6 1	2 1		_	5	3 -1	- 8	i2 -2	6 -2	2 -3	ой С	, H
, Del	4 ⁄	95 9	8	3	5 7	5 7	3 7	3 7	5 6	1 6	6 5	6 5	75	45	2 5	25	9 4	8	3 4	83	ы 3	72	0 1	5 1	1 1	7	-	7 -	4	-1	ω 4	2- T	а С		5
, IMV	86	4	T	2	4	4	2	2	4	Ö	S	6	6	ω	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ò	C.	2	7	2	6	9	4	ω	5		8	S	7	3	8	¥	Ð	. 1]

Table 3.7: Example for backtracking in the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm with linear penalties d = 1 and BLOSUM62 (part 1).

45 52 51 50 51 49 49 47 47 46 3 4 45 4 46 4 4 **8** 16 K $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{-3}\\ \textbf{$ 46 4 4 33 33 39 40 15 K33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 4 4 38 8 ° ° ° ′ 4 Ξ 16 23 30 Z % 7 7 15 4 C 12 17 32 6 0 -1 G 13 18 - - 0 - ⊂ 14 **2** 19 18 רי רי 15 17 0 - - - - - 4 -10 -13 н 4 0 0 **0** 15 2 Ņ 9-1-13 с С × <u>6</u> -12 4 -11 -10 -14 -15 10 -12 -15 -17 -14 -16 9-1-8-6--13 - 0 -Ŷ $\dot{\omega}$ P -26 -20 -14 P -27 -21 -15 A -28 -22 -16 T -29 -23 -17 E -21 -15 -9 V -22 -16 -10 V -23 -17 -11 Y -30 -24 -18 L -31 -25 -19 N -19 -13 -7 V -20 -14 -8 C -25 -19 -13 -21 -20 ς I -24 -18 -12 4 Ŷ 9 T -13 -7 A -14 -8 S -15 -9 I -16 -10 P -17 -11 E -18 -12 -26 -27 Ś 9 L -11 N -12 F -9 K -10 Y -33

Table 3.8: Example for backtracking in the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm with linear penalties d = 1 and BLOSUM62 (part 2).

3.3.1.2 Affine Gap Penalty

The problem for affine gap penalties is that long term dependencies appear. For linear gap penalties we considered

match	gap	gap
x	?x	x -
У	у-	?y

to derive the recursion. However, for affine gap penalties introducing a gap at the end of a sequence implies a gap opening event earlier in the sequence. Therefore all earlier gap opening events must be considered:

$$S(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} S(i-1,j-1) + s(x_i,y_j) \\ S(i-k,j) - d - (k-1)e, & 1 \le k \le i \\ S(i,j-k) - d - (k-1)e, & 1 \le k \le j \end{cases}$$
(3.31)

For two sequences of length n the complexity is $O(n^3)$, because all S(i, j) must considered $(O(n^2))$ and a consideration is of order O(n) through checking all previous gap openings.

The idea to make the algorithm with affine gap penalties efficient is to propagate 3 matrices:

- $G_d(i, j)$ best score up to position (i, j) and no gap at the end
- $G_y(i, j)$ best score up to position (i, j) with a gap in the sequence y at position j
- $G_x(i, j)$ best score up to position (i, j) with a gap in the sequence x at position i

For the matrices G_x and G_y one has to control whether extending an existing gap or to introduce a new gap gives a better score.

The recursion equations are

$$G_y(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} G_d(i-1,j) - d \\ G_y(i-1,j) - e \end{cases},$$
(3.32)

$$G_x(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} G_d(i,j-1) - d \\ G_x(i,j-1) - e \end{cases} \text{ and}$$
(3.33)

$$G_d(i,j) = \max \{G_d(i-1,j-1), G_y(i-1,j-1), G_x(i-1,j-1), G_x(i-1,j-1)\} + s(x_i, y_j)$$
(3.34)

The initialization $G_d(0,0) = 0, G_y(0,0) = -\infty$ and $G_x(0,0) = -\infty$ leads to $G_d(i,0) = G_y(i,0) = -d - (i-1)e, G_x(i,0) = -\infty, G_d(0,j) = G_x(0,j) = -d - (j-1)e, G_y(0,j) = -\infty.$



Figure 3.3: The idea of the banded global alignment algorithm is depicted.

Note, that for being mathematically correct, $G_y(i, j)$ has to consider $G_y(i, j-1)$ and $G_x(i, j)$ the previous $G_y(i-1, j)$. However, these two cases mean two consecutive gaps, one in the x and one in y, which is biological not reasonable. It would mean that two indel mutations are more likely than one point mutation. Therefore, for a reasonable setting, two gap opening costs are higher than each mismatch cost. Thus, these cases two consecutive gaps in different sequences are not possible.

Alg. 3.2 shows a pseudo code of the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm for affine gap penalty. Again the algorithm has time and memory complexity of O(n m). An example (from above) for the backtrack algorithms is shown in tables 3.9 and 3.10.

3.3.1.3 KBand Global Alignment

The global alignment algorithms can be made faster if the sequence similarity is high. As seen at the dot matrices for high sequence similarities most backtracking paths are on the main diagonal of the matrix. Therefore, instead of computing the whole matrix only a band around the main diagonal is filled. All solution are computed within this band. An additional parameter for such an algorithm is k, the width of the band. k constraints the allowed differences of accumulated gaps in the sequences. Fig. 3.3 depicts the idea.

The new parameter k may be set to the estimated gaps in the alignment. If both sequences have the length n, then to leave the band with linear gap penalty costs -2(k+1)d, which can be used to estimate a good k. But also the differences of the sequences' length must be taken into account.

Algorithm 3.2 Needleman-Wunsch with affine gap

Input: two sequences x and y with length n and m, respectively; scoring matrix s, gap opening penalty d and gap extend penalty e

Output: optimal global alignment and its score

BEGIN INITIALIZATION

 $\begin{aligned} G_d(0,0) &= 0, \ G_x(0,0) = -d - (n+m) \ e, \ G_y(0,0) = -d - (n+m) \ e \\ \text{for} \ 1 \leq j \leq m \\ G_x(0,j) &= -d \ - \ (j-1) \ e, \ G_y(0,j) = G_d(0,j) = -d \ - \ (n+m) \ e, \ B_x(0,j) = \text{``x''} \\ \text{for} \ 1 \leq i \leq n \\ G_y(i,0) &= -d \ - \ (i-1) \ e, \ G_x(i,0) = G_d(i,0) = -d \ - \ (m+n) \ e, \ B_y(i,0) = \text{``y''} \\ \text{END INITIALIZATION} \end{aligned}$

BEGIN PROCEDURE

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ do for $1 \leq j \leq m$ do $G_x(i, j) = \max \{G_d(i, j-1) - d, G_x(i, j-1) - e\}$ if $G_x(i,j) = G_d(i,j-1) - d$ then $B_x(i,j) = \text{``d''}$ else $B_x(i,j) = \text{``x''}$ $G_y(i,j) = \max \{G_d(i-1,j) - d, G_y(i-1,j) - e\}$ if $G_y(i,j) = G_d(i-1,j) - d$ then $B_y(i,j) = \text{"d"}$ else $B_y(i,j) = \text{"y"}$ $G_d(i,j) = \max\{G_d(i-1,j-1), G_u(i-1,j-1), G_x(i-1,j-1)\} + s(x_i, y_j)$ if $G_d(i,j) = G_d(i-1,j-1) + s(x_i,y_j)$ then $B_d(i,j) = "d"$ if $G_d(i,j) = G_y(i-1,j-1) + s(x_i,y_j)$ then $B_d(i,j) = "y"$ if $G_d(i,j) = G_x(i-1,j-1) + s(x_i,y_j)$ then $B_d(i,j) =$ "x" end for end for $score = \max \{G_d(n,m), G_x(n,m), G_y(n,m)\}$ print "Score: " score if $G_d(n,m) = score$ then t = "d"if $G_x(n,m) = score$ then t = "x"if $G_y(n,m) = score$ then t = "y"(i,j) = (n,m)while $(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$ do $\mathbf{if} \ t = \begin{cases} \text{``d''} \ \ \mathbf{then \ print} \ \ \frac{x_i}{y_j}; \ \ i = i - 1, \ j = j - 1, \ t = B_d(i, j) \\ \\ \text{``y''} \ \ \mathbf{then \ print} \ \ \frac{x_i}{-}; \ \ i = i - 1, \ t = B_y(i, j) \\ \\ \text{``x''} \ \ \mathbf{then \ print} \ \ \frac{-}{y_j}; \ \ j = j - 1, \ t = B_x(i, j) \end{cases}$ end while **END PROCEDURE**

Ц	-59	-53	-53	-46	-39	-34	-27	-20	-13	9-	ċ	4	S	4	4	4	9	0	٢	٢	14	S	12	15	16	14	13	21	23	23	31	36	43	99 ⁄
D	-58	-52	-52	-45	-38	-33	-26	-19	-12	-10	4	-	9	9	S	9	e	10	8	15	9	13	12	16	16	17	16	22	24	24	32	37	₹ S	43
Γ	-57	-51	-51	44	-37	-32	-25	-18	-11	6-	ဂု	0	٢	٢	9	9	11	9	14	6	11	15	14	17	20	17	19	23	25	25	33	757	37	36
Y	-56	-50	-50	-43	-36	-31	-24	-17	-10	9	4	-	×	٢	٢	6	6	17	×	10	18	13	13	18	18	22	16	24	26	26	7 53	33	32	31
Ι	-55	-49	-49	-42	-35	-30	-23	-16	6-	۲-	-	0	6	6	11	10	20	10	×	19	15	6	18	19	24	19	17	25	28	₹ 46	29	28	24	23
S	-54	48	46	4	-34	-29	-22	-15	ŝ	9	0	e	10	12	12	16	13	11	22	12	11	15	15	20	20	20	18	29	747	30	26	25	24	23
Р	-53	-47	-47	-40	-33	-28	-21	-14	L-	Ņ	-	4	11	11	12	15	12	22	10	13	15	15	16	21	21	19	30	7 46	29	25	24	23	22	21
A	-52	-46	-45	-39	-32	-27	-20	-13	9-	4	0	S	12	13	16	15	15	11	11	17	16	12	17	22	22	23	39	30	24	21	17	15	14	32
IJ	-51	-45	-45	-38	-31	-26	-19	-12	ċ	ς	e	9	13	12	14	16	12	12	19	16	13	17	19	23	23	40	31	20	21	19	16	15	34	15
U	-50	4	44	-37	-30	-25	-18	-11	4	5	4	٢	14	14	16	16	14	21	16	16	19	22	24	24	√ 43	33	22	21	21	19	19	35	18	18
>	-49	-43	-42	-36	-29	-24	-17	-10	ς	-	S	×	15	16	17	15	24	20	13	20	26	25	21	1	24 7	25	22	21	20	21	36	21	20	15
>	-48	-42	-41	-35	-28	-23	-16	6-	-2	0	9	6	16	17	17	21	22	15	23	22	27	17	4 0	50	26	18	17	17	21	37	20	23	15	17
Щ	47	41	41	-34	-27	-22	-15	ŝ	-	-	٢	10	17	17	23	19	17	25	25	23	19	3 6	16	23	17	18	18	21	37	21	22	17	18	13
F	-46	-40	-34	-33	-26	-21	-14	۲-	0	0	×	11	18	24	19	20	26	20	23	21	3 1	18	25	19	22	19	22	38	22	24	20	16	14	19
D	-45	-39	-39	-32	-25	-20	-13	9-	-	e	6	12	19	19	19	27	21	24	21	3 1	19	25	18	23	19	23	39	22	19	22	17	15	21	14
A	-44	-38	-37	-31	-24	-19	-12	ċ	0	4	10	13	20	21	27	24	25	19	30	52	23	21	26	22	26	40	23	21	23	20	17	15	17	20
S	-43	-37	-35	-30	-23	-18	-11	4	ю	S	11	14	21	23	23	26	20	3 1	53	23	22	26	22	27	40	24	22	19	20	19	15	19	22	12
Γ	-42	-36	-36	-29	-22	-17	-10	က်	4	9	12	17	22	22	22	22	32	52	20	24	26	24	29	42	25	23	20	19	18	17	21	22	14	13
Х	-41	-35	-35	-28	-21	-16	6-	-2	S	٢	13	16	23	23	24	3 0	52	23	26	25	27	28	41	23	24	19	20	18	18	22	18	13	13	Π
A	40	-34	-33	-27	-20	-15	ŝ	-	9	×	14	17	24	25	3 0	28	24	25	22	29	27	43	25	27	21	21	19	19	23	20	15	14	13	13
IJ	-39	-33	-33	-26	-19	-14	L-	0	٢	6	15	18	25	2 6	27	25	26	23	31	27	44	25	27	22	21	20	20	19	20	17	15	14	15	15
		Ч	Г	Ц	Ц	>	Ċ	Ċ	z	Ц	\mathbf{N}	Γ	z	Ĺ	A	\mathbf{v}	Ι	Р	Щ	z	>	Щ	>	>	Ι	U	Р	Р	A	H	Υ	Γ	Ω	Y

Table 3.9: Example for backtracking in the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm with affine penalties d = 20, e = 1 and BLOSUM62 (part 1).

Y -52 -46 -	D -51 -45 -	L -50 -44 -	Y -49 -43 -	T -48 -42 -	A -47 -41 -	P -46 -40 -	P -45 -39 -	C -44 -38 -	I -43 -37 -	V -42 -36 -	V -41 -35 -	E -40 -34 -	V -39 -33 -	N -38 -32 -	E -37 -31 -	P -36 -30 -	I -35 -29 -	S -34 -28 -	A -33 -27 -	T -32 -26 -	N -31 -25 -	L -30 -24 -	K-29-23-	F -28 -22 -	N -27 -21 -	G -26 -20 -	G -25 -19 -	V -24 -18 -	F -23 -17 -	F -22 -16 -	T -21 -15 ×	R -20 [×] ∕5 -	0 -20 -	R
-46 -	45 -	44	-43 -	-42 -	-41 -	-40 -	-39 -	-38 -	-37 -	-36 -	-35 -	-32 -	- <u>3</u> 3 -	- <u>3</u> 1 -	-29 -	-30 -	-29 -	-27 -	-27 -	-26 -	-24 -	-24 -	-17 -	-22 -	-20 -	-20 -	-19 -	-18 -	-17 -	-16 🔨	4	-15 -	-21 -	Z
39 -	38 -	37 -	36 -	35	34 .	33	32 -	31 .	30 -	29 .	28 -	27 -	26 -	25 -	24 -	23 -	22 -	21 -	20 .	19 .	18 .	17 .	16	14	14	13	12	11	10 ×	10	16 .	16 -	22 -	Ŧ
-32	:3 1	-30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	·19	·18	.17	-16	15	14	·13	.12	<u>.</u> 1	-10	-9	×	-'	9	γ	4 ×	16	·10	·17	.17	23	Ъ
-27	-26	-25	-24	-23	-22	-21	-20	-19	-18	-17	-16	-15	-14	-13	-12	-11	-10	-9	&	-'	9	γ	4	ப்	-2	<u>'</u>	ہ ۲	20	4	<u>-</u>	-17	-18	-24	<
-20	-19	-18	-17	-16	-15	-14	-13	-12	<u>-</u> 1	-10	-9	×	-7	-6	γ	4	ப்	-2	<u>'</u>	0	1	2	ω	4	S	6	26	0	γ	-12	-19	-19	-25	G
-13	-12	-11	-10	-9	\$	-'	-6	γ	4	ப்	-2	<u>'</u>	0	-	2	ω	4	S	6	7	×	9	10	11	12 '	32	6	<u>'</u>	9	-13	-20	-20	-26	ດ
-6	γ	4	ப்	-2	<u>'</u>	0	1	2	ω	4	S	6	T	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	38	12	S	-2	-7	-14	-20	-21	-27	Z
ப்	ப்	-2	0	0		2	ω	4	S	6	Γ	×	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	39	18	11	4	ப்	\$	-15	-22	-22	-28	¥
2	ω	4	S	6	Γ	×	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	∕ 4	19	17	10	ω	4	-9	-16	-23	-23	-29	Z
S	6	7	×	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	46	^ 24	18	16	9	2	γ	-10	-17	-24	-24	-30	Ζ
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	52	26	ho23	17	15	×	-	9	-11	-18	-24	-25	-31	Z
11	13	13	14	15	18	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	27	26	27	28	31	32	50	32	25	← 22	16	14	Γ	0	-7	-12	-19	-26	-26	-32	Q
10	19	12	13	17	15	17	18	18	19	20	21	26	24	27	29	27	28	32	48	31	31	24	←21	15	13	6	<u>'</u>	\$	-13	-20	-27	-27	-33	D
17	12	13	15	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	24	25	25	29	28	27	29	48	30	30	30	23	$\div 20$	14	12	S	-2	-9	-14	-21	-28	-28	-34	×
10	12	13	13	15	16	17	18	17	18	22	23	26	27	28	28	28	45	30	29	29	29	22	↑19	13	11	4	ப்	-10	-15	-22	-29	-29	-35	X
10	13	11	13	17	18	17	16	17	20	21	24	27	26	29	28	44	28	33	30	30	28	21	↑ 18	12	10	ω	4	-11	-16	-23	-28	-30	-36	S
12	∞	17	16	17	16	13	14	19	23	25	28	23	30	25	41	25	35	28	29	27	27	<u>/</u> 2:	17	11	9	2	γ	-12	-17	-24	-31	-31	-37	Г
14	18	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	25	25	30	27	47	25	33	25	30	25	27	<u>/</u> 22	19	16	10	×	1	-6	-13	-18	-25	-31	-32	-38	z
15	15	14	15	17	20	19	20	20	21	22	27	25	44	27	31	23	26	25	27	<u>/</u> 2	3 25	18	15	9	Ţ	0	-7	-14	-19	-26	-33	-33	-39	G
																				5														

Table 3.10: Example for backtracking in the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm with affine penalties d = 20, e = 1 and BLOSUM62 (part 2).



Figure 3.4: A fragment in a DNA sequence.



Figure 3.5: Fragments are aligned off the main diagonal.

The KB and algorithm can be extended to an iterative algorithm with increasing k. However, its running time still depends on the similarity between the sequences.

3.3.2 Local Alignment — Smith-Waterman

The global alignment matches two sequences completely and does not take into account that parts of the sequences match very good. Many proteins which are remote related (homologous) share subsequences which have much higher similarity as will be found by aligning random sequences. Even if the global alignment does not result in a high score. Often these similar subsequences are conservative because they are important for the function or for the folding of the protein. Currently most relations between proteins are found by local alignment methods. Also the number of high scoring subsequences is an indicator whether these sequences are homologous or not. For DNA genomic sequences often only fragments are matched (see Fig. 3.4). In these cases also the lengths do not matter and the ends of the fragments must not match, which leads to alignments on diagonals off the main diagonal (see Fig. 3.5).

The main idea of local alignment algorithms is that negative scores are avoided. Negative scores indicate that the subsequence is not homologous. At every position the algorithm can decide whether it will use a prefix match and extend it or to start a new match. The new aspect is to start a new match which can be indicated by deleting the prefix score and setting S(i, j) = 0.

Again we start with the linear gap penalty, which gives for the recursion

$$S(i,j) = \max \begin{cases} 0 \\ S(i-1,j-1) + s(x_i,y_j) \\ S(i-1,j) - d \\ S(i,j-1) - d \end{cases}$$
(3.36)

with start

$$S(0,0) = 0$$
 and $S(-1,j) = S(i,-1) = -\infty$. (3.37)

This leads to S(i, 0) = S(0, j) = 0.

Because we want to find the best matching subsequence, we have to look for the maximal S(i, j) which gives the score. Backtracking is also started at the position with maximal score.

Alg. 3.3 shows a pseudo code of the Smith-Waterman local alignment algorithm. Again the algorithm has time and memory complexity of O(n m).

The Smith-Waterman algorithm for affine gap penalty is analogous to its Needleman-Wunsch counterpart. In contrast to the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm every maximization is kept non-negative by an additional 0 in the set to maximize.

An example (from above) for the local alignment backtrack algorithm is shown in tables 3.11 and 3.12 for affine gap with d = 20 and e = 4 (e is increased to 4 in order to avoid the global solution). The best local alignment has a score of 52:

```
RKFFVGGNWKMN
|.|||||:|.|
RTFFVGGNFKLN
```

and the second best score of 50 is:

GDKKSLNGAKLSADTEVVCGAPSIYLDF |....||.|.:..!||...|:.|||: GGNFKLNTASIPENVEVVICPPATYLDY

3.3.3 Fast Approximations: FASTA, BLAST and BLAT

The algorithms so far have time complexity of O(nm) (except for KBand algorithms which only work for similar sequences). Because the number of new sequences increases very fast through the various genomes which are sequenced, the O(nm) algorithms are too slow to compare a sequence to all known sequences. For example, the NR database of non-redundant (non-identic) sequences contains more than 3 mio. sequences. For secondary structure prediction or for protein classification a new sequence is compared with all sequences in NR. This may take a while with our algorithms.

However, if the perfect alignment must not be ensured then approximations can speed up the alignment. These fast methods are "seed and extend" algorithms. The find exact matches

Algorithm 3.3 Smith-Waterman with linear gap

Input: two sequences x and y with length n and m, respectively; scoring matrix s, gap penalty d**Output:** optimal local alignment and its score

BEGIN INITIALIZATION

S(i,0) = S(0,j) = 0 for $0 \le j \le m$ and $0 \le i \le n$ END INITIALIZATION

BEGIN PROCEDURE

for $1 \le i \le n$ do for $1 \le j \le m$ do $a(i-1,j-1) = S(i-1,j-1) + s(x_i,y_j), a(i-1,j) = S(i-1,j) - d,$ a(i,j-1) = S(i,j-1) - d $S(i,j) = \max\{0, a(i-1,j-1), a(i-1,j), a(i,j-1)\}$ if S(i,j) > 0 then $B(i,j) = \arg \max\{0, a(i-1,j-1), a(i-1,j), a(i,j-1)\}$ else B(i,j) = (-1,-1)end for end for

 $(i, j) = \arg \max \{S(i, j) \mid 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m\}$ print "Score: "S(i, j)

while $S(i, j) \neq 0$ do

 $\mathbf{if} \ B(i,j) = \begin{cases} (i-1,j-1) & \mathbf{then \ print} & \frac{x_i}{y_j} \\ (i-1,j) & \mathbf{then \ print} & \frac{x_i}{-} \\ (i,j-1) & \mathbf{then \ print} & \frac{-}{y_j} \end{cases}$

(i, j) = B(i, j)end while END PROCEDURE

T																																			
	K	D	Г	Y	L	А	P	P	0	Ι	<	<	щ	<	Z	н	P	Ι	S	А	L	Z	Γ	K	т	Z	G	G	<	т	т	L	R		
12 f.	0	0	Ò	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ò	0	0	0	Ô	Ô	0	0	0	0	0,	0	
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	'n	0	R
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	X
	ω	0	0	ω	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	S	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	10	0	0	0	Ъ
	ω	0	ω	ω	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	ω	6	0	0	0	S	∑16	6	0	0	0	Ч
, ,	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	ω	4	4	0	4	0	0	0	ω	0	0	2	0	4	4	0	0	0	2	∕20	S	0	0	0	0	$\mathbf{<}$
C	0	ω	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	2	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	2	8	∕26	2	0	0	0	0	0	G
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	4	8	12	>32	∞	0	0	0	0	0	0	G
	0	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	2	6	10	14	18	∕38	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	z
5	ы	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ω	0	0	0	0	0	ω	7	11	15	19	×39	3 18	8	0	0	<u> </u>	-	0	0	0	W
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	<u> </u>	0	4	8	12	16	20	24	∕_ 4	9 19	14	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	K
8 1.	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	S	0	1	0	2	6	10	14	18	22	26	<u>/</u> 4	4 24	15	10	0	0	-	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0	R
4 -	0	$\boldsymbol{\omega}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	4	8	12	16	20	24	28	32	<u>/</u> ,	6 26	20	11	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	z
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	Ľ	-	1	22	22	ω	5	2 33	2	10	L L	2	T	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	G
5	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ŝ	5	1	42	2	12	ω ω	13 4	с 3	22	12	5	<u>د</u> ،	ŝ	7	6 (0	0		0	0	0	
	4						_					~	<u> </u>		2	2	5	2	4	ω ω	2	2	50	() 8	()	7	л (_				_	×
2	-	~		~	~	~	~			~	•	~	0 1	$\frac{3}{1}$	0 2	2 2	42	9 4	စ ယ	0 2	7 2	42	4	<u> </u>	7	́л (~					Ľ			×
ຼ	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0		+	~	4	82	2 2	52	8 4	5	03	62	32	0 1	0	0 7	(Ŋ ()	0	_	_	0	0	_		0	_	n n
ر ہ	-	_		-	_	_	-	_	0		1	2 1	8	0	6 2	8	4	တ် ယ	0	4	<u> </u>	6 1	∞ ⊼	́ю -	0	_	_	_	0	-		5	_	_	_
<u> </u>	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	×	ώ	9	L	27	5	Ξ	S	22	3	õ	Ś	2 7	6	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	ω	0	0	0	Г
	0	S	0	0	0	0	0	ω	Γ	11	16	19	27	27	47	25	30	19	21	13	12 *	12	0	-	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Z
	2	0	0	0	0	0	-	S	8	12	16	24	25	44	27	28	17	17	13	12	10	4	0	0	ω	0	7	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	G



																																		0	
Ц	0	0	0	9	9	0	0	-	0	٢	0	0	0	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	17	27) L	
D	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	-	0	0	0	S	0	0	0	0	-	ы	e	0	e	0	0	0	e	0	0	0	0	13	21	747	27	
Γ	0	0	0	0	e	S	0	0	0	0	-	4	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	e	-	9	-	0	0	e	9	17	4	51	17	
Y	0	0	0	Э	4	0	0	0	0	Э	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	5	0	4	5	5	0	5	7	0	37	2	[]	6	
	_	_	_				_	_	_		_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_			_				_	_		2	30	3	_	_		
Ι	0	0	0	1	0	e)	0	0	0	1	0	ব	0	0	0	0	œ	0	0	ব	ব	0	Ś	e	ব	0	0	9	1 T	2	Ξ	1	0	9	,
S	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	-	-	1	4	1	0	7	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	۲. ۲	14	6	Э	٢	8	,
Р	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	e	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	√ 30	13	11	4	٢	10	12	
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	4	-	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	З	2	23	14	12	9	×	11	14	32	
IJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	4	٢	2	15	8	9	6	12	15	34	15	
U	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	5	0	8	27	۲ ۲	10	9	01	[]	61	35	8	15	
~	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	1		•)	_			_	28	2	3		0	4	-	9	-		_	,
~	0	0	0	0	0	ŝ	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	9	e	4 7	2	œ	Ξ	-	Ξ	÷	0	õ	0	È	œ	
>	0	0	0	0	-	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	Э	4	1	0	S	0	2	S	14	×	11	14	21	37	20	20	6	8	
Щ	0	0	0	ы	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	10	0	9	e	S	-	0	7 20	-	11	×	12	15	21	37	21	19	11	6	-	
H	0	0	S	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	6	4	0	1	0	15	Э	13	10	16	16	22	38	22	21	14	٢	0	4	
D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	8	5	0	0	0	15	4	13	6	17	16	23	39	22	16	16	8	3	9	0	
~								_				0		0		0	~		14	~	-	0	0	6	9	0	ŝ	8	2	-	10	0	0	0	i
7	Ū	Ū	Ū	Ū	Ū	Ū	Ū		Ū	Ū	Ū	.,	~	1	• •			2	2	È		. 1	0	1	0	7 +	0	-	_	1	• •	Ū	Ū		
S	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	9	0	10	1	1	4	9	2	2	Ξ	Ë	5	10	5	4	5	10	Ξ	Ξ	L	0	1	4	0	
Γ	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	9	0	6	0	0	0	1	Ĩ	٢	×	15	20	21	29	42	25	20	14	10	9	0	Э	4	0	0	
Х	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	S	0	0	0	С	714	10	11	17	19	24	28	41	23	21	13	11	9	С	4	0	0	0	0	
A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	S	0	0	0	0	4	714	13	12	16	16	26	27	43	25	24	15	12	٢	4	S	0	0	0	0	0	,
IJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	٢	0	Э	0	0	4	1 0	× 12	13	17	17	28	27	44	25	24	16	12	8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	
		R	F	Ц	Ц	>	IJ	IJ	z	Ц	Х	Γ	z	∠ L	A	Ś	Ι	Ч	Щ	Z	^	Щ	>	>	Π	U	Р	Р	A	H	۲	Γ	D	Y	I

Table 3.12: Example for backtracking in the Smith-Waterman algorithm with affine penalties d = 20, e = 4 and BLOSUM62 (part 2).

of small subsequences of length 2 to 5 with high scores and subsequently extend these matches. Extensions do not require exact matches. Background of these approximations is that in most reasonable alignments there are many 2- to 7-mers (or 2- to 7-grams) of exact matches which highly contribute to the score (cf. main diagonal of the BLOSUM matrices). Another advantage is that the query sequence can be preprocessed for the 2- to 7-mers match search.

3.3.3.1 FASTA

FASTA (fast-aye, Lipman and Pearson, 1985, Pearson and Lipman, 1988) searches for relevant diagonals in the alignment matrix (dot-plot or dynamic programming). See http://www2.ebi.ac.uk/fasta3/.

FASTA works as follows (cf. Fig. 3.6):

- 1. Searching *hot-spots*, i.e. perfect matches of length k, where the default is k = 2 (k = 6 for nucleotides). For scoring the PAM250 is used. The search is sped up by a lookup table of words of length k in the query sequence. The ten best scoring regions are selected based on number of hot-spots and their distance.
- 2. The ten best scoring regions are re-evaluated by *diagonal runs*. Diagonal u contains all matrix elements (i, j) with u = i j. The diagonal run accumulates the hot spots on the diagonal but also matches shorter that k with a PAM250 scoring matrix and finds maximal scoring subregions. This step is performed also to allow scoring schemes which are different to step 1.
- 3. The best-scoring sub-alignments are chained to a larger candidate alignment, where gaps (penalty is 20) are allowed. Candidates must be beyond a threshold. In such a way candidates for step 4 are selected.
- 4. A banded Smith-Waterman algorithm with k = 23 generates local alignments around the high-scoring regions. Finally, a full Smith-Waterman alignment can be obtained.

FASTA misses matches when two sequences have similarities but at different positions or if pattern occur repeated.

3.3.3.2 BLAST

BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool, Altschul 1990) and Position-Specific-Iterative-BLAST (PSI-BLAST for data bases) is the most used bioinformatics software these times. For a tutorial see http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/tutorial/Altschul-1.html and to download it http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/. The paper of Altschul 1990 which introduced BLAST is now the most cited paper in Biology.

The idea of BLAST is similar to FASTA. BLAST tries to identify *high-scoring segment pairs* (HSPs). HSPs are local maximal segment pairs (matching subsequences) exceeding a scoring threshold. A segment pair is local maximal if neither shortening nor extending improves the score.

BLAST works as follows



Figure 3.6: The FASTA algorithm. The four steps of the FASTA algorithm.

- 1. Queue words as k-mers (k = 3 for proteins and k = 11 for nucleotides) are generated for the query sequence. Queue words score at least T with a non-gapped local alignment with the query sequence (typically 50 words per amino acid). The list can be generated in linear time (length of the list). The parameter T is a trade-off between speed and sensitivity: high T yields shorter lists and is fast but misses weak similarities.
- 2. The data base (treated as single sequence of length m) is scanned for hits with the queue words. This is a classical problem which can be solved with finite state machines (more precise a Mealy automaton) and a keyword tree (cf. Fig. 3.8) similar to the Aho-Corasick algorithms (see Gusfield, 1999). The keyword tree can be constructed in about linear time in the list length. The whole search has complexity O(n + m + u), where u are the number of hits.
- 3. The found hits are gapless extended (both directions) to find locally maximal segment pairs (fast without gaps). Non-overlapping hits on the same diagonal with distance below a threshold are joined. Only such extensions are considered which do not drop below certain distance to the best score yet. As in FASTA gapped alignments are constructed with a KBand algorithm.
- BLAST can miss HSPs due to the value of k and the thresholds introduced in step 2 and 3.

The BLAST programs are



Figure 3.7: Difference between BLAST and FASTA. BLAST extends local regions only on the diagonal whereas FASTA uses banded alignments.



Figure 3.8: The idea of the keyword tree of the BLAST algorithm.

- BLASTP: compares amino acid sequence query to a protein sequence database
- BLASTN: compares nucleotide sequence query to a nucleotide sequence database
- BLASTX: nucleotide sequence query is translated and compared to a protein sequence database
- TBLASTN: compares amino acid sequence query to a nucleotide sequence database, where the later is translated
- TBLASTX: compares nucleotide sequence query to a nucleotide sequence database but the sequences are first translated

It is recommended to use translated amino acid sequence if possible. *e*-value of 0.05 is the threshold for significance and indicates interesting results. Repeated segments may confuse BLAST and should be removed.

PSI-BLAST is an iterative BLAST search where a profile (\rightarrow) or position specific scoring matrix (PSSM) is constructed from a multiple alignment (\rightarrow) of the highest scoring hits. The PSSM is a position-specific score for each position in the multiple alignment. Highly conserved positions, i.e. positions with the same amino acid or very similar amino acid in every sequence which was aligned, receive high scores. The scoring profile is subsequently used to perform another BLAST search where in each iteration the profile is refined and sensitivity increased.

The PSI-BLAST profile generation is important for secondary structure prediction and for protein classification. In both cases instead of the original sequence the PSSM is used which generalizes from the individual characteristics of the single sequence. The change from the original sequence to the PSSM has given a performance jump in both disciplines.

PSI-BLAST may generate dirty profiles by including hits which are no longer similar to the original sequence. Especially non-informative patterns should be removed from the query like coiled-coil regions and low complex patterns (e.g. repeating amino acid) to avoid random hits.

Comparison of BLAST and FASTA:

	BLAST	FASTA
output	multiple HSPs	best alignment
better for	proteins	nucleotides
speed	faster	slower
sensitivity	low	higher
homologs	finds low	misses low
false positives	more	less
significance	<i>e</i> -values	estimation

3.3.3.3 BLAT

Faster algorithms for finding homolog sequences have been developed.

BLAT (BLAST-Like Alignment Tool) is about 50 times faster than BLAST at comparable sensitivity (500 times faster for nucleotides). It also searches first for k-mer hits and extends them to HSPs as BLAST does. However the speed up compared to BLAST is due to some differences:



Figure 3.9: The density functions of the normal distribution (left) and the extreme value distribution (right).

- BLAST generates a list of words from the query sequence and goes linearly through the data base. In contrast to BLAST, BLAT builds an index of the data base and goes linearly through the query
- BLAT uses in contrast to BLAST near perfect matches, i.e. perfect matches with one or more amino acids mismatch
- BLAT joins more than two hits by extending the hits
- BLAT chains the high scoring local alignments together

There exist further methods like QUASAR (Q-gram Alignment based Suffix Arrays, Burkhardt et al., Recomb, 1999). Here the data base is index in a suffix array.

3.4 Alignment Significance

In this section we focus on the result of an alignment. What does a certain score mean? How can it be judged? Is it significant or random? Most alignment tools provide a significance measure. To understand and judge these measures we will derive and discuss them in the following.

3.4.1 Significance of HSPs

BLAST searches for HSPs which will be considered here. What is the distributions of the alignment score of random generated sequences? It is not Gaussian. The alignment algorithms produce high scores but avoid low scores which results in a distribution with positively skewed tail. The extreme value distribution (see Fig. 3.9) is an appropriate distribution to model the outcome of optimizing some values:

pdf:
$$p(x) = e^{-x} e^{-e^{-x}}$$
, dist.: $P(x) = e^{-e^{-x}}$. (3.38)

Following assumptions are given:

- the two sequences x and y are i.i.d. in their elements according to the letter probabilities p_x and p_y
- x and y are long
- the expected pairwise score for random sequences $\sum_{i,j} p_x(i) p_y(j) s(i,j)$ is negative
- there exist i, j for which s(i, j) > 0 (existence of a positive score)

Karlin, Dembo, Kawabata, 1990, showed that the maximal segment scores $S_{n,m}$ (n, m are the length' of the sequences) are

$$S_{n,m} \propto \frac{\ln nm}{\lambda}$$
 (3.39)

Karlin and Altschul, 1990, and Altschul and Gish, 1996, showed that the centered values $\tilde{S}_{n,m} = S_{n,m} - \frac{\ln nm}{\lambda}$, are distributed according to a extreme value distribution:

$$P\left(\tilde{S}_{n,m} > S\right) \approx 1 - \exp\left(-K \, m \, n \, e^{-\lambda S}\right) \approx K \, m \, n \, e^{-\lambda S} \,. \tag{3.40}$$

The last approximation stems from the fact that $e^h = 1 + h + O(h^2)$ and for large S, i.e. high scores, $h = -K m n e^{-\lambda S}$ is small to allow for a linear approximation. The scoring matrix an be expressed as log-odds score with $p_{i,j}$ as the target distributions of letter pairs:

$$s(i,j) = \log\left(\frac{p_{i,j}}{p_x(i) p_y(j)}\right) / \lambda.$$
(3.41)

The expected contribution of a pair to the score is

$$\sum_{i,j} p_{i,j} s(i,j) = \sum_{i,j} p_{i,j} \log\left(\frac{p_{i,j}}{p_x(i) p_y(j)}\right) / \lambda =$$
(3.42)

$$\operatorname{KL}\left(p_{i,j} \parallel p_{x}(i) p_{y}(j)\right) / \lambda , \qquad (3.43)$$

where "KL" denotes the Kullback-Leibler distance or the relative entropy of $p_{i,j}$ with respect to $p_x(i) p_y(j)$. The Kullback-Leibler gives the number of additional bits which are needed to describe a pair (i, j) produced by $p_{i,j}$ if $p_x(i) p_y(j)$ is given. Therefore λ can be seen as scaling to bit scores.

To determine the parameter λ we assume two random sequences and delete one pair, then the following should hold

$$E = P\left(\tilde{S}_{n,m} > S\right) \approx$$

$$\sum_{i,j} p_x(i) p_y(j) P\left(\tilde{S}_{n-1,m-1} > S - s(i,j)\right) .$$
(3.44)

 $P\left(\tilde{S}_{n,m} > S\right)$ is the probability of score larger S. This is split into the probability of having a score without the pair (i, j) larger than S - s(i, j) multiplied by observing pair (i, j) summed over

all possible pairs (i, j). Note, that s(i, j) may be deleted from a position outside the HSP and that some amino acids are more probable to be part of a HSP. Both facts are neglected.

If we approximate $\tilde{S}_{n-1,m-1}$ by $\tilde{S}_{n,m}$ then the parameter λ is obtained as positive solution of the equation

$$\sum_{i,j} p_x(i) \, p_y(j) \, \exp(\lambda \, s(i,j)) \, = \, 1 \, . \tag{3.45}$$

Typical values for the BLOSUM62 matrix are $\lambda = 0.254$ and K = 0.040. K can be interpreted as how related amino acids are in the given context (the scoring matrix). λ can be interpreted as the scale of the scoring matrix because a change of the base of the logarithm leads to a re-scaling of the scoring matrix.

The value $P\left(\tilde{S}_{n,m} > S\right)$ is called the *e*-value and is used as one output of the BLAST algorithm. BLAST uses another output called "bit-score" which is a normalized score independent of λ and K.

We see that the log-probability is

$$\ln(E) = \ln\left(K \, m \, n \, e^{-\lambda \, S}\right) = \ln(m \, n) \, + \, \ln(K) \, - \, \lambda \, S \,, \tag{3.46}$$

therefore

$$S = \frac{\bar{S} \ln 2 + \ln K}{\lambda} \tag{3.47}$$

leads to

$$\log_2(E) = \log_2(m n) - \bar{S}$$
(3.48)

$$E = m n 2^{-S} (3.49)$$

Therefore the *e*-value *p* of the bit-score \overline{S} is a better score which is independent of *K* and λ .

Finally, we compute the probability that l maximal segments scores or HSPs exceed a threshold S. The HSPs follow a Poisson distribution and E is the probability of observing a HSP > S (the average number of events). Therefore we have

$$P(l) = e^{-E} \frac{E^l}{l!} . (3.50)$$

3.4.2 Significance of Perfect Matches

In this subsection we focus on the significance of perfect matches or near perfect matches. Therefore methods like BLAT can be evaluated in this framework but also results from BLAST or global alignment if perfect matches are considered. The expected number of non-overlapping k-mers of the query of length n which match a database of length m with each of the a letters having the same probability is

$$(n - k + 1) \frac{m}{k} \left(\frac{1}{a}\right)^k.$$
(3.51)

Here (n - k + 1) are the number of k-mers in the query, $\frac{m}{k}$ are the number of non-overlapping k-mers in the data base and $(\frac{1}{a})^k$ is the matching probability (context-free).

If we know M the similarity between the query and the data base, then the matching probability is

$$(M)^k$$
. (3.52)

The probability of at least one matching k-mer in the data base to a certain k-mer in the query is

$$1 - \left(1 - M^k\right)^{m/k} . (3.53)$$

This is one minus the probability of that all m/k do not match simultaneously. For small M we can approximate it by

$$1 - \left(1 - M^k\right)^{m/k} \approx M^k \,. \tag{3.54}$$

Now we consider almost perfect matches. The probability of a match with 1 or less mismatches is simply the sum of probability of a perfect match and the probability of the mismatch:

$$k M^{k-1}(1-M) + M^k . (3.55)$$

The first term is the number k of existing (k - 1)-mers multiplied by their probability. Their probability is the probability M^{k-1} of (k-1) matches multiplied by the probability of a mismatch (1 - M). Other probabilities like equal letter probability follow analog, e.g. by replacing M through $\frac{1}{a}$.

For more than one exact match we introduce

$$p_m = M^k . aga{3.56}$$

For non-overlapping k-mers more than one match can be described by a binomial distribution: match or non-match. The probability to obtain l matches is

$$\binom{m/k}{l} p_m^l \left(1 - p_m\right)^{m/k-l} . ag{3.57}$$

For more than l matches and large m the binomial distribution $\mathcal{B}(m/k, p_m)$ can be either approximated through a Poisson distribution or through a normal distribution depending on $m/k M^k$:

$$\mathcal{B}(m/k, p_m) \sim \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}(np) & \text{for } m/k \ M^k \le 5\\ \mathcal{N}(np, \sqrt{np_m(1-p_m)}) & \text{for } m/k \ M^k > 5 \end{cases},$$
(3.58)

where \mathcal{P} denotes the Poisson distribution and \mathcal{N} the normal distribution. Note, that approximation of \mathcal{B} through the normal distribution approximation results from the central limit theorem. The approximation through the Poisson distribution is due to its probability-generating function $G(s) = (p \ s + (1-p))^t$

$$(1+l/t)^t \approx e^l \tag{3.59}$$

$$(p s + (1-p))^{t} = \left(1 + \frac{p n}{t} (s - 1)\right)^{t} \approx \exp(n p (s - 1)).$$
(3.60)

Chapter 4

Multiple Alignment

4.1 Motivation

An extension of pairwise sequence alignment is the multiple sequence alignment. Now more than two sequences must be compared to one another by writing each sequence in a separate line. The sequences should be arranged so that in the columns the amino acids match as good as possible. Below is an example of a multiple sequence alignments of triosephosphate isomerase from different species.

	1	riosephosphate	isomerase	
	10	20	30	40
Human Chicken Yeast E. coli Amoeba Archaeon consensus	APSRKFFVGGN RKFFVGGN .GAGKFVVGGN .ARTFFVGGN MRHPLVMGN AKLKEPIIAIN r.f.vggN	WKMNGRKQSLG WKMNGDKKSLG WKCNGTLASIE FKLNGSKQSIK WKLNGSRHMVH FKTYIEATGKF WKlng.k.si.	ELIGTLNA ELIHTLNG TLTKGVAASVD EIVERLNT ELVSNLRKE ALEIAKA <mark>A</mark>	AKVPADTEVVCAPPTAY AKLSADTEVVCGAPSIY AELAKKVEVIVGVPFIY ASIPENVEVVICPPATY LAGVAGCAVAIAPPEMY EKVYKETGVTIVVAPQL a.veVvia.py
	50	60	70	80 90
Human Chicken Yeast E. coli Amoeba Archaeon consensus	IDFARQKLD LDFARQKLD IPKVQQILAGE LDYSVSLVKK. IDMAKREAEG. VDLRMIAES idl	PKIAVAAQ AKIGVAAQ ANGANILVSA PQVTVGAQ SHIMLGAQ VEIPVFAQ	NCYKVTNGAFT NCYKVPKGAFT NAWTKS.GAYT NAVTKSSGAFT NVLNLSGAFT HIDPIKPGSHT n.yGafT	GEISPGMIKDCGATWVV GEISPAMIKDIGAAWVI GEVHVGMLVDCQVPYVI GENSVDQIKDVGAKYVI GETSAAMLKDIGAQYII GHVLPEAVKEAGAVGTL Gevs.amikd.ga.yvi
	100	110	120	130 140
Human Chicken Yeast E. coli Amoeba Archaeon	LGHSERRHVFG LGHSERRHVFG LGHSERRQIFH LGHSERRSYFH IGHSERRTYHK LNHSENRMILA	ESDELIGOKVA ESDELIGOKVA ESNEQVAEKVK EDDKFIADKTK ESDELIAKKFA DLEAAIRR	HALAEGLGVIA HALAEGLGVIA VAIDAGLKVIA FALGQGVGVIL VLKEQGLTPVL . AEEVGLMTMV	CIGEKLDEREAGITEKV CIGEKLDEREAGITEKV CIGETEAQBIANQTEEV CIGETLEEKKAGKTLDV CIGETEAENEAGKTEEV CIGETEAENEAGKTEEV

	150	160	170	180	190
Human Chicken Yeast E. coli Amoeba Archaeon consensus	VFEQTKVIADNV VFEQTKAIADNV VAAQLKAINNAISK VERQLNAVLEEV CARQIDAVLKTQGA VSAAVAALNPDY vql.aiv	KDWSKVVLAYEP KDWSKVVLAYEP EAWKNIILAYEP KDFTNVVVAYEP AAFEGAVIAYEP VAVEP VVIAYEP	VWAIGTGKT VWAIGTGKT VWAIGTGKT V.AIGTGLA VWAIGTGKS PELIGTGIP vwaIGTGkt	ATPQQAQEVHE ATPQQAQEVHE ATPDQAQEVHC ATPEDAQDIHA ATPAQAQAVHK V <mark>S</mark> KAKPEVITN atp.qaqevh.	KLRG KLRG YIRK SIRK FIRD I
	200	210	220	230	
Human Chicken Yeast E. coli Amoeba Archaeon consensus	WLKSNVSDAVAQST WLKTHVSDAVAQST WMTENISKEVAEAT FLASKLGDKAASEL HIAK.VDANTAEQV TVELVKKVNPEV wlvva	RIIYGGSVTGAT RIIYGGSVTGGN RIQYGGSVNPAN RILYGGSANGSN IIQYGGSVNASN KVLCGAGISTGE rilyGgsv.ggn	CKELASQPD CKELASQHD CNELAKKAD AVTFKDKAD AAELFAQPD DVKKAIELG	VDGFLVGCASI VDGFLVGCASI IDGFLVGCASI VDGFLVGCASI IDGALVGCASI TV <mark>GVLLASG</mark> VT .vdGfLvggas]	KP.E KP.E DAAK KP.E KADA KAKD ke
Human Chicken Yeast E. coli Amoeba Archaeon consensus	240 FVDIINAKQ FVDIINAKH FKTIINSVSEKL FVDIINSRN FAVIWKAAEAAKQA PEKAIWDLVSGI fiin				

Multiple sequence alignment is used to

- detect remote homologous regions which are detected through the average of all sequences but cannot be detected through pairwise alignment (A is similar to B and B to C even if B and A are not similar to one another),
- detect motifs (\rightarrow) , i.e. regular patterns, in families of proteins,
- detect conserved regions or positions, e.g. to extract disulfide bonds,
- detect structural blocks like helices or sheets,
- construct phylogenetic trees (\rightarrow) ,
- construct a profile for protein families to which a new sequence can be compared,
- construct profiles instead of the sequences to obtain a more reliable input to sequences processing methods,
- sequence genomes by superimposing fragments (nucleotides),
- cluster proteins according to similar regions.

Multiple sequence alignment can be based on the ideas of pairwise sequence alignment but some issues must be reconsidered. As in pairwise alignment we separate scoring schemes and optimization methods.

4.2 Multiple Sequence Similarities and Scoring

For the similarity measure there are different approaches: (1) either measure similarity to a reference sequence, (2) measure similarities between evolutionary adjacent sequences, or (3) measure all pairwise similarities. The next subsections will discuss these different approaches.

4.2.1 Consensus and Entropy Score

The *consensus sequence* is the sequence obtained if for each column in the alignment the most frequent amino acid is chosen (cf. the last line of above multiple alignment example). If the highest frequency is not does not exceed a threshold (is not significant higher than other frequencies then either a wildcard, a gap, or a set of amino acids (set is expressed by one letter) will be inserted. More precisely the consensus sequences contains at a certain column the amino acid or letter representing a group of amino acids which has the highest pairwise score to all other amino acids and gaps in the column.

The *consensus score* is the sum of the pairwise score between sequences and the consensus sequence. The costs between amino acids was already considered. The cost between amino acids and gaps must be defined.

The consensus score can be generalized by constructing a profile instead of the consensus sequence. A *profile* gives the relative frequency $f_{i,a}$ of each letter a in each column i of the alignment. Later we will see another approach to generate profile which was introduced by Karlin and Altschul, 1990.

High entropy of the letter distribution in one column means that all letter are equally probable. Zero entropy means that only one letter occurs in one column. Therefore a good alignment correlates with a low accumulative entropy per position, and the *entropy score* is

$$-\sum_{i}\sum_{a}f_{i,a}\,\log f_{i,a}$$
 (4.1)

4.2.2 Tree and Star Score

In order to count the mutations (i.e. the similarity), instead of comparing all pairs of letters only those pairs should be compared which are evolutionary adjacent, i.e. are ancestors/successors of each other. For example if only two letters appear at one column but multiple, say D and E, then it may be only one mutation which changed D into E or vice versa. All sequences older than the time of the mutation agree to each other as do all sequences after the mutation. Pairwise comparison of letters will overestimate the number of mutations.

To compare evolutionary adjacent sequences we must assume to know a phylogenetic tree (\rightarrow) which gives the evolutionary relationship. The tree may be constructed through a multiple alignment as preprocessing.



Figure 4.1: Pairwise comparison of letters according to a tree. The edges are the comparisons which are made. Bold edges are mismatches.

If we compare the sequences

NNN NNN NNC NCC

then the edges in Fig. 4.1 give the comparisons to make, where bold edges are mismatches.

Instead of a phylogenetic tree also a phylogenetic star may be used where one sequence is considered as ancestor of all others. This scoring scheme is similar to the consensus score as the sequence most similar to the consensus score is more likely the ancestor. Reason for this is that sequences resulting from the ancestor by mutations are independent of each other. Mutations are conservative and, therefore, at every position only few letter differ from the ancestor. Fig. 4.2 give the comparisons to make, where bold edges are mismatches.

4.2.3 Weighted Sum of Pairs Score

The most common score is the weighted sum of pairs, where all pairs of letters per alignment position are mutually compared.

As above also the weighted sum of pairs can be expressed through a graph where each edge means a contribution to the score by comparing the letters at the nodes of the edge. Fig. 4.3 give the all pairwise comparisons to make.

For alignment length L and N sequences, the weighted sum of pairs score is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=l+1}^{N} w_{l,j} s\left(x_{i,l}, x_{i,j}\right) .$$
(4.2)

The weights may be chosen to reduce the influence of closely related sequences to avoid that the final score is governed by close homologous sequences.



Figure 4.2: Pairwise comparison of letters according to a star. The edges are the comparisons which are made. Bold edges are mismatches.



Figure 4.3: Pairwise comparison of letters. The edges are the comparisons which are made. Bold edges are mismatches

The weighted sum of pairs score has disadvantages. Assume that in a column all letters are equal C and now we flip one letter to D. What is the new relative score compared to the old score? It decrease with respect to the number of sequences N. The old score is

$$S_{\text{old}} = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}s(C,C)$$
 (4.3)

and the new is

$$S_{\text{new}} = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}s(C,C) - (N-1)s(C,C) + (N-1)s(C,D)$$
(4.4)

which gives as relative decrease

$$\frac{S_{\text{old}} - S_{\text{new}}}{S_{\text{old}}} = \frac{2 (N-1) s(C,C) - 2 (N-1) s(C,D)}{N (N-1) s(C,C)} =$$

$$\frac{2}{N} \left(1 - \frac{s(C,D)}{s(C,C)} \right).$$
(4.5)

Note, that for all reasonable scoring matrices s(C, D) < s(C, C) from which follows that

$$\left(1 - \frac{s(C,D)}{s(C,C)}\right) > 0.$$

Therefore, the relative decrease is inverse proportional to the number of sequences. However that is contra-intuitive because a new letter in a column of 100 equal letters is more surprising as a new letter in a column of 3 equal letters. Information theory say the same: a new letter in a column of 100 has higher information gain because of the low entropy whereas the information gain at high entropy is low (the description length of the new letter *a* is $-\log f_{i,a} = \log(N)$).

Gaps can be handled as for pairwise algorithms where linear gaps are to prefer as the alignment methods are computationally much more expensive for affine gaps. For the pairwise score the gaps which fall onto gaps are removed.

4.3 Multiple Alignment Algorithms

For many cases the multiple alignment optimization problem is NP-hard. Therefore, the solutions are often approximated if the number of sequences is more than 10 to 15.

The algorithms can be divided into four classes

- global and progressive methods: MSA, COSA, GSA, clustalW, TCoffee
- iterative and search algorithms: DIALIGN, MultAlin, SAGA, PRRP, Realigner
- Iocal methods (motif/profile): eMotif, Blocks, Dialign, Prosite, HMM, Gibbs sampling
- divide-and-conquer algorithms: DCA, OMA

Tab. 4.1 gives an overview over the multiple alignment programs, their web link, and their associated publication.
Global progressive alignments methods

Global progressive anglinients methods				
CLUSTALW	ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/software	Thompson	et	al.
		(1994/97)		
		Higgins et al.	(1996)
MSA	http://www.psc.edu/	Lipman et al.	(1989)
	http://www.ibc.wustl.edu/ibc/msa.html	Gupta et al. (1995)	
	ftp://fastlink.nih.gov/pub/msa			
PRALINE	http://mathbio.nimr.mrc.ac.uk/~jhering/praline	Heringa (199	9)	

Iterative and search algorithms

DIALIGN	seg-	http://www.gsf.de/biodv/dialign.html	Morgenstern	et	al.
ment alignment			(1996)		
MultAlin		http://protein.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin.html	Corpet (1988)		
PRRP	pro-	ftp://ftp.genome.ad.jp/pub/genome/saitamacc	Gotoh (1996)		
gressive	global				
alignment					
SAGA genetic al-		http://igs-server.cnrs-mrs.fr/~cnotred/Projects_	Notredame and Higgins		
gorithm		home_page/saga_home_page.html (1996)			

Local alignments / motif / profile

Aligned Segment Statistical Eval.	ftp://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/neuwald/asset	Neuwald and Green (1994)
Tool (Asset)		
BLOCKS	http://blocks.fhcrc.org/blocks/	Henikoff and Henikoff
		(1991, 1992)
eMOTIF	http://dna.Stanford.EDU/emotif/	Nevill-Manning et al. (1998)
GIBBS (Gibbs	ftp://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/neuwald/gibbs9_95/	Lawrence et al. (1993),
sampler)		Liu et al. (1995),
		Neuwald et al. (1995)
HMMER hidden	http://hmmer.wustl.edu/	Eddy (1998)
Markov model		
MACAW	ftp://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/macaw	Schuler et al. (1991)
MEME (EM	http://meme.sdsc.edu/meme/website/	Bailey and Elkan
method)		(1995), Grundy et al.
		(1996, 1997), Bailey
		and Gribskov (1998)
Profile (UCSD)	http://www.sdsc.edu/projects/profile/	Gribskov and Veretnik
		(1996)
SAM hidden	http://www.cse.ucsc.edu/research/comp/bio/sam.	Krogh et al. (1994),
Markov model	html	Hughey and Krogh
		(1996)

Table 4.1: Overview over multiple alignment programs.



Figure 4.4: Matrix used for pairwise alignment. Each path through the matrix is an alignment of two sequences.

4.3.1 Exact Methods

The MSA algorithm (Lippman et al., 1989, Gupa et al., 1995) generalizes the dynamic programming ideas from pairwise alignment. For three sequences a cube instead of the matrix used for the dynamic programming algorithms for aligning two sequences must be used. At each coordinate direction a sequence is written. Grid points (nodes) contain as entries the best alignment score of the according subsequences. The paths through a matrix (cf. Fig. 4.4) are now paths from the lower, left, front to the upper, right, back through a cube (cf. Fig. 4.5). The alignment of the examples in Fig. 4.5 is:

A-BD-E-ACB--E-A--DCEE

At each node of the cube 7 steps are possible going to a node to move closer to the upper, right, back node.

x x - - x x y - y - y - y z - z z z

The three dimensions can be generalized to more dimension, i.e. to more sequences. However the memory and computational complexity grow exponentially with the number of sequences. Therefore, these methods are limited to few sequences and to short sequences. Gupa et al., 1995, proposed a more efficient variant of MSA. First pairwise alignments are computed in order to constrain the position of the optimal multiple alignment path. The optimal path can be projected onto 2 dimensional planes on which it represents a pairwise alignment. The constraints reduce the number of entry's which must be evaluated on the hypercube.



Figure 4.5: Cube for aligning three sequences. Each path from the lower, left, front to the upper, right, back through the cube is an alignment of three sequences.

MSA (Gupa) works as follows:

- compute all pairwise alignment scores $S_{k,l}$
- predict a phylogenetic tree based on the pairwise scores
- compute pairwise weights based on the tree
- construct a temporary multiple alignment based on the phylogenetic tree with score S_t
- compute $B_{k,l}$, a lower bound on S[k, l], the score of the projection of the optimal multiple alignment to the sequences k and l
- constrain the space in the cube where the optimal alignment path goes through $B_{k,l}$; the constraints can be computed similar to the Baum-Welch algorithm used for hidden Markov models
- compute the optimal alignment on the constraint cube; here Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm for DAGs (directed acyclic graphs) with nonnegative edges can be used; using a priority queue avoids to construct the whole graph; non-negativity guarantees monotone increasing costs and allows to use the priority queues
- compare the weight found in the alignment with the maximal weight

The last step checks whether the actual weight exceeds the maximal weight. If this is the case then a better alignment may be possible. However, larger maximal weight means more computational costs.

The Carillo-Lipman bound is given by

$$B_{k,l} = S_t + S_{k,l} - \sum_{i,j} S_{i,j} .$$
(4.6)

The inequality

$$S[k,l] \ge B_{k,l} \tag{4.7}$$

follows from $S[k, l] \leq S_{k,l}$ (the optimal pairwise alignment scores better equal than all other alignments) and $S_t \leq S$ (S is the optimal multiple alignment score):

$$S \ge S_{t}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i,j} S[i,j] \ge S_{t}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{(i,j)\neq(k,l)} S_{i,j} + S[k,l] \ge S_{t}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow S[k,l] \ge S_{t} - \sum_{(i,j)\neq(k,l)} S_{i,j}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow S[k,l] \ge S_{t} + S_{k,l} - \sum_{i,j} S_{i,j}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow S[k,l] \ge B_{k,l} .$$

$$(4.8)$$

The MSA can be improved according to Lermen and Reinert, 1997, through the \mathcal{A}^* algorithm (see Alg. 4.1). The approximative distance to the goal is given through the bounds.

The MSA algorithm in its original form uses the weighted sum of pairs as score and a linear gap penalty. The weighting is computed as the difference of the pairwise alignment and the score of the projected multiple alignment. Therefore the weight measures the difference between the multiple alignment reduced to the special pair and the pairwise alignment. Larger difference means higher weight. As a consequence, similar sequences pull the multiple alignment towards them and, therefore, are down-weighted. In conclusion, the effect of similar sequences heavily influencing the alignment is reduced. However the weights through the phylogenetic tree are vice versa where weights between distant sequences are removed, i.e. set to zero.

Summing up all the weights results in another value which measures the overall divergence of the sequences.

4.3.2 **Progressive Algorithms**

Progressive methods are the most popular methods for multiple alignment. Especially ClustalW (Thomson,Higgins,Gibson, 1994) and TCoffee (Notredame, Higgins, Heringa, 2000) are most prominent.

ClustalW and TCoffee work as follows:

perform pairwise alignment for each pair

Algorithm 4.1 *A**-algorithm.

Input: graph (the graph), start (start node), goal (goal node), h(s) approximation of the distance of node s to the goal, S (priority queue), N (list of visited nodes) Output: list P of the shortest path

BEGIN FUNCTION

```
insert (start,S)
  while not is Empty(S) do
    current_node = pop(S)
    if current_node in N then {no path from start to goal}
       return "no path"
    end if
    insert (current_node, N)
    if current_node = goal then
       reconstruct_shortest_path(start,goal, graph)
    else {find all nodes accessible from current node}
       successors = expand(current_node, graph)
       save_predecessor_in_graph(current_node, graph)
       for all s in successors do {save node which lead to s}
          predecessor(s) = current_node {compute and store costs}
         cost(s) = cost(current_node) + edge(graph,current_node,s)
         all_cost(s) = cost(s) + h(s)
         insert(s,S) {according to all_cost(s)}
       end for
    end if
  end while
  return "no path found"
END FUNCTION
```

BEGIN SUBFUNCTION

reconstruct_shortest_path (start, node, graph) {shortest path P as list}
if node not= start then
 push(node, P) {get predecessor}
 predecessor = getPredecessor(node, graph)
 reconstruct_shortest_path (start, predecessor, graph)
else
 return P
end if
END SUBFUNCTION

- a weight matrix of pairwise weights is computed; the entry in this weight matrix is one minus the ratio of perfect matches in the pairwise alignment.
- construct a phylogenetic tree from the alignments with evolutionary distances (Neighbor-Joining method (→))
- start with the closest distance and compute alignments between pairs of sequences, pairs of sequence and alignment, and pairs of alignments. In this way alignments are propagated through the tree.

Initial alignments for the phylogenetic tree may be found through local alignment methods. The phylogenetic tree supplies also the weighting factors as in MSA.

The progressive methods are prone to getting stuck in local minima as many optimization method do. For closely related sequences other scoring matrices should be used than for remote related sequences, however the same scoring matrix is used for all sequences. For remote related sequences the gap penalty parameters are an important hyper-parameter which may be sensitive.

4.3.2.1 ClustalW

Above mentioned problems of progressive methods are partly addressed by clustalW:

- gap penalties are adjusted context dependent: for protein sequences gaps in hydrophobic regions (corresponding to the interior of the protein) are more penalized than gaps in hydrophilic regions (loops or random coil); gaps which are close to other gaps (within eight amino acids) but do not match them are more penalized in order to construct gaps at the same position; gaps in regions where earlier gaps are found obtain a lower gap opening penalty; gap penalties are amino acid dependent (e.g. the smallest amino acid G indicates loops where gaps are)
- scoring matrices are adapted: a special scoring matrix from the PAM or the BLOSUM families can be used according to the expected evolutionary distance
- sequences are weighted through a phylogenetic tree: sequences which are similar to one another obtain lower weights; this accounts for unbalanced data sets (e.g. very similar sets of sequences); the initial phylogenetic tree supplies the weights according to the edge length/weightening; the score is for a column is $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} w_i w_j s(i, j)$, where w_i denotes the weight of sequence i;
- adaptive phylogenetic tree: for insufficient scores the tree can be changed

The initial gap penalty parameters are chosen according to the scoring matrix, the similarity of the sequences (% identity), length of the sequences (logarithm of the length of the shorter sequences is added to the base gap opening penalty), difference of the length of the sequences (gap extension penalty is multiplied by $(1 + |\log(n/m)|)$ to avoid gaps in the shorter sequence).

ClustalW comes with an option where the alignment can be computed fast but only approximative.

4.3.2.2 TCoffee

TCoffee (Tree based Consistency Objective Function For alignmEnt Evaluation) leds on average to better alignment than clustalW.

TCoffee work as follows:

- compute libraries of pairwise alignments based on both global (clustalW) and local (FASTA) alignments; to combine local with global alignments gives more reliable relationships between sequences
- library weights are computed according to % identity
- libraries are combined and extended: if a pair of sequences is weighted multiple then the arithmetic mean; the library is extended by aligning two sequences through a third sequence where matching letters (both are aligned to the same letter of the third sequence) of the original pair are up-weighted
- perform progressive alignment with a distance matrix based on the extended library

4.3.3 Other Multiple Alignment Algorithms

4.3.3.1 Center Star Alignment

The *Center Star Alignment* selects first the center sequence i which is the sequences with minimal pairwise costs if all pairwise alignment costs C(i, j) to other sequences are summed up:

$$\bar{i} = \arg\min_{i} \sum_{j} C(i,j) .$$
(4.9)

In the following the center sequence is the sequence 1.

A new sequence is added to the set of already aligned sequences by a pairwise alignment to the first multiple aligned sequence (center sequence). The addition may cause new gaps in the multiple alignment.

Gusfield, 1993, showed that this procedure produces an alignment with a cost which is less then twice as of the optimal cost, if the symmetric alignment cost fulfils

$$C(i,i) = 0$$
 and $C(i,j) \le C(i,k) + C(k,j)$. (4.10)

Problem is to ensure the assumptions on the cost.

Assume we have a scoring matrix s with

$$s(-,-) = 0 (4.11)$$

$$s(-,i) < 0$$
 (4.12)

$$s(k,k) \ge s(i,k) + s(k,j) - s(i,j).$$
 (4.13)

Note that the last inequality holds for gaps instead of i, j, and k. the last inequality is:

AB AB || > || AC CA

With these assumptions

$$C(i,j) = S_{i,i} - 2 S_{i,j} + S_{j,j}$$
(4.14)

is a distance, which fulfills above conditions. The first condition is trivial to show. The second condition equivalent to

$$S_{i,i} - 2 S_{i,j} + S_{j,j} \leq S_{i,i} - 2 S_{i,k} + S_{k,k} + S_{k,k} - 2 S_{k,j} + S_{j,j}$$
(4.15)
$$\Leftrightarrow S_{i,j} \geq S_{i,k} + S_{k,j} - S_{k,k}.$$

To show this inequality we construct an alignment of i and j as follows. First align i to k and then j to k. Now align i,j, and k based on the pairwise alignments, where the alignment obtains a gap if the gap was present in the first or second alignment. If S is the score of the multiple alignment the per construction $S[i, k] = S_{i,k}$ because the projection of the multiple alignment onto (i, k) leads to pairs observed already in the pairwise alignment or to gap-pairs which contribute with zero to the score. Analogously $S[k, j] = S_{k,j}$ and $S[k, k] = S_{k,k}$ can be derived.

Because in the multiple alignment componentwise

$$s(i,j) \ge s(i,k) + s(k,j) - s(k,k)$$
(4.16)

holds, we obtain

$$S[i,j] \ge S[i,k] + S[k,j] - S[k,k] \text{ and}$$

$$S[i,j] \ge S_{i,k} + S_{k,j} - S_{k,k}.$$
(4.17)

The inequality to show follows from

$$S_{i,j} \ge S[i,j] \,. \tag{4.18}$$

Now we want to show the idea of the proof of Gusfield that the center star alignment has only twice of the cost of the optimal alignment cost.

The center sequence alignment has $\cot C$ with

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} C(i, j) \leq$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} C(i, 1) + C(1, j) =$$

$$2 (N-1) \sum_{i=2}^{N} C(i, 1) .$$
(4.19)



Figure 4.6: The figure depicts how motifs can be aligned in order to obtain landmarks for multiple alignments.

For the optimal cost C^* we have

$$C^* = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N} C(i, j) \ge$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} C(i, 1) = N \sum_{i=2}^{N} C(i, 1) .$$
(4.20)

Therefore

$$\frac{C}{C^*} \le \frac{2(N-1)}{N} \le 2.$$
(4.21)

4.3.3.2 Motif- and Profile-based Methods

If motifs, i.e. pattern, are found then they can be superimposed onto each other and landmarks for the alignment are generated. Fig. 4.6 shows how motifs/pattern can be aligned in order to get landmarks for multiple alignments.

Profiles and blocks can be derived from multiple alignments of protein families. These can be used to find pattern in new sequences.

4.3.3.3 Probabilistic and Model-based Methods

SAGA (Sequence Alignment by Genetic Algorithm) uses a genetic algorithm and MSASA (Multiple Sequence Alignment by Simulated Annealing) simulated annealing for finding good alignments. Gibbs sampling is another method to find solutions of discrete problems (it is very similar to genetic algorithms).

HMMs (hidden Markov models) can be used to find motifs but they almost always get stuck in local minima without initializing them with conservative regions.

4.3.3.4 Divide-and-conquer Algorithms

All N sequences are broken up into two subsequences and the two groups of subsequences are multiple aligned (cf. Fig. 4.7). These idea suffers from finding the optimal cut positions. If an alignment is divided then the global alignment must go through the cut (cf. Fig. 4.8). Stoye introduces additional cost matrices for each sequences pair which evaluate each cut position by assuming that the alignment must go through this position.



Figure 4.7: The idea of the divide and conquer algorithm is depicted. The sequences are cut and the cuts separately aligned whereafter the alignments are concatenated.



Figure 4.8: A cut position i, j is depicted. The global alignment must go through the cut position.

4.4 Profiles and Position Specific Scoring Matrices

As in section 3.4.1 for pairwise alignments Karlin and Altschul, 1990, showed similar results for single sequences. For gapped alignment see Altschul et al., 1997, "Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs", Nucleic Acids Res. 25:3389-3402.

Following assumptions are given:

- the sequence x is i.i.d. in its elements according to the letter probabilities p_x
- n the length of x is large
- the expected letter score for random sequences $\sum_i p_x(i) s(i)$ is negative
- there exist *i* for which s(i) > 0 (existance of a positive score)

The following is analog to section 3.4.1. The score for a sequence of length n is

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n s(i)$$
 (4.22)

the centered value $\tilde{S}_n = S_n - \frac{\ln n}{\lambda}$, is distributed according to a extreme value distribution:

$$P\left(\tilde{S}_n > S\right) \approx 1 - \exp\left(-K e^{-\lambda S}\right) \approx K e^{-\lambda S},$$
(4.23)

where λ is the solution of

$$\sum_{i} p_x(i) \, \exp(\lambda \, s(i)) \, = \, 1 \, . \tag{4.24}$$

Let be q_i the frequency of a letter a_i in a column of a multiple alignment. Karlin and Altschul, 1990, showed that for sufficient high scoring segments

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} q_i = p_x(i) \exp(\lambda \, s(i)) \,. \tag{4.25}$$

Therefore

$$s(i) = \ln\left(\frac{q_i}{p_x(i)}\right)/\lambda \tag{4.26}$$

is the score for the letter *i* at this position. Typical values for λ and *K* are $\lambda = 0.254$ and K = 0.040.

These scores per position are called "Position Specific Scoring Matrices" (PSSMs) or profiles. They serve to evaluate a new sequence where high scores mean that the sequence is similar to the sequences from which the multiple alignment was constructed. To estimate the probability $p_e(i \ midc)$ of amino acid *i* to be in column *c* PSI-BLAST uses pseudo-counts g_i :

$$g_i = \sum_j \frac{q_j}{p_x(i)} q_{ij} , \qquad (4.27)$$

where q_{ij} are the target frequencies given by the entries of the substitution matrix.

The pseudo-count results from summing out the hidden variables:

$$q_{ij} = p(i,j) \tag{4.28}$$

$$p_x(i) = p(i) \tag{4.29}$$

$$\frac{p(i,j)}{p(i)} = p(i \mid j) = p(i \mid j, c)$$
(4.30)

$$q_j = p(j \mid c)$$
 (4.31)

$$p_p(i \mid c) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p(i \mid j, c) p(j \mid c) , \qquad (4.32)$$

where in the last equation the hidden variable j is integrated (summed) out.

$$p_e(i \ midc) = \frac{\alpha p(i \mid c) + \beta g_i}{\alpha + \beta} , \qquad (4.33)$$

using N_c the number of different residues in column c, the default values are

$$\alpha = N_c - 1 \tag{4.34}$$

$$\beta = 10. \tag{4.35}$$

 N_c is a measurement of independence of the sequences. For example if we have 10 identical sequences then we would overestimate the according amino acids.

The independence of sequences can be counted in different ways. Sunyaev et al. (1999) count in the PSIC-approach the number of identical positions to estimate the independence. The PSIC-method was extended in Mittelman et al. (2003) by grouping together the sequences with the same amino acid in a certain column and then computing the effective frequencies by the PSIC-method.

Chapter 5

Phylogenetics

5.1 Motivation

5.1.1 Tree of Life

One central field in biology is to infer the relation between species. Do they possess a common ancestor? When did they separate from each other? The relation is presented in form of a tree with an unique root. Leaves of the tree are currently observable species and are called taxa. The branches represent the relationship ("is ancestor of") between nodes. The root is the start of life on earth. From the first living organism all other life forms are derived. An edge in the tree of life means that a species is the ancestor of another one. A node means a split of a species into two.

The construction of the tree of life is called phylogeny (phylo = tribe and genesis). Classical biological phylogeny is divided into the cladistic and the phenetic approach. Cladistic trees are based on conserved characters and phenetic trees on the measure of distance between the leaves of the tree (the phenetic approach considers the distance as a whole and not based on single features). Problems of the phenetic approach are simultaneous development of features and different evolution rates. There may be convergent evolution e.g. finding the best form in water.

For example the phylogenetic relation between some well known animals is depicted in Fig. 5.1. The phylogenetic relation between humans and apes is shown in Fig. 5.2. Interesting questions appear at the root of the tree of life. How did life start? Fig. 5.3 shows the root of the tree of life as it divides into the three kingdoms Bacteria, Archaea, and Eukarya.



Figure 5.1: Tree of life for some animals. Birds are separated.



Figure 5.2: Tree of life focused on the relation between human and apes.



Figure 5.3: The root of the tree of life.



Figure 5.4: The gene tree for the gene α -hemoglobin compared to the species tree. Both match because the gene evolved from common ancestors.



Figure 5.5: The gene tree for the gene Glycosyl Hydrolase compared to the species tree. The trees do not match because of the horizontal gene transfer (HGT).

5.1.2 Molecular Phylogenies

We are focusing on molecular phylogenies in contrast to phylogenies based on characteristics like wings, feathers, etc, i.e. morphological characters. With molecular phylogenetics, the differences between organisms are measured on the proteins and RNA coded in the DNA, i.e. on amino acid and nucleotide sequences. In Fig. 5.4 the species tree and the gene tree for α -hemoglobin are depicted – they match. Molecular phylogenetics is more precise than its counterpart based on external features and behavior and can also distinguish small organism like bacteria or even viruses. Further advantages of molecular phylogenetics are the DNA must be inherited and connects all species, the molecular phylogenetics can be based on mathematical and statistical methods and is even model-based as mutations can be modeled, remote homologies can be detected, the distance is not only based on one features but on many genes.

There are difficulties in constructing a phylogenetic tree. First, different regions in the DNA mutate at different rates. Which means that the distances may look differently. Secondly, horizontal transfer of genetic material (Horizontal Gene Transfer, HGT) between species is possible, e.g. through a virus, DNA transformation, symbiosis, or other mechanism. For example Glycosyl Hydrolase was transferred from E.coli to B.subtilis (see Fig. 5.5).

The branches of the tree represent time measured in number of mutations. In the molecular clock hypothesis it is assumed that different branches have the same evolution / mutation rate. The number of substitution is assumed to follow a Poisson distribution. For sequences the number the mutation rate is assumed to be equally distributed over the sequence.

However phylogenetics can be used to infer gene functions, find regions with high or low mutation rate and, therefore, conservative regions,

5.1.3 Methods

The first step in doing phylogenetics is to choose the sequences from which the tree should be constructed. Very popular sequences to construct phylogenetic trees are the sequences of rRNA (the RNA the ribosome is build of) and mitochondrial genes. These genetic material is present in almost all organism and they have enough mutations to reliable construct a tree.

The second step is to construct pairwise and multiple sequence alignments from these sequences.

The third step is to choose a method for constructing a phylogenetic tree. There exist 3 categories: distance-based, maximum parsimony, and maximum likelihood.

Maximum parsimony should be chosen for strong sequence similarities because too much variation results in many possible trees. For the same reason only few sequences (less than 15) should be used. Distance based methods (e.g. clustalW) require less similarity among the sequences than maximum parsimony methods but sequence similarities should be present. Some sequences should be similar to one another and others are less similar. Distance based methods can be applied to a set of many sequences. Maximum likelihood methods may be used for very variable sequences but the computational costs increase with the number of sequences as every possible tree must be considered.

Software for phylogenetic analysis:

NameAuthorURLPHYLIPFelsenstein 1989,1996http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.htmlPAUPSinauer Associateshttp://www.lms.si.edu/PAUP/

5.2 Maximum Parsimony Methods

The number of mutations should be minimized. Mutations are represented as branches in the tree which explains the evolution of the sequences. If surviving mutations are rare, i.e. occur with small probability, then the tree with minimal mutations is the most likely explanation of the evolution of the observed sequences.

PHYLIP programs DNAPARS, DNAPENNY, DNACOMP, DNAMOVE, and PROTPARS are based on maximum parsimony methods.

5.2.1 Tree Length

The *maximum parsimony tree* is the tree with smallest tree length. *Tree length* is the number of substitutions represented by the tree, where sequence symbols are assigned to the internal nodes.

For example an aligned subsequence of the protein triosephosphate isomerase for the taxa "Human", "Pig", "Rye", "Rice", and "Chicken" is:

taxa	position					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Human	Ι	S	Ρ	G	М	Ι
Pig	Ι	G	Ρ	G	М	Ι
Rye	Ι	S	А	Е	Q	L
Rice	V	S	А	Е	М	L
Chicken	Ι	S	Ρ	А	М	Ι

If we focus on column 4:

taxa	amino acid
Human	G
Pig	G
Rye	Е
Rice	Е
Chicken	А

Fitch, 1971, proposed an algorithm for computing the tree length for a tree topology with taxa assigned to the leaves. Note, that here the amino acids at a certain position of certain protein sequences represent the taxa. See Fig. 5.6 for an example of a tree topology.

- 1. Root node is added to an arbitrary branch and the taxa are replaced by the according symbol. See the tree resulting from adding a root to the example in Fig. 5.7.
- 2. A bottom-up pass generates sets of symbols (amino acids) which are possible at a hypothetical sequence at this node. The hypothetical sequences are chosen to minimize the number mutations by finding the maximal agreement of the subtrees, i.e. by choosing the maximal set which is subset of both subtree roots. This set allows to avoid a mutation at the actual node. The bottom up pass starts at the leaves.

$$m_{12} = \begin{cases} \{\text{"leave symbol"}\} & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = \emptyset \\ m_1 \cup m_2 & \text{if } m_1 \cap m_2 = \emptyset \\ m_1 \cap m_2 & \text{if } m_1 \cap m_2 \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$
(5.1)

In the first case m_{12} is leave, the second case enforces a mutation, and the third case avoids a mutation. Fig. 5.8 depicts the relation between the sets m_{12} , m_1 , and m_2 . Fig. 5.9 shows the result after the bottom-up pass for the example.

3. A top town pass generates special hypothetical sequences at the interior nodes of the tree and counts the number of mutations. The top town pass starts at the root.

$$m_{1/2} = \begin{cases} x \in m_{1/2} \cap m_{12} & \text{if } m_{1/2} \cap m_{12} \neq \emptyset \\ x \in m_{1/2} & \text{if } m_{1/2} \cap m_{12} = \emptyset \end{cases}$$
(5.2)

 $m_{1/2}$ means that the formula hold both for m_1 and for m_2 . Any x can be chosen which fulfils the conditions. Fig. 5.10 shows one result after the top-down pass for the example.



Figure 5.6: A tree topology to which a root node is added.



Figure 5.7: The tree after the root node is added.



Figure 5.8: Root set m_{12} is constructed from left set m_1 and right set m_2 .



Figure 5.9: The tree after the bottom up pass. Each node has its set of symbols constructed from the subtrees of the node.



Figure 5.10: The tree after the top down pass. Mutations are now marked by a crossed branch. This tree contains three mutations at the column under consideration. Branches which are not marked have mutations at other columns.

The number of mutation can be either counted during the bottom-up (case 2) or top-down pass (case 2).

Note that columns with maximal or minimal entropy, i.e. with all symbols occur only once in the column or with only one symbol in the column, are not used. Only one symbol in the column means no mutation and symbol which occur only once lead to the same number of mutation in all topologies. Also if one symbol occur multiple an others only single then the number of mutation is the same for each reasonable tree topology because each single symbol is obtained by one mutation from another symbol.

Next we give some evaluation criteria for the different trees. The *consistency index* c_i is the minimal number of substitutions m_i for a column divided by the number of substitutions s_i for the topology at hand:

$$c_i = \frac{m_i}{s_i} \,. \tag{5.3}$$

High values of c_i support the according tree as being plausible. Using g_i , the number of maximal substitutions at a column for a star tree topology with the most frequent symbol in the center, the *retention index* r_i (a measurement how informative the column is) and the *re-scaled consistency index* rc_i are

$$r_i = \frac{g_i - s_i}{q_i - m_i} \text{ and}$$
(5.4)

$$rc_i = r_i c_i . ag{5.5}$$

5.2.2 Tree Search

For a small number of sequences all trees can be constructed and their tree length computed, i.e. the trees are found by exhaustive search. However for a larger number of sequences not all trees can be considered. Therefore heuristics will find the most plausible trees.

5.2.2.1 Branch and Bound

The "branch and bound" algorithm has been introduced by Hendy and Penny, 1982. 20 and more taxa can be processed.

The algorithm works as follows:

- This step determines the addition order for the taxa used in later steps. The algorithm starts
 with a core tree of three taxa which has the maximal length of all three taxa trees. Next
 taxa are added to each of the three branches. The taxa is chosen next and inserted into the
 queue which leads to a tree with maximal tree length. For the tree with four branches we
 determine the next taxa which can be added and leads to the maximal tree length. At the
 end we have a sorted taxa list.
- 2. This step determines an upper bound for the tree length. The upper bound can be computed either through distance based methods like neighbor joining (see next section) or a heuristic search like the stepwise addition algorithm (see below).



Figure 5.11: Example for constructing trees with the brunch-and-bound method. Trees with STOP mark do not possess successors because their tree length exceeds the upper bound.

- 3. The algorithm starts with the core tree of three taxa.
- 4. Construct new tree topologies. The topologies are constructed by stepwise adding new taxa to the trees which do not possess a STOP mark. The next taxa is chosen according to the list in step 1. The next taxa is added to each tree without a STOP mark at all of its branches. All tree length' are computed.
- 5. Assign STOP marks. If a tree length reaches or exceeds the upper bound then this tree will not produce successors and will receive a STOP mark. If all trees possess a STOP mark then terminate else go to step 4.

See Fig. 5.11 for an example for the Branch and Bound algorithm.

5.2.2.2 Heuristics for Tree Search

5.2.2.2.1 Stepwise Addition Algorithm At each step only the tree with shortest length does not obtain a STOP mark and is extended. If all taxa are inserted then the tree is optimized by branch swapping (see below). Instead of adding only one taxa also small sets of taxa e.g. all triplets can be considered.

5.2.2.2 Branch Swapping Either nearest (1) neighbor interchange, (2) subtree pruning and re-grafting, or (3) bisection-reconnection can be applied. The first algorithm exchanges in each optimization step two taxa connected to the same interior branch. The second algorithm cuts the tree into two parts: the pruned subtree and the residual tree (the part taken away from the original tree giving the pruned subtree). The residual tree is now attached with its root to each branch of the pruned tree. The third algorithm extends the second algorithms. Here the original tree is cut into two subtrees by removing a branch. Then two branches of the subtrees (one of each) are joint by inserting a new branch to an new topology. This extends the second algorithm because not only the root is attached but all branches.

5.2.2.3 Branch and Bound Like Instead of selecting the maximal tree length in step 1. of the branch-and-bound algorithm the minimal tree is selected. The upper bound on is updated during constructing new trees. Towards this end upper bounds U_n are constructed for n taxa added. In this way the branch-and-bound algorithm is modified to local bounds.

5.2.3 Weighted Parsimony and Bootstrapping

The type of substation is weighted according to a substitution matrix. We already encountered PAM and BLOSUM matrices. This gives a more precise value of tree length because the survival of substitution are taken into account, e.g. the chemical similarity of amino acids.

Bootstrapping is used to access the variability of the tree with respect to the data ("variance" in statistics) and to identify structures which do not vary with the data. Thus a value for uncertainty is obtained. The data for parsimony trees are the columns of the alignment. The temporal order of these columns does not matter. Therefore columns can be chosen randomly to generate a new data set from which another tree can be constructed. Bootstrap cannot access the quality of a method ("bias" in statistics) but only the robustness with respect to the data.

5.2.4 Inconsistency of Maximum Parsimony

In Fig. 5.12 an example is shown, where a and b are similar to each other. Sequences c and d are not similar to any other sequence. Assume a and b match to 99%. c or d match by chance to other sequences in 5% (1 out of 20) of the cases. Informative columns are those which contain only two symbols and each symbol appears twice. We obtain for the probabilities of informative columns and their rate (number of informative columns of this kind divided through all informative columns):

$$a_i = b_i, \ c_i = d_i: \text{ prob: } 0.0495(0.99 \cdot 0.05) \text{ rate: } 0.908$$
 (5.6)

$$a_i = c_i, \ b_i = d_i$$
: prob: $0.0025(0.05 \cdot 0.05)$ rate: 0.046 (5.7)

$$a_i = d_i, \ b_i = c_i$$
: prob: 0.0025(0.05 \cdot 0.05) rate: 0.046 (5.8)

In more than 90% of the cases of informative columns we observe $c_i = d_i$. Maximum parsimony will judge c and d as being similar to one another as a and b and will construct a wrong tree.



Figure 5.12: An example where maximum parsimony is inconsistent. Left: the true tree. Right: tree from maximum parsimony. Sequence a and b are similar to each other. Sequences c and d are not similar to any other sequence. For long sequences maximum parsimony may be inconsistent.



Figure 5.13: Three sequences where the triangle inequality does not hold for the *e*-value $(d(1,3) \le d(1,2) + d(2,3))$. Sequence 1 and 2 as well as sequence 2 and 3 have matching regions but sequence 1 and 3 do not have matching regions.

5.3 Distance-based Methods

We assume that we are given the matrix of pairwise distances between the sequences. A distance D is produced by a metric d on objects x indexed by i, j, k. The distance D_{ij} between object x_i and object x_j is

$$D_{ij} = d(x_i, x_j),$$
 (5.9)

where the metric d must fulfill

 $d(x_i, x_j) \ge 0,$ (5.10) $d(x_i, x_j) = 0 \text{ for } i = i$ (5.11)

$$d(x_i, x_j) = 0 \text{ for } i = j ,$$
(5.11)
$$d(x_i, x_j) = d(x_i, x_j)$$
(5.12)

$$a(x_i, x_j) = a(x_j, x_i) , (5.12)$$

$$d(x_i, x_j) \leq d(x_i, x_k) + d(x_k, x_j).$$
(5.13)

The last condition is the triangle inequality.

How to compute distances is considered later. Note, that not all scoring schemes are a metric. For example the *e*-value from the BLAST search. Small *e*-value means related sequences, i.e. small distance. But as depicted in Fig. 5.13 the triangle inequality may be violated. The *e*-value between sequence 1 and 3 is larger than the sum of the *e*-values between sequences 1 and 2 and sequences 2 and 3.

5.3.1 UPGMA

One of the classical tree construction algorithms is the Unweighted Pair Group Method using arithmetic Averages (UPGMA). UPGMA is actually a constructive clustering method based on joining pairs of clusters.

It works as follows:

- 1. Initially, each sequence i is a cluster c_i with one element $n_i = 1$. The height l_i of each cluster is zero. Put all i into a list.
- 2. Select cluster pair (i, j) from the list with minimal distance D_{ij} and create a new cluster c_k by joining c_i and c_j . Assign the height $l_k = D_{ij}/2$ and the number of elements $n_k = n_i + n_j$.
- 3. Compute the distances for the new cluster c_k to other clusters c_m :

$$D_{km} = \frac{n_i D_{mi} + n_j D_{mj}}{n_i + n_j} .$$
(5.14)

The formula ensures that D_{km} is the average distance of all elements in c_k and c_m .

4. Remove *i* and *j* from the list and add *k* to the list. If the list contains only one element then terminate else go to step 2.

The assumption of constant rate of evolution in the different lineages must hold to ensure that the distance measure really measures evolutionary distances.

Again bootstrap can evaluate the reliability of the result to data variation. Whether all interior branches are positive can be tested to evaluate the quality of the tree.

5.3.2 Least Squares

The idea of the least squares method is to minimize the differences between the observed distance D_{ij} and the distances E_{ij} in the tree. E_{ij} is the sum of distances (assigned to branches) in the tree on the path from taxa *i* to taxa *j* (the path metric). Therefore, E_{ij} is an estimate how far taxa *i* evolved apart from the common ancestor of taxa *i* and *j* plus how far taxa *j* evolved apart from the common ancestor.

The objective is

$$\sum_{i < j} (D_{ij} - E_{ij})^2 . (5.15)$$

Fitch and Margoliash, 1967, extended this approach to the weighted least squares method by using as objective

$$\sum_{i < j} \left(D_{ij} - E_{ij} \right)^2 / D_{ij}^2 \,. \tag{5.16}$$

The objective is optimized under the constraint of nonnegative branch length.

If matrix A is the binary topology matrix with N(N-1)/2 rows – one for each D_{ij} – and v columns for the v branches of the topology. In each row (i, j) all branches contained in the path from i to j are marked by 1 and all other branches are 0.

l is the v-dimensional vector of branch weights. We obtain

$$\boldsymbol{E} = \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{l} \tag{5.17}$$

The least squares assumption is that D_{ij} deviates from E_{ij} according to a Gaussian distribution ϵ_{ij} with mean 0 and variance D_{ij}^2 :

$$D = E + \epsilon = A l + \epsilon . \tag{5.18}$$

The maximum likelihood estimator (least squares) is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{l}} = \left(\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A}\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{D} \,. \tag{5.19}$$

The Gaussianity assumption is justified by sufficient large sequences because in such cases the l_i are Gaussian and, therefore, also D_{ij} .

5.3.3 Minimum Evolution

The objective is the sum of branch length' l

$$L = \sum_{ij} \hat{l}_{ij} . ag{5.20}$$

Given an unbiased branch length estimator \hat{l} , the expected value of L is smallest for the true topology independent of the number of sequences (Rzhetsky and Nei, 1993).

Minimum evolution is computational expensive.

5.3.4 Neighbor Joining

The neighbor joining method was introduced by Saitou and Nei, 1987, and and simplifies the minimum evolution method (for fewer than six taxa both methods give the same result).

The taxa are grouped together like in the UPGMA method.

Neighbors are taxa that are connected by a single node.

For an *additive metric* d any four elements can be indexed by i, j, k and m in order to fulfill

$$d(i,j) + d(k,m) \le d(i,k) + d(j,m) = d(i,m) + d(j,k).$$
(5.21)

The path metric (counting the branch/edge weights of the path) is an additive metric. An additive metric can be represented by an unique additive tree.



Figure 5.14: Construction of an additive tree from an additive metric. Node v is inserted.

In Fig. 5.14 the construction of an additive tree is depicted. A node v is inserted with distances:

$$D_{vk} = \frac{1}{2} \left(D_{ik} + D_{jk} - D_{ij} \right)$$
(5.22)

$$D_{iv} = \frac{1}{2} \left(D_{ij} + D_{ik} - D_{jk} \right)$$
(5.23)

$$D_{jv} = \frac{1}{2} (D_{ij} + D_{jk} - D_{ik}) .$$
(5.24)

The additive tree conditions fulfill above constraints, and vice versa.

$$D_{ij} = D_{iv} + D_{vj} (5.25)$$

$$D_{ik} = D_{iv} + D_{vk} \tag{5.26}$$

$$D_{jk} = D_{jv} + D_{vk} \,. \tag{5.27}$$

The objective of the neighbor joining algorithm is S the sum of all branch length' l_{ij} (the branch between taxa or node i and taxa or node j). The algorithm starts with a star tree.

In subfigure a) of Fig. 5.15 the initial star tree is depicted with internal node X.

We assume N taxa. The initial (star tree) objective S_0 is

$$S_0 = \sum_{i=1}^N l_{iX} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i,j;i< j} D_{ij}, \qquad (5.28)$$

where the $\frac{1}{N-1}$ comes from the fact that $D_{ij} = l_{iX} + l_{Xj}$, therefore l_{iX} is part of N-1 distances D_{ij} .

In the next step taxa 1 and 2 are joined and a new internal node Y is introduced as depicted in subfigure b) of Fig. 5.15.

Because we assume an additive tree the branch length l_{XY} can be computed:

$$l_{XY} = \frac{1}{2(N-2)}$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=3}^{N} (D_{1i} + D_{2i}) - (N-2) (l_{1Y} + l_{2Y}) - 2\sum_{i=3}^{N} l_{Xi}\right).$$
(5.29)

This equation is obtained from setting all paths from i to j containing l_{XY} equal to $D_i j$ and solving for l_{XY} . These are all path' from node 1 to nodes $i \ge 3$ and all path' from node 2 to nodes $i \ge 3$. Therefore (N-2) paths start from node 1 and (N-2) paths start from node 2 giving 2(N-2)paths. l_{1Y} is contained in all paths starting from node 1 (similar for l_{2Y}). The tail l_{iX} is contained in one path starting from 1 and one path starting from 2. We have 2(N-2) solutions for l_{XY} . A least square fit just averages over these solutions and we obtain above equation.

Similar as for the initial star tree we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} l_{Xi} = \frac{1}{N-3} \sum_{i,j;3 \le i < j} D_{ij} , \qquad (5.30)$$

which can be inserted into the last equation.

For the new objective S_{12} after joining taxa 1 and 2 we obtain

$$S_{12} = l_{1Y} + l_{2Y} + l_{XY} + \sum_{i=3}^{N} l_{Xi} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2(N-2)} \sum_{i=3}^{N} (D_{1i} + D_{2i}) + \frac{1}{2} D_{12} + \frac{1}{N-2} \sum_{i,j;3 \le i < j} D_{ij} .$$
(5.31)

The last equation can be generalized from joining 1 and 2 to joining k and l. We introduce variables r_k called *net divergences*, which give the accumulated distances of k to all other taxa:

$$r_k = \sum_{i=1}^{N} D_{ki} . (5.32)$$

Now the objective of joining k and l can be expressed as

$$S_{kl} = \frac{2\sum_{i,j;i < j} D_{ij} - r_k - r_l}{2(N-2)} + \frac{D_{kl}}{2}.$$
(5.33)

Because

$$\frac{2\sum_{i,j;i< j} D_{ij}}{2(N-2)}$$
(5.34)

is constant for all objectives S_{kl} an equivalent objective after an linear transformation (adding a constant and re-scaling) is

$$Q_{kl} = (N-2) D_{kl} - r_k - r_l . (5.35)$$

If k and l are evolutionary neighbors but D_{kl} is large due to fast evolution of k and/or l, then this will result in large r_k and/or r_l . Therefore, Q_{kl} will be small.

The algorithm works as follows:



Figure 5.15: a) An initial star tree; b) the tree resulting from the star tree if neighbors A and B are joined; c) the tree resulting from the tree in b) by joining AB and D.



Figure 5.16: An initial star tree with center X and the tree resulting from the star tree if neighbors 1 and 2 are joined and hidden node Y is introduced.

- 1. Given D_{ij} start with a star tree (the taxa are the leaves). Put all taxa in a set of objects
- 2. For each leave i compute

$$r_i = \sum_{k=1}^{N} D_{ik} \,. \tag{5.36}$$

3. For each pairs of leaves (i, j) compute

$$Q_{ij} = (N-2) D_{ij} - r_i - r_j.$$
(5.37)

4. Determine the minimal Q_{ij} . Join the according leaves i and j to a new leave u. Compute branch length

$$l_{iu} = \frac{D_{ij}}{2} + \frac{r_i - r_j}{2(N-2)}$$

$$l_{ju} = D_{ij} - l_{iu}.$$
(5.38)

Compute new distances of *u*:

$$D_{ku} = \frac{D_{ik} + D_{jk} - D_{ij}}{2} \,. \tag{5.39}$$



Figure 5.17: Leaves i and j are joined to new leave u.

Delete i and j from the set of objects. Add u to the set of objects. Stop if the set of objects contains only one object otherwise go to Step 1.

Neighbor joining is an $O(N^3)$ algorithm and is useful for larger data sets. The formula for Q_{ij} accounts for differences in evolution rates. The objective S (sum of all branch length) is not minimized directly.

CLUSTALW uses neighbor-joining as guide for multiple alignments.

5.3.5 Distance Measures

Here we focus on distances between nucleotides.

The basis for deriving distances is an assumption on the substitution rates between nucleotides.

5.3.5.1 Jukes Cantor

The probability of a mutation is

$$r = 3\alpha . (5.40)$$

Given two sequences x and y, the probability that an identical position remains identical in the next step is the product that the position does not mutate in both sequences:

$$(1-r)^2 \approx 1-2r$$
. (5.41)

The probability that a position with different nucleotides will have the same in the next step is

$$\frac{2r}{3}.$$
(5.42)

This value is obtained because either the nucleotide in x or the nucleotide in y may change and the other remains constant: $\alpha (1 - r) = \frac{r}{3}(1 - r)$. Two of these events exist, therefore we obtain: $\frac{2r}{3}(1 - r) \approx \frac{2r}{3}$. We now can formulate a difference equation:

$$q_{t+t} = (1 - 2r) q_t + \frac{2r}{3} (1 - q_t)$$
(5.43)

for the proportion of identical nucleotides q_t at time step t. This is

$$q_{t+t} - q_t = \frac{2r}{3} - \frac{8r}{3}q_t$$
(5.44)

and leads in a continuous model to

$$\dot{q} = \frac{2r}{3} - \frac{8r}{3}q \tag{5.45}$$

with the solution (q(0) = 1)

$$q(t) = 1 - \frac{3}{4} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{8 r t}{3}\right) \right).$$
(5.46)

The substitution per position, denoted by d, for two sequences is 2rt (2r is the approximated probability of a change in one time step) leading to

$$d = -\frac{3}{4}\ln\left(1 - \frac{3}{4}p\right), \ p = 1 - q.$$
(5.47)

	A	Т	С	G			
Jukes Cantor							
А		α	α	α			
Т	α		α	α			
С	α	α		α			
G	α	α	α				
	Kimura						
А		β	β	α			
Т	β		α	β			
С	β	α		β			
G	α	β	β				
	Felsenstein / Tajima-Nei						
А		αg_T	αg_C	αg_G			
Т	αg_A		αg_C	αg_G			
С	αg_A	αg_T		αg_G			
G	αg_A	αg_T	αg_C				
Tamura							
А		$\beta (g_A + g_T)$	$\beta (g_G + g_C)$	$\alpha (g_G + g_C)$			
Т	$\beta (g_A + g_T)$		$\alpha \ (g_G \ + \ g_C)$	$\beta (g_G + g_C)$			
С	$\beta (g_A + g_T)$	$\alpha (g_A + g_T)$		$\beta (g_G + g_C)$			
G	$\alpha (g_A + g_T)$	$\beta (g_A + g_T)$	$\beta (g_G + g_C)$				
		Hase	egawa				
А		$eta \ g_T$	$eta \ g_C$	αg_G			
Т	$eta \ g_A$		αg_C	$eta \; g_G$			
С	$eta \ g_A$	αg_T		$eta \; g_G$			
G	αg_A	$eta \ g_T$	$eta \ g_C$				
		Tamu	ra-Nei				
А		$eta \ g_T$	$eta \ g_C$	$\alpha_{AG} g_G$			
Т	$eta \ g_A$		$\alpha_{TC} g_C$	$eta \; g_G$			
С	$eta \ g_A$	$\alpha_{TC} g_T$		$eta \; g_G$			
G	$\alpha_{AG} g_A$	$eta \ g_T$	$eta \ g_C$				
		Reve	rsible				
А		$\alpha_{AT} g_T$	$\alpha_{AC} g_C$	$\alpha_{AG} g_G$			
Т	$\alpha_{AT} g_A$		$\alpha_{TC} g_C$	$\alpha_{TG} g_G$			
С	$\alpha_{AC} g_A$	$\alpha_{TC} g_T$		$\alpha_{CG} g_G$			
G	$\alpha_{AG} g_A$	$\alpha_{TG} g_T$	$\alpha_{CG} g_C$				
General							
А		a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{14}			
Т	a_{21}		a_{23}	a_{24}			
С	a_{31}	a_{32}		a_{34}			
G	a_{41}	a_{42}	a_{43}				

Table 5.1: Different models of nucleotide substitution. A matrix entry a_{ij} is the substitution rate from the nucleotide in the *i*-th row to the nucleotide in the *j*-th column. g_A , g_T , g_C , and g_G are the nucleotide frequencies.

Estimating q by \hat{q} (the observed identical nucleotides) and inserting the estimated value in above equation leads to the estimate \hat{d} of d.

The variance of \hat{d} is

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{d}) = \frac{9p \left(1 - p\right)}{(3 - 4p)^2 n} \,. \tag{5.48}$$

5.3.5.2 Kimura

In contrast to previous model, r must be changed to

$$r = \alpha + 2\beta \,. \tag{5.49}$$

Let us group nucleotide pairs of x and y as follows:

$$P = \{AG, GA, TC, CT\}$$

$$Q = \{AT, TA, AC, CATG, GT, CG, GC\}$$
(5.50)

We obtain

$$P = \frac{1}{4} (1 - 2 \exp(-4 (\alpha + \beta) t) + \exp(-8 \beta t))$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \exp(-8 \beta t))$$
(5.51)

leading to

$$d = 2rt = 2\alpha t + 4\beta t =$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\ln(1 - 2P - Q) - \frac{1}{2}\ln(1 - 2Q) .$$
(5.52)

The variance is given by

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{d}) = \frac{1}{n} \left(c_1^2 P + c_2^2 Q - (c_1 P + c_2 Q)^2 \right)$$

$$c_1 = (1 - 2 P - Q)^{-1}$$

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left((1 - 2 P - Q)^{-1} + (1 - 2 Q)^{-1} \right).$$
(5.53)

Advantage of this model is that transitional $(2 \alpha t)$ and transversional $(4 \beta t)$ nucleotide substitutions can be estimated.

The equilibrium frequency of each nucleotide is 0.25, which is not in agreement with the observations. For example the occurrence of GC (θ) differs from 0.5 as in *Drosophila* mitochondrial DNA with 0.1.

5.3.5.3 Felsenstein / Tajima-Nei

Using x_{ij} for the relative frequency of nucleotide pair (i, j) we define

$$b = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{4} g_i^2 + \frac{p^2}{c} \right)$$

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=i+1}^{4} \frac{x_{ij}^2}{2 g_i g_j}.$$
(5.54)

The number of nucleotide substitution and its variance is given by

$$d = -b \ln\left(1 - \frac{p}{b}\right)$$

$$V_{\rm ex}(\hat{x}) = \frac{b^2 p (1 - p)}{b^2 (1 - p)}$$
(5.55)

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{d}) = \frac{b^2 p \left(1 - p\right)}{(b - b)^2 n} \,. \tag{5.56}$$

5.3.5.4 Tamura

This model extends Kimura's model for GC content θ different from 0.5.

The number of nucleotide substitutions is

$$d = -h \ln\left(1 - \frac{P}{h} - Q\right) - \frac{1}{2} (1 - h) \ln(1 - 2Q)$$

$$h = 2\theta (1 - \theta).$$
(5.57)

5.3.5.5 Hasegawa (HKY)

Hasegawa's model (HKY) is a hybrid of Kimuras's model and the model of Felsenstein / Tajima-Nei. It accounts for GC content and for transition / transversion.

5.3.5.6 Tamura-Nei

This model includes Hasegawa's model.

The expression for d is more complicated than in previous models.

First we define

 $g_R = g_A + g_G \tag{5.58}$

$$g_Y = g_T + g_C \tag{5.59}$$

$$c_1 = \frac{2 g_A g_G}{g_B}$$
(5.60)

$$c_2 = \frac{2 g_T g_C}{g_T g_C} \tag{5.61}$$

$$\frac{g_Y}{g_Y}$$
(5.01)
and obtain

$$d = -c_1 \ln \left(1 - c_1^{-1} P_1 - (2 g_R)^{-1} Q \right) -$$

$$c_2 \ln \left(1 - c_2^{-1} P_2 - (2 g_Y)^{-1} Q \right) -$$

$$(2 g_R g_Y - c_1 g_Y - c_2 g_R) \ln \left(1 - (2 g_R g_Y)^{-1} Q \right) .$$
(5.62)

 P_1 is the proportion of transitional differences between A and G. P_2 is the proportion of transitional differences between T and C. Q is the proportion of transversional differences.

5.4 Maximum Likelihood Methods

The probability of the tree is the product of the mutation rates in each branch. The mutation rate is the product between the substitution rate and the branch length. Models for the substitution rate are given in Tab. 5.1.

The data D is the multiple alignment of the sequences from the N taxa. D_k is the Ndimensional vector at position k of the multiple alignment. We are given a tree with topology A and branch length l. Let H be the number hidden nodes of a given topology A. Hidden nodes are indexed from 1 to H and taxa are indexed from H + 1 to H + N. Without loss of generality, the root node is indexed by 1. Further we assume a model \mathcal{M} for the nucleotide substitution. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of letters. The likelihood of the tree at the k-th position is

$$L(\mathbf{D}_{k} | \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{A}, \mathcal{M}) =$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{H} \sum_{a_{h} \in \mathcal{A}} P_{r}(a_{1}) \prod_{i,j; \ 1 \le i \le H, \ i < j \le N+H, \ A_{ij} = 1} P_{a_{i}a_{j}}(l_{ij}) ,$$
(5.63)

where $P_r(a_1)$ is the prior probability of the root node assigned with letter $a_1 inA$. The expression $A_{ij} = 1$ indicates an existing branch $i \rightarrow j$ in the topology A. $P_{a_i a_j}(l_{ij})$ is the probability of branch length l_{ij} between nucleotides a_i and a_j . The hidden states are summed out in above likelihood expression. The prior is obtained from the nucleotide frequencies or is estimated.

If AăM is the Felsenstein / Tajima-Nei equal-input model, the branch length probabilities are

$$P_{a_i a_i} (l_{ii}) = g_{a_i} + (1 - g_{a_i}) e^{-l_{ii}}$$
(5.64)

$$P_{a_i a_j}(l_{ij}) = g_{a_j} \left(1 - e^{-l_{ij}} \right) .$$
(5.65)

For $g_{a_i} = \frac{1}{4}$ and $l_{ij} = 4rt$ we obtain the Jukes-Cantor model from above, where $P_{a_i a_i}$ was denoted by q.

For reversible models

$$g_{a_i} P_{a_i a_j}(l) = g_{a_j} P_{a_j a_i}(l)$$
(5.66)

the choice of the root does not matter because branch lengths count independent of their substitution direction. Under the assumption that all positions are independent from each other the likelihood is given by

$$L(\boldsymbol{D} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{A}, \mathcal{M}) = \prod_{k} L(\boldsymbol{D}_{k} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{A}, \mathcal{M}).$$
(5.67)

The likelihood $L(D_k | l, A, M)$ for a position k can be computed via Felsenstein's (1981) pruning algorithm.

 $P_i(a) = P_i(a \mid D_k, l, A, \mathcal{M})$ denotes the probability of a letter *a* at node *i* given data D_k , branch length *l*, topology *A* and model \mathcal{M} . We obtain the recursive formula

$$P_i(a_i) = \delta_{a_i \ D_{k(i-H)}} \text{ for } i > H(i \text{ taxa})$$
(5.68)

$$P_{i}(a_{i}) = \prod_{j; A_{ij}=1} \left(\sum_{a_{j} \in \mathcal{A}} P_{a_{i}a_{j}}(l_{ij}) P_{j}(a_{j}) \right) \text{ for } i \leq H(i \text{ hidden}), \qquad (5.69)$$

where $\delta_{a b}$ is 1 for a = b and 0 otherwise. Using the idea of dynamic programming the values $P_i(a)$ can be computed from the taxa (leaves) to the root, where zero values are not propagated further.

The Likelihood can be computed as

$$L(\boldsymbol{D}_{k} \mid \boldsymbol{l}, \boldsymbol{A}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{a_{1} \in \mathcal{A}} P_{r}(a_{1}) P_{1}(a_{1}) .$$
(5.70)

To find the best tree both the branch length' and the topology must be optimized.

To optimize the branch length' the likelihood can be optimized directly via gradient based approaches like the Newton method. Alternatively an EM (expectation-maximization, Dempster et al., 1977) algorithm can be used, which maximizes a lower bound on the likelihood.

For the optimization of the tree topology Felsenstein (1981) applied a growing (constructive) algorithm (cf. neural networks: cascade correlation). Start with 3 taxa and for the k-th taxa test all (2k - 5) branches as insertion point. The tree is then further optimized by local changing the topology.

For small N all topologies can be tested. Also local changes similar to the parsimony tree optimization can be applied.

The ML estimator is computationally expensive. A fast heuristics was introduced by Strimmer and v. Haeseler (1996) which optimizes all topologies of 4 taxa and then constructs the final tree (software: http://www.tree-puzzle.de/).

The ML estimation is unbiased, i.e. for the sequence length going to infinity (asymptotically) the ML tree is the true tree. The ML method is asymptotically efficient, i.e. the estimator with minimal variance (variance of the solutions if the same number of examples are randomly drawn).



Figure 5.18: The Fitch-Margoliash method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experiment 1.

5.5 Examples

In this experiment we compare triosephosphate isomerase of different species in order to construct a phylogenetic tree. We used the PHYLIP (Phylogeny Inference Package) Version 3.5c for constructing the trees.

In the first experiment we construct a phylogenetic tree for:

EColi	Escherichia coli	Bacterium
VibMar	Vibrio marinus	Bacterium
Chicken	Gallus gallus	Animal
Human	Homo sapiens	Animal
Nematode	Caenorhabditis elegans	Worm
Yeast	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	Yeast
Pfalcip	Plasmodium falciparum	single cell
Amoeba	Entamoeba histolytica	single cell
TBrucei	Trypanosoma brucei	single cell
TCruzi	Trypanosoma cruzi	single cell
LeiMex	Leishmania mexicana	single cell
Bacillus	Bacillus stearothermophilus	Bacterium
ThMar	Thermotoga maritima	Bacterium
Archaeon	Pyrococcus woesei	Archaeon

Figures 5.18, 5.19, 5.20, and 5.21 show the results.

In the second experiment we construct a phylogenetic tree again based on triosephosphat isomerase for: Human, Monkey, Mouse, Rat, Cow, Pig, Goose, Chicken, Zebrafish, Fruit FLY, Rye, Rice, Corn, Soybean, Bacterium. Figures 5.22, 5.23, 5.24, and 5.25 show the results.

An interesting scientific research focus on the relationship between humans and apes. Figures 5.26 and 5.27 show the latest results on this topic.



Figure 5.19: The Fitch-Margoliash method under the assumption of molecular clock ("kitsch") for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experiment 1.



Figure 5.20: The neighbor joining method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experiment 1.



Figure 5.21: The UPGMA method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experiment 1.



Figure 5.22: The Fitch-Margoliash method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experiment 2.



Figure 5.23: The Fitch-Margoliash method under the assumption of molecular clock ("kitsch") for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experiment 2.



Figure 5.24: The neighbor joining method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experiment 2.



Figure 5.25: The UPGMA method for constructing a phylogenetic tree for the taxa of experiment 2.



Figure 5.26: Relation humans, chimpanzee, gorillas, oran utans and gibbons (part 1).



Figure 5.27: Relation humans, chimpanzee, gorillas and oran utans (part 2).

Finally a phylogenetic tree from a very special point of view is given in Fig. 5.28.



Figure 5.28: Tree of life from a special perspective.

Appendix A

Amino Acid Characteristics

Amino acid features are listed. The mass, surface area, volume, pKa (acid-ionization constant, indicates the extent of dissociation of hydrogen ions from an acid), pI (isoelectric point, pH at which the molecule carries no electrical charge), solubility, density (see Tab. A.2) and solvent accessible area (see Tab. A.1) is given.

Amino Acid	$SEA > 30 \text{ Å}^2$	$\text{SEA} < \!\! 10 \ \text{\AA}^2$	$30 \text{ Å}^2 > \text{SEA} > 10 \text{ Å}^2$
S	0.70	0.20	0.10
Т	0.71	0.16	0.13
А	0.48	0.35	0.17
G	0.51	0.36	0.13
Р	0.78	0.13	0.09
С	0.32	0.54	0.14
D	0.81	0.09	0.10
E	0.93	0.04	0.03
Q	0.81	0.10	0.09
Ν	0.82	0.10	0.08
L	0.41	0.49	0.10
Ι	0.39	0.47	0.14
V	0.40	0.50	0.10
Μ	0.44	0.20	0.36
F	0.42	0.42	0.16
Y	0.67	0.20	0.13
W	0.49	0.44	0.07
Κ	0.93	0.02	0.05
R	0.84	0.05	0.11
Н	0.66	0.19	0.15

Table A.1: Solvent accessibility of amino acids in known protein structures (D. Bordo and P. Argos, J. Mol. Biol. 217(1991)721-729). "SEA" means solvent exposed area.

	mass	surface	volume	рКа	pI	solubility	density
	[dalton]	[Å2]	[Å3]	[side ch.]	[25°C]	[g/100g]	[g/ml]
Α	71.09	115	88.6	-	6.107	16.65	1.401
R	156.19	225	173.4	12	10.76	15	1.1
D	114.11	150	111.1	4.5	2.98	0.778	1.66
Ν	115.09	160	114.1	-	-	3.53	1.54
C	103.15	135	108.5	9.1-9.5	5.02	very high	-
E	129.12	190	138.4	4.6	3.08	0.864	1.460
Q	128.14	180	143.8	-	-	2.5	-
G	57.05	75	60.1	-	6.064	24.99	1.607
Η	137.14	195	153.2	6.2	7.64	4.19	-
Ι	113.16	175	166.7	-	6.038	4.117	-
L	113.16	170	166.7	-	6.036	2.426	1.191
K	128.17	200	168.6	10.4	9.47	very high	-
Μ	131.19	185	162.9	-	5.74	3.381	1.340
F	147.18	210	189.9	-	5.91	2.965	-
Р	97.12	145	112.7	-	6.3	162.3	-
S	87.08	115	89.0	-	5.68	5.023	1.537
Т	101.11	140	116.1	-	-	very high	-
W	186.12	255	227.8	-	5.88	1.136	-
Y	163.18	230	193.6	9.7	5.63	0.0453	1.456
V	99.14	155	140.0	-	6.002	8.85	1.230

Table A.2: Chemical properties of amino acids. Given are surface (C.Chothia, J. Mol. Biol., 105(1975)1-14), volume (A.A. Zamyatin, Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol., 24(1972)107-123), pKa (C. Tanford, Adv. Prot. Chem., 17(1962)69-165), and pI/solubility/density (The Merck Index, Merck & Co. Inc., Nahway, N.J., 11(1989); CRC Handbook of Chem.& Phys., Cleveland, Ohio, 58(1977)).

Appendix B

List of Bioinformatics Resources and Databases

B.1 Bioinformatics Databases and Analysis Services

From University of Cambridge, School of the Biological Sciences, Bioinformatics services under: http://www.bio.cam.ac.uk/compmolb.html.

B.1.1 General

- European Bioinformatics Institute A key site in Europe, near Cambridge UK. Databases, analysis tools and sequence submission http://www.ebi.ac.uk
- Laboratory for Interdisciplinary Technologies in Bioinformatics Service being developed in Italy following closure of UK MRC service http://www.litbio.org
- Baylor College of Medicine, a collection of links to many classes of search and other bioinformatics tools (USA)
 http://www.hgsc.bcm.tmc.edu/SearchLauncher
- ExPASy Swiss Bioinformatics Institute: SWISS-PROT, PROSITE, 2D-PAGE, Proteomics Tools etc. Key site for protein sequence/structure researchers. http://www.expasy.ch
- NCBI US equivalent of EBI. Home of Genbank, PubMed, Entrez etc. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
- Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute The major UK genome sequencing centre, human and other. Genomic analysis tools, etc. http://www.sanger.ac.uk
- MIPS Munich Information Center for Protein Sequences: Genomic and protein data/analysis http://mips.gsf.de

 Weizmann Institute Israeli EMBnet node: genomics, proteomics etc including GeneCards database http://bioinfo.weizmann.ac.il

•

- UMBER: A special EMBnet node concentrating on protein databases and analysis. (NB The OWL data are not up-to-date!) http://umber.sbs.man.ac.uk/dbbrowser
- PBIL. A wide range of databases and analysis services (France). http://pbil.univ-lyon1.fr/
- Bibiserve A range of bioinformatics services (Germany) http://bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/
- Computational Biology Research Group at ETH Zurich: a range of services, especially proteomics http://cbrg.inf.ethz.ch/
- IBM Bioinformatics and Pattern Discovery Group Teiresias, Musca and Delphi servers http://www.research.ibm.com/bioinformatics

B.1.2 Genome, Proteome, Gene, Protein Domains and Patterns Searches

- Completed genomes server at EBI http://www.ebi.ac.uk/genomes/
- Integr8:Genome and Proteome Analysis at EBI http://www.ebi.ac.uk/integr8/EBI-Integr8-HomePage.do
- ENSEMBL Annotated Eukaryotic Genome Server http://www.ensembl.org
- BLAST and other searches of completed genomes http://bmerc-www.bu.edu/genome/genomeblastp.html
- BLAT on human and other genomes (US) http://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgBlat
- Oxford UK mirror of above http://zeon.well.ox.ac.uk/cgi-bin/hgGateway
- GeneFIND and ProClass gene and protein families http://pir.georgetown.edu/gfserver/
- GENSCAN gene prediction: allows for partial genes as well as complete genes and for the occurrence of multiple genes in a single sequence, on either or both DNA strands http://genome.dkfz-heidelberg.de/cgi-bin/GENSCAN/genscan.cgi
- Procrustes Gene Recognition Software http://www-hto.usc.edu/software/procrustes/

B.1. Bioinformatics Databases and Analysis Services

- Pfam protein domain database at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Pfam/
- EMBnet.ch: Several profile and pattern searches etc (ESTScan, PFSCAN, PFRAMES-CAN,PSTSCAN,SAPS,PatternFind) http://www.ch.embnet.org/
- ScanProsite scanning PROSITE and SWISS-PROT databases for patterns (ExPASy) http://www.expasy.ch/tools/scanprosite/
- BLOCKMAKER to find blocks in a group of related protein sequences http://blocks.fhcrc.org/blocks/blockmkr/make_blocks.html
- MEME to find motifs in a group of related DNA or protein sequences http://meme.sdsc.edu/meme/website/
- MOTIF Searching protein and DNA motifs http://www.motif.genome.ad.jp
- SIGFIND Signal Peptide Prediction Server (Human) http://139.91.72.10/sigfind/sigfind.html

B.1.3 Microarray Gene Expression Data

- Microarray activities and links at EBI http://www.ebi.ac.uk/microarray
- Stanford Microarray Database http://genome-www5.stanford.edu/
- Yale Microarray Database http://info.med.yale.edu/microarray/

B.1.4 Multiple Sequence Alignment

- DCA simultaneous multiple sequence alignment: may be more successful than iterative alignment for distantly related sequences http://bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/dca
- DIALIGN Segment alignment with no gap penalty: suitable where local not global similarity exists
 http://bibiserv.techfak.uni-bielefeld.de/dialign/
- MaxHom (EMBL Heidelberg, also at EBI and elsewhere). Part of PredictProtein server.
- Match-Box Multiple sequence alignment method which circumvents the gap penalty requirement. Particularly suitable for finding conserved structural motifs especially in protein core.

```
http://www.embl-heidelberg.de/predictprotein/predictprotein.html
```

- ClustalW, MAP, PIMA etc (BCM search launcher) http://dot.imgen.bcm.tmc.edu:9331/multi-align/multi-align.html
- Match-Box: Multiple sequence alignment method which circumvents the gap penalty requirement. Particularly suitable for finding conserved structural motifs especially in protein core.

http://www.fundp.ac.be/sciences/biologie/bms/matchbox_submit.html

- WebLogo Sequence Logo Generation Facility http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/
- MatrixPlot Visualizing sequence constraints in 2D and 3D data http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/MatrixPlot/

B.1.5 Proteomics

- Mascot: use Mass Spec data to search primary sequence databases http://www.matrixscience.com
- EBI proteomics databases http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Databases/proteomic.html

B.1.6 Secondary structure prediction

- PsiCSI Secondary structure prediction from sequence and chemical shifts http://protinfo.compbio.washington.edu
- JPRED http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/Software/JPred/jpred.html
- PSIpred secondary structure prediction plus transmembrane topology and fold recognition. http://insulin.brunel.ac.uk/psipred/
- nnPredict predicts protein secondary structure from amino acid sequences using a two-layer neural network program http://www.cmpharm.ucsf.edu/~nomi/nnpredict.html
- PHD (Rost & Sander)
 http://www.embl-heidelberg.de/predictprotein/predictprotein.html
- PROF http://www.aber.ac.uk/~phiwww/prof/
- Baylor College of Medicine secondary structure prediction by various methods. http://dot.imgen.bcm.tmc.edu:9331/pssprediction/pssp.html

B.1.7 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms and other variations

- HapMap http://snp.cshl.org/
- dbSNP
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/
- ENSEMBL: Genomes richly annotated with SNP information http://www.ensembl.org
- Sequence variations database, EBI http://www.ebi.ac.uk/mutations/

B.1.8 Tertiary and Quaternary Structure Databases, Prediction Comparison and Dynamics

- Macromolecular Structure Database MSD-EBI:European relative of RCBS (PDB, NDB, PQS, ReliBase etc. Includes structure deposition) http://www.ebi.ac.uk/msd
- Structural Classification of Proteins (LMB, Cambridge) http://scop.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/scop/
- PDB-ISL: Search PDB (database of known structures) with sequence of unknown structure http://stash.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/PDB_ISL/
- SWISS-MODEL automated protein modelling server (ExPASy) http://www.expasy.ch/swissmod/SWISS-MODEL.html
- 123D: threading server (Sanger, UK) http://genomic.sanger.ac.uk/123D/run123D.shtml
- Biomolecular Modelling Laboratory Including 3D-PSSM Fold recognition etc (ICRF) http://www.bmm.icnet.uk/index.html
- UCLA-DOE Fold Recognition Server (US) http://fold.doe-mbi.ucla.edu/
- PredictProtein EBI mirror: includes TOPITS fold-prediction etc http://www.ebi.ac.uk/~rost/predictprotein/
- Dali performs comparisons against the PDB databank (EBI). See also other methods at EBI including quaternary structure searching http://www.ebi.ac.uk/dali/
- Protein Structure Validation (EBI) Uses PROCHECK, PROVE, WHAT IF. (Including NMR structures). http://biotech.ebi.ac.uk:8400/

- HOMSTRAD, CAMPASS, COMPARER, COMPOSER etc Structure superpositions and searching at Crystallography and Biocomputing Group, Biochemistry, Cambridge. http://www-cryst.bioc.cam.ac.uk
- Database of Macromolecular Movements http://bioinfo.mbb.yale.edu/MolMovDB/
- Molecular movies from PDB files http://molbio.info.nih.gov/structbio/indie.html

B.1.9 Other Specialized Biomolecular Databases

- ArkDB genome mapping data from farmed and other animal species http://www.thearkdb.org/
- The Antibody Resource Page http://www.antibodyresource.com
- BRENDA The Comprehensive Enzyme Information System http://www.brenda.uni-koeln.de
- FlyBase Drosophila Genome DB http://fly.ebi.ac.uk:7081/
- Homeobox Proteins http://copan.bioz.unibas.ch/homeo.html
- HotMolecBase at the Weizmann Institute. A collection of biomedically interesting molecules like p53, prion protein etc. that are potential targets for drug development. http://bioinformatics.weizmann.ac.il/hotmolecbase/
- Influenza Sequence Database http://www.flu.lanl.gov
- IMGT: The International Immunogenetics Database http://www.ebi.ac.uk/imgt/
- Human Mitochondrial genome database http://www.mitomap.org/
- Mitochondrial DNA concordance http://www.bioanth.cam.ac.uk/mtDNA/
- P450 proteins database (Italy) http://www.icgeb.trieste.it/p450/
- Restriction Enzymes (US) Mirrored on mole for GCG and EMBOSS http://rebase.neb.com/rebase/rebase.html
- Transfac transcription factors (Germany). Similar to tfsites in GCG on mole http://www.gene-regulation.com/

 V-Base Human germline V sequences (UK). Also available in GCG on mole. http://www.mrc-cpe.cam.ac.uk/vbase-ok.php?menu=901

B.1.10 Metabolism databases

- KEGG Pathway database http://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html
- PUMA2: evolutionary analysis of metabolism http://compbio.mcs.anl.gov/puma2/cgi-bin/index.cgi
- EMP
 http://www.empproject.com/
- MetaCyc http://metacyc.org/

B.1.11 Cell and Microorganism Culture

- NIGMS Human Genetic Mutant Cell Repository http://locus.umdnj.edu/nigms/
- American Type Culture Collection http://www.atcc.org/
- European Collection of Animal Cell Cultures http://www.ist.unige.it/cldb/descat5.html
- UK National Culture Collection http://www.ukncc.co.uk/

B.1.12 Biodiversity Databases

- Species 2000 http://www.sp2000.org/
- World Biodiversity database
 http://www.eti.uva.nl/Database/WBD.html

B.1.13 Other Services

- CloneIt A program for finding sub-cloning strategies, in-frame deletions and frame shifts using restriction enzymes and DNA polymerases http://topaze.jouy.inra.fr/cgi-bin/CloneIt/CloneIt
- Primo Various primer design programs http://www.changbioscience.com/primo/

B.2 Species Specific databases

From Suresh Kumar's "Bioinformatics web" retrieved from http://www.geocities.com/bioinformaticsweb/.

B.2.1 Human

- OMIM Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man http://www3.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim/
- GENATLAS Human genes atlas http://www.dsi.univ-paris5.fr/genatlas/
- GeneClinics Medical genetics knowledge base http://www.geneclinics.org/
- GDB Genome Data Base http://gdbwww.gdb.org/
- GeneCards Db integrating information on human genes http://bioinformatics.weizmann.ac.il/cards/
- The Genome Channel -This system is a prototype graphical browser for querying the annotated reference genome. http://compbio.ornl.gov/channel/
- UDB Unified db for Human genome mapping http://bioinformatics.weizmann.ac.il/udb/
- Ensembl Human genome browser http://www.ensembl.org/Homo_sapiens/
- UCSC human genome working draft http://genome.ucsc.edu/
- TIGR HGI TIGR Human Gene Index http://www.tigr.org/tdb/hgi/
- Hs UniGene Human transcripts in GenBank (EST clusters) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/UniGene/Hs.Home.html
- STACK Sequence Tag Alignment and Consensus Knowledgebase (Db of consensus human ESTs) http://www.sanbi.ac.za/Dbases.html
- Allgenes.org Human predicted gene index/catalog http://www.allgenes.org/
- GenLink Human genetics resource http://www.genlink.wustl.edu/

146

- GeneLynx Portal to the human genome http://www.genelynx.org/
- GENOTK Human cDNA db http://genotk.genome.ad.jp/
- HUGE Human Unidentified Gene-Encoded large proteins cDNA (KIAA...) http://www.kazusa.or.jp/huge/
- HUNT Human Novel Transcripts http://www.hri.co.jp/HUNT/
- CGAP Cancer Genome Anatomy Project http://cgap.nci.nih.gov/
- MGC Mammalian Gene Collection http://mgc.nci.nih.gov/
- SCDb Stem cell db http://stemcell.princeton.edu/
- Homophila Human Disease to Drosophila gene db http://homophila.sdsc.edu/

B.2.1.1 Chromosomes

- Chr1 at Rutgers Human chromosome 1 at Rutgers http://compgene.rutgers.edu/chr1/
- Chr1 at Sanger Sanger Center chromosome 1 project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/HGP/Chr1/
- Chr1 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 1 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr01.txt
- Chr2 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 2 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr02.txt
- Chr3 Human chromosome 3 db at the University of Texas Health Science Center http://mars.uthscsa.edu/DB/
- Chr3 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 3 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr03.txt
- Chr4 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 4 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr04.txt
- Chr5 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 5 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr05.txt
- Chr6 at Sanger Sanger Center chromosome 6 project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/HGP/Chr6/

- Chr6 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 6 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr06.txt
- Chr7 Human chromosome 7 db at Ontario's Hospital for Sick Children http://www.genet.sickkids.on.ca/chromosome7/
- Chr7 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 7 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr07.txt
- Chr8 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 8 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr08.txt
- Chr9 at Sanger Sanger Center chromosome 1 project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/HGP/Chr9/
- Chr9 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 9 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr09.txt
- Chr9 Human chromosome 9 db http://www.gene.ucl.ac.uk/chr9/
- Chr10 at Sanger Sanger Center chromosome 10 project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/HGP/Chr10/
- Chr10 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 10 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr10.txt
- 11DB Human chromosome 11 db at Imperial College (U.K.) http://chr11.bc.ic.ac.uk/
- Chr11 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 11 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr11.txt
- Chr12 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 12 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr12.txt
- Chr13 at Sanger Sanger Center chromosome 13 project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/HGP/Chr13/
- Chr13 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 13 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr13.txt
- Chr14 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 14 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr14.txt
- Chr15 UBC Human chromosome 15 WWW page at UBC http://mendel.medgen.ubc.ca/medgen/scw15.html
- Chr15 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 15 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr15.txt
- Chr16 LANL Human chromosome 16 db at Los Alamos National Laboratory http://www-ls.lanl.gov/dbqueries.html

- Chr16 TIGR Human chromosome 16 db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tdb/humgen.html
- Chr16 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 16 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr16.txt
- Chr17 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 17 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr17.txt
- Chr18 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 18 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr18.txt
- Chr18 Human chromosome 18 at Boston Children's Hospital http://www.childrenshospital.org/chromosome18/
- Chr19 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 19 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr19.txt
- Chr20 at Sanger Sanger Center chromosome 20 project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/HGP/Chr20/
- Chr20 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 20 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr20.txt
- Chr21 at RIKEN Human chromosome 21 genome site at RIKEN (Japan) http://hgp.gsc.riken.go.jp/chr21/
- Chr21 at ERI Human chromosome 21 genomic sequence db from the Eleanor Roosevelt Institute http://www-eri.uchsc.edu/chromosome21/
- Chr21 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 21 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr21.txt
- Chr22 at Sanger Sanger Center chromosome 22 project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/HGP/Chr22/
- Chr22 at HGC Human genome center for chromosome 22 http://www.cbil.upenn.edu/gaia2/gaia/
- Chr22 Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome 22 http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchr22.txt
- ChrX at Sanger Sanger Center chromosome 1 project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/HGP/ChrX/
- IXDB Integrated X chromosome db http://ixdb.mpimg-berlin-dahlem.mpg.de/
- ChrX Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome X http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchrx.txt
- ChrY Swiss-Prot list Links to Swiss-Prot entries from human chromosome Y http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?humchry.txt

B.2.1.2 Human mutation resources/databases

- HGMD Human Gene Mutation db http://www.hgmd.org/
- SVD EBI Sequence variation db http://www.ebi.ac.uk/mutations/
- HGBASE Human Genic Bi-Allelic Sequences db http://hgbase.cgr.ki.se/
- GeneDis Human genetic disease db http://life2.tau.ac.il/GeneDis/
- The SNP consortium http://snp.cshl.org/
- dbSNP Human single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) db http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/
- ALFRED Allele Frequency Db http://alfred.med.yale.edu/alfred/
- SeattleSNPs UW-FHCRC Variation Discovery Resource http://pga.mbt.washington.edu/
- PicSNP Catalog of non-synonymous SNP http://plaza.umin.ac.jp/~hchang/picsnp/
- List of mutation databases from OMIM http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Omim/Index/mutation.html
- List of mutation databases from IMT (Finland) http://www.uta.fi/imt/bioinfo/BTKbase/database.html
- ADAbase Human adenosine deaminase (ADA) mutation db http://www.uta.fi/imt/bioinfo/ADAbase/
- ADB Albinism db (Mutations in human genes causing albinism) http://www.cbc.umn.edu/tad/
- Albumin Web site http://www.albumin.org/
- Alpha-glucosidase Information about human acid alpha-glucosidase (GSD-II) http://www.eur.nl/FGG/CH1/pompe/
- APC mutation http://p53.curie.fr/p53%20site%20version%202.0/
- AR mutations Human androgen receptor mutation db http://www.mcgill.ca/androgendb/

- Antithrombin mutation db http://www.med.ic.ac.uk/divisions/7/antithrombin/
- Asthma and Allergy gene db http://cooke.gsf.de/asthmagen/main.cfm
- BIOMDB Db of mutations causing tetrahydrobiopterin deficiencies http://www.bh4.org/
- BLMbase Human BLM mutation db (Bloom syndrome) http://www.uta.fi/imt/bioinfo/BLMbase/
- BTKbase Human BTK mutation db (X-linked agammaglobulinemia) http://www.uta.fi/imt/bioinfo/BTKbase/
- CD3Ebase Human CD3E mutation db http://www.uta.fi/imt/bioinfo/CD3Ebase/
- CD3Gbase Human CD3G mutation db http://www.uta.fi/imt/bioinfo/CD3Gbase/
- CD40Lbase Human CD40 ligand mutation db http://us.expasy.org/cd40lbase/
- COL1/3 mutation Human Type I and III collagen mutation db http://www.le.ac.uk/genetics/collagen/
- Connexin-deafness Human connexins mutation db http://www.crg.es/deafness/
- CFTR mutation Human cystic fibrosis mutation db (CFTR) http://www.genet.sickkids.on.ca/cftr/
- EMD db Human Emerin (EMD) mutation db (Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy) http://www.path.cam.ac.uk/emd/
- KMeyeDB Eye disease genes db http://mutview.dmb.med.keio.ac.jp/mutview3/kmeyedb/
- FVII mutation Human Factor VII mutation db http://europium.mrc.rpms.ac.uk/usr/WWW/WebPages/FVII/
- HAMSTERS Human Factor VIII mutation db (Haemophilia A) http://europium.mrc.rpms.ac.uk/usr/WWW/WebPages/main.dir
- HAeMB Human Factor IX mutation db (Haemophilia B) http://www.umds.ac.uk/molgen/
- FBN1 mutation Human fibrillin 1 mutation db (Marfan syndrome) http://www.umd.necker.fr/Site%20Marfan/Marfan_Home_Page.html
- G6PD Human G6PD deficiency resource http://rialto.com/g6pd/

- G6PDdb Human G6PD mutation db http://www.rubic.rdg.ac.uk/g6pd/
- Galt mutation Human galactose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase mutation db (Galactosemia) http://www.cc.emory.edu/PEDIATRICS/medgen/research/galt.htm
- GNAS1 Human GNAS1 mutation db http://www.le.ac.uk/genetics/maa7/GNAS1/
- GM2 gangliosidoses Human Hexosaminidase A (HEXA), B (HEXB) and GM2A mutation db (Tay-Sachs/Sandhoff diseases) http://data.mch.mcgill.ca/gm2-gangliosidoses/
- HbVar Hemoglobin variants db http://globin.cse.psu.edu/globin/hbvar/menu.html
- Hereditary hearing loss homepage http://www.uia.ac.be/dnalab/hhh/
- IL2RGbase Human IL2RG (Interleukin-2 receptor gamma) mutation db (X-SCID) http://www.nhgri.nih.gov/DIR/GMBB/SCID/
- L1CAM mutation Human L1CAM mutation db http://www.uia.ac.be/dnalab/l1/
- LDLR mutation Human LDLR mutation db (Familial hypercholesterolemia) http://www.ucl.ac.uk/fh/
- LHR Human luteinizing hormone (LH) receptor mutation db http://www.eur.nl/fgg/endov/lhr.html
- LQTSdb Long QT syndrome db http://www.ssi.dk/en/forskning/lqtsdb/lqtsdb.htm
- NCF1base Human NCF1 mutation db http://www.uta.fi/imt/bioinfo/NCF1base/
- NCF2base Human NCF2 mutation db http://www.uta.fi/imt/bioinfo/NCF2base/
- Neuromuscular diseases web site http://www.neuro.wustl.edu/neuromuscular/
- NCL Neuronal Ceroid Lipofuscinoses mutation db http://www.ucl.ac.uk/ncl/
- NF1 NF1 Genetic Mutation Analysis Consortium web site http://www.nf.org/nf1gene/nf1gene.home.html
- OCRL1 OCRL1 mutation db (Lowe Syndrome)
 http://www.nhgri.nih.gov/DIR/GDRB/Lowe/ocrl1_mut_db.html

- OTCase Human ornithine transcarbamylase (OTCase) website http://63.75.201.100/otc/
- Cytochrome P450 alleles nomenclature http://www.imm.ki.se/CYPalleles/
- p53 mutation db University of Tokyo p53 mutation db http://p53.genome.ad.jp/
- Germline p53 mutation db University of Prague db of germline p53 mutations http://www.lf2.cuni.cz/win/projects/germline_mut_p53.htm
- PAHdb Human phenylalanine hydroxylase (PAH) mutation db http://www.mcgill.ca/pahdb/
- PAX2 mutation Human PAX2 mutation db http://www.hgu.mrc.ac.uk/Softdata/PAX2/
- PAX6 mutation Human PAX6 mutation db http://www.hgu.mrc.ac.uk/Softdata/PAX6/
- Prion Prion and prion disease web site http://www.mad-cow.org/
- RAG1base Human RAG1 mutation db http://www.uta.fi/imt/bioinfo/RAG1base/
- RAG2base Human RAG2 mutation db http://www.uta.fi/imt/bioinfo/RAG2base/
- RB1base Human retinoblastoma-associated protein (RB) mutation db http://www.d-lohmann.de/Rb/mutations.html
- RetNet Retinal Information Network http://www.sph.uth.tmc.edu/Retnet/
- Retina International Scientific Newsletter Information on Retinal genes, proteins and diseases http://www.retina-international.com/sci-news/
- Alsod.org ALS/SOD1 genetic mutations db http://www.alsod.org/
- TSC TSC (TSC1/TSC2) variation db http://zk.bwh.harvard.edu/ts/
- TSC2 Cardiff-Rotterdam Tuberous Sclerosis (TS) db (Tuberin; TSC2) http://www.uwcm.ac.uk/uwcm/mg/tsc_db/
- TGDB Tumor gene db http://condor.bcm.tmc.edu/ermb/tgdb/tgdb.html

- VMD2 mutation Human VMD2 mutation db http://www.uni-wuerzburg.de/humangenetics/vmd2.html
- vWF mutation Human von Willebrand factor (vWF) mutation db http://mmg2.im.med.umich.edu/vWF/
- WRN Human WRN mutation db (Warner disease) http://www.pathology.washington.edu/werner/ws_wrn.html
- WT1 mutation Human WT1 mutation db http://www.umd.necker.fr:2003/
- X-ALD mutation Human ABCD1 mutation db http://www.x-ald.nl/

B.2.2 Vertebrates

- OMIA Online Mendelian Inheritance in Animals http://www.angis.su.oz.au/Databases/BIRX/omia/
- MGI Mouse Genome Informatics (includes MGD) http://www.informatics.jax.org/
- Ensembl Mouse genome browser http://www.ensembl.org/Mus_musculus/
- TIGR MGI TIGR Mouse Gene Index http://www.tigr.org/tdb/mgi/
- Mm UniGene Mouse transcripts in GenBank (EST clusters) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/UniGene/Mm.Home.html
- MGC Mammalian Gene Collection http://mgc.nci.nih.gov/
- Mouse gene knockouts db http://www.bioscience.org/knockout/knochome.htm
- Mouse chromosome 16 at Celera http://mouse16.celera.com/mousec16/index.jsp
- RGD Rat genome db http://rgd.mcw.edu/
- RatMAP Rat genome db http://ratmap.gen.gu.se/
- TIGR RGI TIGR Rat Gene Index http://www.tigr.org/tdb/rgi/
- Rn Unigene Rat transcripts in GenBank (EST clusters) http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/UniGene/Rn.Home.html

- BovBASE Bovine genome db (in UK) http://www.ri.bbsrc.ac.uk/bovmap/arkbov/
- BOVMAP Bovine genome db (in France) http://locus.jouy.inra.fr/cgi-bin/bovmap/intro2.pl
- Ark-Cat Cat genome db http://www.ri.bbsrc.ac.uk/catmap/ark/
- HorseBASE Horse genome db http://www.ri.bbsrc.ac.uk/horsemap/arkhorse/
- DGP Dog Genome Project http://mendel.berkeley.edu/dog.html
- PiGBASE Pig genome db http://www.ri.bbsrc.ac.uk/pigmap/arkpig/
- SheepBASE Sheep genome db (also known as SheepMap) http://www.ri.bbsrc.ac.uk/cgi-bin/arkdb/browsers/
- MIS Mendelian Inheritance in Sheep http://www.angis.su.oz.au/Databases/BIRX/mis/
- Chickmap Chicken genome db (ChickBase) http://www.ri.bbsrc.ac.uk/chickmap/
- Turkey mapping db http://www.ri.bbsrc.ac.uk/cgi-bin/arkdb/browsers/
- FishBase Global information system on fishes http://www.fishbase.org/
- Fugu genome project http://www.fugu-sg.org/
- Fugu HGMP Resource Centre Fugu Project (Fugu rubripes) http://fugu.hgmp.mrc.ac.uk/
- Ensembl Fugu genome browser http://www.ensembl.org/Fugu_rubripes/
- Medakafish Mekada fish (Oryzias latipes) server http://biol1.bio.nagoya-u.ac.jp:8000/
- Ark-Tilapia Tilapia mossambica genome db http://www.ri.bbsrc.ac.uk/tilapia/ark/
- The fish net Zebrafish server http://zfish.uoregon.edu/
- Ensembl Zebrafish genome browser http://www.ensembl.org/Danio_rerio/

B.2.3 Invertebrates

- WormBase C.elegans db http://www.wormbase.org/
- C.elegans WWW server at University of Texas Southwestern http://elegans.swmed.edu/
- ACeDb Caenorhabditis elegans db http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/C_elegans/
- C.elegans Mitochondrial Directory Data on C.elegans genes for mitochondrial proteins http://www.sanger.ac.uk/~dl1/MTDNA_2.0/mt_home.html
- C.elegans EST C.elegans EST db from Japan (Yuji Kohara's group) http://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/htmls/c-elegans/html/CE_INDEX.html
- C.elegans Swiss-Prot list Links to C.elegans Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?celegans.txt
- WormPep C.elegans proteins db http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/C_elegans/wormpep/
- WormPD C.elegans protein db http://www.incyte.com/sequence/proteome/databases/WormPD.shtml
- Dictystelium Genome Sequencing project at BCM http://dictygenome.bcm.tmc.edu/
- Dictyostelium discoideum genome project at IENA http://genome.imb-jena.de/dictyostelium/
- DictyDb Dictyostelium discoideum db http://glamdring.ucsd.edu/others/dsmith/dictydb.html
- Dicty_cDB Dictyostelium discoideum cDNA project http://www.csm.biol.tsukuba.ac.jp/cDNAproject.html
- Cone shells Web site http://grimwade.biochem.unimelb.edu.au/cone/index1.html
- Parasite genomes db and resources http://www.ebi.ac.uk/parasites/parasite-genome.html
- Parasite genomes WWW site http://www.lifesci.ucla.edu/RNA/par/
- PlasmoDB P.falciparum genome db http://plasmodb.org/
- Malaria WHO Malaria db (from WHO) http://www.wehi.edu.au/MalDB-www/who.html

- P.falciparum Sanger P.falciparum genome project at Sanger http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/P_falciparum/
- PFDB Plasmodium falciparum Genome db http://www.tigr.org/tdb/edb2/pfa1/htmls/
- P.falciparum Plasmodium falciparum Gene Sequence Tag project http://parasite.arf.ufl.edu/path/pfgstp/oldsite/
- MAD Malaria Antigen db http://ben.vub.ac.be/malaria/mad.html
- Malaria parasite metabolic pathways http://sites.huji.ac.il/malaria/
- Giardia lamblia genome project http://www.mbl.edu/Giardia/
- LGN Leishmania Genome Network http://www.ebi.ac.uk/parasites/leish.html
- ToxoDB Toxoplasma gondii genome project http://toxodb.org/
- Trypanosoma brucei genome project http://parsun1.path.cam.ac.uk/
- Trypanosoma cruzi genome project http://www.dbbm.fiocruz.br/genome/tcruzi/tcruzi.html
- kDNA Kinetoplast Minicircle Sequence db http://www.ebi.ac.uk/parasites/kDNA/Source.html

B.2.4 Insects

- Drosophila Swiss-Prot list Links to Drosophila Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?fly.txt
- FlyBase Drosophila genetic and molecular db http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu/
- BDGP Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project http://www.fruitfly.org/
- FlyView Drosophila image db http://pbio07.uni-muenster.de/
- GIFTS Gene Interactions in the Fly Trans-World Server http://gifts.univ-mrs.fr/GIFTS_home_page.html
- FlyNets Db of molecular interactions in Drosophila melanogaster http://gifts.univ-mrs.fr/FlyNets/FlyNets_home_page.html

- Drosophila P450 Web site http://www.antibes.inra.fr/P450/insectp450.html
- Homophila Human Disease to Drosophila gene db http://homophila.sdsc.edu/
- Mosquito Genomics http://klab.agsci.colostate.edu/
- AnoDB Anopheles gambiae db http://konops.imbb.forth.gr/AnoDB/
- Ensembl Mosquito genome browser http://www.ensembl.org/Anopheles_gambiae/

B.2.5 Plants

- NAL AGIS Access to many plant genome databases at the National Agricultural Library http://probe.nalusda.gov:8300/
- Mendel Plant gene nomenclature database from CPGN http://www.mendel.ac.uk/
- ARS Genome Database Resource (Cornell) http://ars-genome.cornell.edu/
- Arabidopsis Swiss-Prot list Links to A.thaliana WWW sites and to Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists-yeast?arath.txt
- TAIR The Arabidopsis Information Resource http://www.arabidopsis.org/home.html
- MATDB MIPS Arabidopsis thaliana db http://mips.gsf.de/proj/thal/db/
- TIGR At TIGR Arabidopsis thaliana db http://www.tigr.org/tdb/e2k1/ath1/
- Arabidopsis ABC transporters http://www.arabidopsisabc.net/
- AMPL Arabidopsis Membrane Protein Library http://www.cbs.umn.edu/arabidopsis/
- Arabidopsis at PlaCe Site with info on P450, glucosyltransferases, etc. http://biobase.dk/P450/
- Gramene A comparative mapping resource for grains http://www.gramene.org/
- KOMUGI-(Japan)
 http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/wheat/wheat.html

- GrainGenes, a genome database for Triticeae and related species.
 http://shigen.lab.nig.ac.jp:6090/cgi-bin/webace?db=graingenes
- RiceGenesa genome database for rice. http://shigen.lab.nig.ac.jp:6090/cgi-bin/webace?db=ricegenes
- RGP Rice Genome Research Program http://rgp.dna.affrc.go.jp/
- Oryzabase Japanese rice genome db http://www.shigen.nig.ac.jp/rice/oryzabase/
- RiceGAAS: Rice Genome Annotation Database annotation system; integrates programs for prediction and analysis of protein-coding gene structure. http://ricegaas.dna.affrc.go.jp/rgadb/
- Rice genome project at Wisconsin http://www.gcow.wisc.edu/Rice/
- Rice-research.org Monsanto rice genome site http://www.rice-research.org/
- TIGR OsGI TIGR Rice Genome project http://www.tigr.org/tdb/rice/
- BeanGenes Beans genome db http://beangenes.cws.ndsu.nodak.edu/
- BeanRef-Links and references from literature to different aspects of research on beans (Phaseolus and Vigna) – Beans genome db and other resources http://www.nenno.it/Beanref
- Chlamydomonas resource center http://www.biology.duke.edu/chlamy_genome/crc.html
- ChlamyDB Chlamydomonas reinhardtii genome db http://www.biology.duke.edu/chlamydb/
- CottonDB Cotton genome db
 http://algodon.tamu.edu/htdocs-cotton/cottondb.html
- MaizeDb Maize genome db http://www.agron.missouri.edu/
- Mendel Bioinformatics Group, UK http://www.mendel.ac.uk/
- Mendel-GFDbDatabase of Genes Organized by Families. Now with Pfam, Prosite and TRANSFAC domain information http://www.mendel.ac.uk/

- Mendel-CPGN: developinging a common nomenclature for sequenced plant genes http://genome-www.stanford.edu/Mendel
- INRA Maize INRA Maize genome db http://moulon.moulon.inra.fr/imgd/
- Plant Chromatin Database proteins in plants, including functions and molecular phylogenetic relationships, with initial emphasis on Arabidopsis and maize http://ag.arizona.edu/chromatin/chromatin.html
- Pisum sativum (pea) web site http://pisum.bionet.nsc.ru/
- TIGR Potato TIGR Potato Functional Genomics project http://www.tigr.org/tdb/potato/
- TIGR GmGI TIGR Soybean Gene Index http://www.tigr.org/tdb/gmgi/
- Snapdragon (A.majus) web site http://www.mpiz-koeln.mpg.de/~stueber/snapdragon/
- SolGenes Genome Database for the Solanaceae Database for the Solanaceae, containing information about potatoes, tomatoes, peppers, and related species. http://genome.cornell.edu/solgenes/welcome.html
- SorghumDB Sorghum genome db http://algodon.tamu.edu/sorghumdb.html
- SIU-C Soy Phytoestrogen Gene Mapping http://www.siu.edu/~tw3a/soygene.htm
- SoyBase Soybean genome db http://129.186.26.94/
- SoyBase metabolic db Metabolic subset of the soybean genome db http://cgsc.biology.yale.edu/metab.html
- TIGR LeGI TIGR Tomato Gene Index http://www.tigr.org/tdb/lgi/
- Dendrome Forest trees genome db http://dendrome.ucdavis.edu/
- ZmDB Maize Genome Database http://www.zmdb.iastate.edu/

B.2.6 Fungi

- FGSC Fungal Genetics Stock Center http://www.fgsc.net/
- FGR Fungal Genome Resource http://fungus.genetics.uga.edu:5080/
- Yeast Swiss-Prot list Links to S.cerevisiae WWW sites and to Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists-yeast?yeast.txt
- CYGD MIPS Comprehensive Yeast Genome db http://mips.gsf.de/proj/yeast/CYGD/db/
- SGD Saccharomyces genome db http://genome-www.stanford.edu/Saccharomyces/
- MitBASE-Pilot Db of S.cerevisiae nuclear genes involved in mitochondrial biogenesis http://www3.ebi.ac.uk/Research/Mitbase/mitbiog.pl
- Yeast snoRNA Yeast small nucleolar RNAs db http://www.bio.umass.edu/biochem/rna-sequence/
- YTPdb Yeast Transport Protein db http://alize.ulb.ac.be/YTPdb/
- YMPL Yeast Membrane Protein Library http://www.cbs.umn.edu/yeast/
- PathCalling Yeast protein-protein interaction db http://portal.curagen.com/extpc/
- S.pombe Swiss-Prot list Links to S.pombe WWW sites and to Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?pombe.txt
- GeneDB_SPombe Schizosaccharomyces pombe GeneDB http://www.genedb.org/genedb/pombe/index.jsp
- S.pombe genome project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/S_pombe/
- S.pombe NIH S.pombe server at the NIH http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Yeast/fission.html
- Forsburg lab pombe pages http://pingu.salk.edu/users/forsburg/lab.html
- AGD Ashbya genome db http://agd.unibas.ch/
- Aspergillus web site http://www.aspergillus.man.ac.uk/

- C.albicans Swiss-Prot list Links to C.albicans WWW sites and to Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?calbican.txt
- Candida albicans Candida albicans server at the Univ. of Minnesota http://alces.med.umn.edu/Candida.html
- CandidaDB Candida albicans genome db http://genolist.pasteur.fr/CandidaDB/
- Neurospora crassa db http://www-genome.wi.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/neurospora/
- NGP Neurospora crassa genome project http://www.unm.edu/~ngp/
- PC Pneumocystis carinii genome project http://www.uky.edu/Projects/Pneumocystis/
- Phycomyces Web site Resource site for scientists working on Phycomyces http://www.es.embnet.org/~genus/phycomyces.html

B.2.7 Bacteria

- WDCM World Data Center for Microorganisms http://wdcm.nig.ac.jp/
- List of Bacterial Names with Standing in Nomenclature http://www-sv.cict.fr/bacterio/
- CMR TIGR Comprehensive Microbial Ressource http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/CMRHomePage.spl
- GIB Genome information broker for Microbial genomes http://gib.genes.nig.ac.jp/
- GeneQuiz http://jura.ebi.ac.uk:8765/ext-genequiz/
- HOBACGEN Homologous Bacterial Genes db http://pbil.univ-lyon1.fr/databases/hobacgen.html
- Comparison of the transport capabilities of bacterial genomes from Ian Paulsen http://www-biology.ucsd.edu/~ipaulsen/transport/
- BPGD Bacterial polysaccharide gene db http://www.microbio.usyd.edu.au/BPGD/default.htm
- Bacterial quorum sensing http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/quorum/
- MicrobeWorld Educational site on microbes http://www.microbeworld.org/
- E.coli Swiss-Prot list Links to E.coli WWW sites and to Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?ecoli.txt
- EcoWeb / EcoGene Very comprehensive E.coli db http://bmb.med.miami.edu/EcoGene/EcoWeb/
- ECCE E.coli Cell Envelope Protein Data Collection http://www.cf.ac.uk/biosi/staff/ehrmann/head.html
- ECDC E.coli db collection http://www.uni-giessen.de/~gx1052/ECDC
- EcoCyc Encyclopedia of E.coli genes and metabolism http://ecocyc.pangeasystems.com/
- GenProtEC E.coli genome and proteome db http://genprotec.mbl.edu/start
- Colibri E.coli genome db from Pasteur Institute http://genolist.pasteur.fr/Colibri/
- ECGC E.coli Genome Center at Wisconsin University http://www.genome.wisc.edu/
- DDBJ E.coli E.coli home page at DDBJ http://gib.genes.nig.ac.jp/Ec/
- EcoReg The E.coli regulation consortium http://gobi.lbl.gov/~ecoreg/
- B.subtilis Swiss-Prot list Links to B.subtilis WWW sites and to Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?bacsu.txt
- SubtiList Bacillus subtilis genomic db http://genolist.pasteur.fr/SubtiList/
- NRSub Bacillus subtilis non-redundant db http://pbil.univ-lyon1.fr/nrsub/nrsub.html
- Micado Microbial advanced db (B.subtilis + E.coli) http://www-mig.versailles.inra.fr/bdsi/Micado/
- BSORF Japanese server on B.subtilis http://bacillus.genome.ad.jp/BSORF-DB.html
- DBTBS Db of B.subtilis promoters and transcription factors http://elmo.ims.u-tokyo.ac.jp/dbtbs/
- A.actinomycetemcomitans Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans strain HK1651 genome project http://www.genome.ou.edu/act.html

- B.anthracis at CNBP Bacillus anthracis strain Ames WWW site http://www.cbnp.lanl.gov/cbnp/bacteria/bantp/
- B.halodurans Bacillus halodurans strain C-125 db http://sun01.hydra.mki.co.jp:8093/jamstec/micrHome.html
- B.stearothermophilus Bacillus stearothermophilus strain 10 genome project http://www.genome.ou.edu/bstearo.html
- BGDB Bordetella genome db http://asiago.stanford.edu/cgi-bin/BGDB/bgdb.pl
- B.bronchiseptica Bordetella bronchiseptica strain RB50 genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/B_bronchiseptica/
- B.parapertussis Bordetella parapertussis strain 12822 genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/B_parapertussis/
- B.pertussis Bordetella pertussis strain Tohama I genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/B_pertussis/
- BbDB TIGR Borrelia burgdorferi genome db http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- B.aphidicola Swiss-Prot list Links to B.aphidicola Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?bucai.txt
- iBGD Integrated Buchnera genome db http://buchnera.gsc.riken.go.jp/
- B.cepacia Burkholderia cepacia genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/burkholderia/
- C.jejuni Campylobacter jejuni strain NCTC 11168 genome project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/C_jejuni/
- Chlamydia Chlamydia pneumoniae and trachomatis http://chlamydia-www.berkeley.edu:4231/
- C.muridarum Chlamydia muridarum strain MoPn genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- C.pneumoniae AR39 Chlamydia pneumoniae strain AR39 genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- C.pneumoniae J138 Japanese Chlamydia pneumoniae strain J138 genome db http://www.brgene.lncc.br/indexCV.html http://w3.grt.kyushu-u.ac.jp/J138/
- C.michiganensis -Claviabacter michiganensis subsp. sepdonicus genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/C_michiganensis/

- C.acetobutylicum GTC Clostridium acetobutylicum strain ATCC 824 data http://www.cric.com/genesequences/clostridium/clospage.html
- C.difficile Clostridium difficile strain 630 genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/C_difficile/
- C.diphtheriae Corynebacterium diphtheriae strain NCTC 13129 genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/C_diphtheriae/
- C.ruminantium Cowdria ruminantium genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/C_ruminantium/
- C.hutchinsonii Cytophaga hutchinsonii genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/cytophaga/
- DrDB TIGR Deinococcus radiodurans strain R1 genome db http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/GenomePage3.spl
- D.hafniense Desulfitobacterium hafniense genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/desulfito/
- E.faecium Enterococcus faecium genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/enterococcus/
- F.acidarmanus Ferroplasma acidarmanus genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/ferroplasma/
- F.tularensis Francisella tularensis genome WWW site http://microbios1.mds.qmw.ac.uk/ft/
- H.influenzae Swiss-Prot list Links to H.influenzae WWW sites and to Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?haein.txt
- HiDB Haemophilus influenzae genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- H.pylori Swiss-Prot list Links to H.pylori WWW sites and to Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?helpy.txt
- HpDB Helicobacter pylori strain 26695 genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- H.pylori J99 Helicobacter pylori strain J99 genome db at Astra http://scriabin.astrazeneca-boston.com/hpylori/
- PyloriGene Helicobacter pylori genomic db http://genolist.pasteur.fr/PyloriGene/genome.cgi
- L.lactis Lactobacillus lactis subsp. lactis strain IL1403 genome db http://spock.jouy.inra.fr/

- L.plantarum Lactobacillus plantarum genome project http://www.cmbi.kun.nl/Lactobacillus/
- ListiList Listeria monocytogenes and Listeria innocua genome db http://genolist.pasteur.fr/ListiList/
- MC-1 Magnetococcus MC-1 genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/magnetococcus/
- M.magnetotacticum Magnetospirillum magnetotacticum genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/magnetospirillum/
- MycDB Mycobacterium db http://www.biochem.kth.se/MycDB.html
- M.bovis Mycobacterium bovis strain AF2122/97 genome project http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/M_bovis/
- Leproma Mycobacterium leprae strain TN genome db http://genolist.pasteur.fr/Leproma/
- M.leprae at Sanger Mycobacterium leprae genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/M_leprae/
- TubercuList Mycobacterium tuberculosis strain H37Rv genome db http://genolist.pasteur.fr/TubercuList/
- MtDB Mycobacterium tuberculosis strain Oshkosh genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- M.tuberculosis at Sanger Mycobacterium tuberculosis strain H37Rv genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/M_tuberculosis/
- M.tuberculosis secreted proteins http://tbsp.phri.nyu.edu/
- M.tuberculosis structural genomics consortium http://www.doe-mbi.ucla.edu/TB/
- M.genitalium Swiss-Prot list Links to M.genitalium Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?mycge.txt
- MgDB Mycoplasma genitalium genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- M.pneumoniae Swiss-Prot list Links to M.pneumoniae Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?mycpn.txt
- M.pneumoniae Mycoplasma pneumoniae genome project http://www.zmbh.uni-heidelberg.de/M_pneumoniae/genome/

- MypuList Mycoplasma pulmonis genome db http://genolist.pasteur.fr/MypuList/
- Mycoplasma synoviae genome db http://www.brgene.lncc.br/indexMS.html
- N.meningitidis A Neisseria meningitidis serogroup A strain Z2491 genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/N_meningitidis
- N.meningitidis B Neisseria meningitidis serogroup B strain MC58 genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- N.gonorrhoeae Neisseria gonorrhoeae strain FA 1090 genome project http://www.genome.ou.edu/gono.html
- N.europaea Nitrosomonas europaea genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/nitrosomonas/
- N.punctiforme Nostoc punctiforme genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/nostoc/
- P.marinus Prochlorococcus marinus genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/prochlorococcus/
- P.aeruginosa project Pseudomonas aeruginosa genome project http://www.pseudomonas.com/
- P.aeruginosa db Pseudomonas aeruginosa genome db http://pseudomonas.bit.uq.edu.au/
- P.fluorescens Pseudomonas fluorescens genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/pseudomonas/
- R.solanacearum Ralstonia solanacearum genome project http://sequence.toulouse.inra.fr/R.solanacearum.html
- Rhizobium pNGR234a Swiss-Prot list Links to Rhizobium plasmid pNGR234a Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?ngr234.txt
- Rhizobium pNGR234a analysis http://genome.imb-jena.de/other/cfreiber/pNGR234a2.html
- RsGDB Rhodobacter sphaeroides genome db http://mmg.uth.tmc.edu/sphaeroides/
- R.sphaeroides Rhodobacter sphaeroides genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/rhodobacter/
- R.palustris Rhodopseudomonas palustris genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/rhodopseudomonas/

- RicBase Rickettsia conorii strain Malish 7 genome project http://polyc.cnrs-mrs.fr/RicBase/
- R.prowazekii Swiss-Prot list Links to Rickettsia prowazekii Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?ricpr.txt
- R.prowazekii Rickettsia prowazekii strain Madrid E genome project http://evolution.bmc.uu.se/~siv/gnomics/Rickettsia.html
- S.typhi Salmonella typhi strain CT18 genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/S_typhi
- S.typhimurium Swiss-Prot list Links to S.typhimurium Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?salty.txt
- S.typhimurium S.typhimurium genome project http://genome.wustl.edu/gsc/Projects/S.typhimurium/
- RhizoBase Sinorhizobium loti genome project http://www.kazusa.or.jp/rhizobase/
- S.meliloti Sinorhizobium meliloti genome project http://sequence.toulouse.inra.fr/
- S.aromaticivorans Sphingomonas aromaticivorans genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/sphingomonas/
- S.aureus Staphylococcus aureus strain NCTC 8325 genome project http://www.genome.ou.edu/staph.html
- S.mutans Streptococcus mutans strain UAB159 genome project http://www.genome.ou.edu/smutans.html
- S.pyogenes M1 Streptococcus pyogenes strain SF370 serotype M1 genome project http://www.genome.ou.edu/strep.html
- S.pyogenes M5 Streptococcus pyogenes strain Manfredo serotype M5 genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/S_pyogenes/
- S.suis Streptococcus suis genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/S_suis/
- S.uberis Streptococcus uberis genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/S_uberis/
- S.coelicolor Streptomyces coelicolor strain A3(2) genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/S_coelicolor/
- S.avermitilis Streptomyces avermitilis strain MA-4680 genome project http://avermitilis.ls.kitasato-u.ac.jp/

- PCC 6803 Swiss-Prot list Links to Synechocystis PCC 6803 Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?syny3.txt
- CyanoBase Synechocystis PCC 6803 db http://www.kazusa.or.jp/cyano/cyano.html
- Synechococcus Marine synechococcus genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/synechococcus/
- T.fusca Thermomonospora fusca genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/thermomonospora/
- TmDB Thermotoga maritima genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- TpDB Treponema pallidum genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- T.erythraeum Trichodesmium erythraeum genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/trichodesmium/
- T.whipplei Tropheryma whipplei genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/T_whippelii/
- U.urealyticum Ureaplasma urealyticum genome WWW site http://genome.microbio.uab.edu/uu/uugen.htm
- VcDB Vibrio cholerae genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- Xanthomonas Xanthomonas genome projects http://genoma4.iq.usp.br/xanthomonas/
- X.fastidiosa Xylella fastidiosa genome WWW site http://aeg.lbi.ic.unicamp.br/xf/
- X.fastidiosa at DOE DOE Xylella fastidiosa genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/xylella_fastidiosa/
- Y.enterocolitica Yersinia enterocolitica strain 8082 genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/Y_enterocolitica/
- Y.pestis at Sanger Yersinia pestis strain CO-92 Biovar Orientalis genome project at Sanger Institute http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/Y_pestis/
- Y.pestis at CNBP Yersinia pestis genome WWW site http://www.cbnp.lanl.gov/cbnp/bacteria/ypes/

B.2.8 Viruses and Phages

- All the virology on the WWW links to virology WWW servers by David M. Sander http://www.tulane.edu/~dmsander/garryfavweb.html
- ICTVdB Universal virus taxonomy db http://life.anu.edu.au/viruses/ICTVdB/ictvdb.html
- AVIS Animal Virus Information System http://202.41.70.55/www/avis/avis.html
- Plants Viruses Online Information on plant viruses http://biology.anu.edu.au/Groups/MES/vide/
- Adenoviruses web site http://www.vmri.hu/~harrach/GeneFarm.htm
- HCVDB Hepatitis C virus db http://hepatitis.ibcp.fr/
- HIV HIV and related species db http://hiv-web.lanl.gov/
- HPV Human papillomaviruses db http://hpv-web.lanl.gov/
- Influenza sequence db http://www-flu.lanl.gov/
- Picornavirus Picornavirus home page and access to db http://www.iah.bbsrc.ac.uk/virus/picornaviridae/
- Tobamoviruses http://opbs.okstate.edu/virevol/tobamo.html
- PhageBase http://www.phagebase.org/
- Bacteriophage ecology group http://www.phage.org/
- GOLD Genomes On-line db http://igweb.integratedgenomics.com/GOLD/
- DOGS Database of genome sizes http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/databases/DOGS/

B.2.9 Archaea

- A.pernix Aeropyrum pernix K1 genome db http://www.mild.nite.go.jp/APEK1/
- AfDB Archaeoglobus fulgidus genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- Halobacterium NRC-1 Halobacterium strain NRC-1 genome project http://zdna.micro.umass.edu/haloweb
- M.thermoautotrophicum Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum genome db http://www.biosci.ohio-state.edu/~genomes/mthermo/
- M.jannaschii Swiss-Prot list Links to M.jannaschii Swiss-Prot entries http://us.expasy.org/cgi-bin/lists?metja.txt
- MjDB Methanococcus jannaschii genome db at TIGR http://www.tigr.org/tigr-scripts/CMR2/
- MjFdb Methanococcus jannaschii functions db http://geta.life.uiuc.edu/~nikos/Methanococcus_jannaschii.html
- M.barkeri Methanosarcina barkeri genome project http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html/methanosarcina/
- Pyrococcus abyssi genome and re-annotation db http://www-archbac.u-psud.fr/genomes/newpab/newpab.html
- P.abyssi Pyrococcus abyssi genome project and db http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/Pab/
- P.furiosus Pyrococcus furiosus genome project http://www.genome.utah.edu/sequence.html
- P.horikoshii Pyrococcus horikoshii OT3 db http://www.bio.nite.go.jp/ot3db_index.html
- SGP Sulfolobus solfataricus strain P2 genome project http://www-archbac.u-psud.fr/projects/sulfolobus/
- T.acidophilum Thermoplasma acidophilum genome project http://www.biochem.mpg.de/baumeister/genome/
- T.volcanium Thermoplasma volcanium genome project http://www.aist.go.jp/RIODB/archaic

B.2.10 Mitochondria and Chloroplast

- GOBASE Organelle Genome Database http://megasun.bch.umontreal.ca/gobase/
- MitoDat Mendelian Inheritance and the Mitochondrion db (mitochondrial nuclear genes) http://www-lmmb.ncifcrf.gov/mitoDat/
- MITOMAP Human mitochondrial genome db http://www.gen.emory.edu/mitomap.html
- MmtDB Metazoa mitochondrial DNA variants db http://area.ba.cnr.it/~areamt08/MmtDBWWW.htm
- MitBASE Integrated mitochondrial DNA db http://www3.ebi.ac.uk/Research/Mitbase/mitbase.pl
- MitBASE-Pilot Db of S.cerevisiae nuclear genes involved in mitochondrial biogenesis http://www3.ebi.ac.uk/Research/Mitbase/mitbiog.pl
- HvrBase Primates mtDNA control region sequences compilation http://monolith.eva.mpg.de/hvrbase/
- MOUSE Mitochondrial and Other Useful SEquences (human and apes mit. DNA db) http://www.burckhardt.de/evolbiol/mouse/
- C.caldarium Cyanidium caldarium strain RK1 chloroplast genome http://genome.imb-jena.de/~gernot/cyanidium.html